arXiv:1611.04440v1 [math.OA] 14 Nov 2016

On classification of non-unital simple amenable C*-algebras, I

Guihua Gong and Huaxin Lin

Abstract

We present a stable uniqueness theorem for non-unital C^*-algebras. Generalized tracial rank one is defined for stably projectionless simple C^*-algebras. Let A and B be two stably projectionless separable simple amenable C^*-algebras with $gTR(A) \le 1$ and $gTR(B) \le 1$. Suppose also that $KK(A, D) = KK(B, D) = \{0\}$ for all C^*-algebras D. Then $A \cong B$ if and only if they have the same tracial cones with scales. We also show that every separable simple C^*-algebra A with finite nuclear dimension which satisfies the UCT with non-zero traces must have $gTR(A) \le 1$ if $K_0(A)$ is torsion. In the next part of this research, we show similar results without the restriction on K-theory.

1 Introduction

Recently some sweeping progresses have been made in the Elliott program ([12]), the program of classification of separable amenable C^*-algebras by the Elliott invariant (a K-theoretical set of invariant) (see [17], [41] and [13]). These are the results of decades of work by many mathematicians (see also [17], [41] and [13] for the historical discussion there). These progresses could be summarized briefly as the following: Two unital finite separable simple C^*-algebras A and B with finite nuclear dimension which satisfy the UCT are isomorphic if and only if their Elliott invariant $\mathrm{Ell}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Ell}(B)$ are isomorphic. Moreover, all weakly unperforated Elliott invariant can be achieved by a finite separable simple C^*-algebras in UCT class with finite nuclear dimension (In fact these can be constructed as so-called ASH-algebras–see [17]). Combining with the previous classification of purely infinite simple C^*-algebras, results of Kirchberg and Phillips ([32] and [19]), now all unital separable simple C^*-algebras in the UCT class with finite nuclear dimension are classified by the Elliott invariant.

This research studies the non-unital cases.

Suppose that A is a separable simple C^*-algebra. In the case that $K_0(A)_+ \neq \{0\}$, then $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ has a non-zero projection, say p. Then $p(A \otimes K)p$ is unital. Therefore if A is in the UCT class and has finite nuclear dimension, then $p(A \otimes \mathcal{K})p$ falls into the class of C^*-algebras which has been classified. Therefore isomorphism theorem for these C^*-algebras is an immediate consequence of that in [17] (see section 8.4 of [29]).

Therefore this paper considers the case that $K_0(A)_+ = \{0\}$. Simple C^*-algebras with $K_0(A)_+ = \{0\}$ are stably projectionless in the sense that not only A has no non-zero projections but $M_n(A)$ also has no non-zero projections for every integer $n \ge 1$. In particular, the results in [17] cannot be applied in the stably projectionless case. It is entirely new situation.

One of the central issues of the establishment of the isomorphism theorem is the uniqueness theorem. The uniqueness theorem for unital simple C^*-algebras is based on a stable uniqueness theorem first established in [22]. Stable uniqueness theorem was established aimed at the introduction of C^*-algebras of tracial rank zero (and later for tracial rank one and the generalization of them). One of the main constrains of the stably uniqueness theorem is that the stabilized maps are required to be full (see also [8]). To deal with non-unital cases, the first thing one might do is the unitization. However, unitization would immediately lose the fullness condition

since A is always an ideal of its unitization. Therefore stable uniqueness theorem for non-unital C^*-algebras has to be established without the usage of the known unital version. The first task of this research is to do just that. It is also important to establish a useful one, i.e., it should be able to be applied to the classification process, in particular, stable uniqueness theorem should work for completely positive contractive linear maps that are only approximately multiplicative. In section 6 and 7, we present the needed stable uniqueness theorems.

One of the other important issues of this research is to introduce an appropriate notion of generalized tracial rank one for stably projectionless simple C^*-algebras. We use stably projectionless 1-dimensional non-commutative finite complices as the models. In section 12, we introduce a class of simple C^*-algebras which we will called $\mathcal{D}$ (and $\mathcal{D}_0$ for the variation). We show that these C^*-algebras have the regularities that required for the classification purpose. In particular, we show that these C^*-algebras have strict comparison for positive elements, approximate divisibility as well as stable rank one. C^*-algebras with generalize tracial rank one are defined based on the class of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{D}$.

Our goal is to give a classification for general stably projectionless simple C^*-algebras using a modified version of Elliott invariant. This first part of the research, including the stable uniqueness theorem and study of generalized tracial rank, serves as the foundation for the general classification. However, since the case that C^*-algebras have trivial K-theory is relatively less involved. The classification of the stably projectionless simple C^*-algebras with trivial K-theory (but with arbitrary tracial cones) is also presented. It was first presented by S. Razak ([33]) that certain stably projectionless C^*-algebras can be constructed as inductive limits of 1-dimensional non-commutative finite complices. Razak showed that these special inductive limits can be classified by their cone of lower semi-continuous traces. One of them (see [42] and [18]) is called W. It is a stably projectionless simple C^*-algebra with a unique tracial state and with $K_0(W) = K_1(W) = \{0\}$.

The main isomorphism theorem in this article is the following statement:

Theorem 1.1. *Let A and B be two non-unital separable amenable simple C^*-algebras which satisfy the UCT. Suppose that $K_0(A) = K_0(B) = K_1(A) = K_1(B)$, $gTR(A) \le 1$ and $gT(B) \le 1$. Then $A \cong B$ if and only if there is an isomorphism Γ :*

$$(\tilde{T}(A), \Sigma_A) \to (\tilde{T}(B), \Sigma_B). \tag{e 1.1}$$

Moreover, the isomorphism $\psi : B \to A$ can be chosen so that it induces Γ.

Here $\tilde{T}(A)$ and $\tilde{T}(B)$ are cones of lower semi-continuous traces and Σ_A and Σ_B are the scales (see 2.3 bellow). This is first proved for C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{D}$ with continuous scale using tracial state space $T(A)$ and $T(B)$ instead of $\tilde{T}(A)$ and $\tilde{T}(B)$ to avoid the difficulties created by those simple C^*-algebras which have both bounded and unbounded traces as well as non-compact tracial state spaces. One particular consequence is that $W \cong W \otimes W$. In this first part of the research, at least for the statement 1.1 above, we assume that C^*-algebras have trivial K-theory. Therefore UCT assumption can be replaced by the assumption that $KK(A, D) = KK(B, D) = \{0\}$ for all C^*-algebras D.

One immediate question is whether Theorem 1.1 applies to all stably projectionless simple C^*-algebras with finite nuclear dimension. By studying the so-called W-trace, we show, in this first part of the research, that within UCT class, under the assumption that $K_0(A)$ is torsion, a finite separable simple amenable C^*-algebra A has $gTR(A) \le 1$ if and only if it has finite nuclear dimension (this restriction on K-theory will be removed in the later parts of this research). Therefore the above theorem holds when we remove the condition $gTR(A) \le 1$ and $gTR(B) \le 1$ and replaced it by the condition that both A and B have finite nuclear dimension. In other words, we have the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let A and B be two separable simple C^*-algebra with finite nuclear dimension and which satisfies the UCT. Suppose that $\tilde{T}(A) \neq \{0\}$, $\tilde{T}(B) \neq \{0\}$ and $K_i(A) = K_i(B) = \{0\}$, $i = 0, 1$. Then $A \cong B$ if and only if*

$$(\tilde{T}(A), \Sigma_A) \cong (\tilde{T}(B), \Sigma_B).$$

In particular, for any finite separable simple C^*-algebra A with finite nuclear dimension, one has that $gTR(A \otimes W) \leq 1$. Therefore we have the following corollary:

Corollary 1.3. *Let A and B be two separable simple C^*-algebras with finite nuclear dimension which satisfy the UCT. Then, in the case $\tilde{T}(A) \neq \{0\}$, $A \otimes W \cong B \otimes W$ if and only if*

$$(\tilde{T}(A), \Sigma_A) \cong (\tilde{T}(B), \Sigma_B).$$

In the case that $\tilde{T}(A) = \{0\}$, then $A \otimes W \cong \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

This paper is the first part of a series research. Some of the results in this part will play more prominent role in the later parts of this research. In particular, the stable uniqueness theorem established here only has limited usage in this part. In the second part of this research, using also some of the results established in this part, we show the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Let A and B be two non-unital finite separable simple C^*-algebras with finite nuclear dimension which satisfy the UCT. Suppose that $K_0(A) = \ker\rho_A$ and $K_0(B) = \ker\rho_B$. Then $A \cong B$ if and only if*

$$\tilde{\mathrm{Ell}}(A) \cong \tilde{\mathrm{Ell}}(B)$$

Here $\tilde{\mathrm{Ell}}(A)$ is a modified Elliott invariant for stably projectionless simple C^*-algebras. A range theorem will also presented in the second part of this research.

Acknowledgement: Most of the first part of this research was done when both authors stayed in the Research Center for Operator Algebras in East China Normal University in the summer of 2016. The second part of this research was also initiated during the same stay. Both authors acknowledge the support by the Center. The first named author was also partially supported by by NNSF of China (11531003) and the second named author was also supported by a NSF grant.

2 Notation

Definition 2.1. Let A be a C^*-algebra. Denote by $P(A)$ the Pedersen ideal.

Denote by $\tilde{T}(A)$ the space of all densely defined lower semi-continuous positive traces equipped with the weak *-topology induced by elements in $P(A)$ as a topological convex cone. In particular, if $\tau \in \tilde{T}(A)$ and $b \in P(A)_+$ then τ is a finite trace on $\overline{bAb}$.

Suppose that $A = P(A)$. Let $T(A)$ be those $\tau \in \tilde{T}(A)$ such that they are also states of A. These are called tracial states. In this case define $T_0(A) = \{\alpha \cdot \tau : \tau \in T(A),\ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$.

Suppose that A is σ-unital. In the case that $P(A)_+$ contains a full element a of A (in particular when A is simple), let us clarify the structure of $\tilde{T}(A)$. Put $A_1 = \overline{aAa}$. Then we may identify A with a σ-unital hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $A_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ by the Brown's theorem ([4]). Then $T_0(A_1)$ is a weak*-closed convex subset of all positive linear functionals of A_1 with norm no more than 1. Thus $T_0(A_1)$ has the usual structure of a topological convex set and it is a Choquet simplex.

Hence $\tilde{T}(A) = \{\alpha\tau : \tau \in T_0(A_1) \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$. Therefore we view that $\tilde{T}(A)$ is the cone generated by $T_0(A_1)$ and equipped with the topology induced by that of $T_0(A_1)$.

Let $T_a = \{\tau \in \tilde{T}(A) : \tau(a) = 1\}$. Then T is a weak *-compact. In fact T_a is a Choquet simplex. Moreover $\tilde{T}(A)$ is a topological cone with the Choquet simplex T_a as a base.

Definition 2.2. Let $1 > \varepsilon > 0$. Define

$$f_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} f_\varepsilon(t) = 0, & \text{if } t \in [0, \varepsilon/2]; \\ f_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{t-\varepsilon/2}{\varepsilon/2}, & \text{if } t \in (\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon]; \\ f_\varepsilon(t) = 1 & \text{if } t \in (\varepsilon, \infty). \end{cases} \tag{e 2.1}$$

Definition 2.3. Let A be a C^*-algebra and let $a \in A_+$. Suppose that $\tilde{T}(A) \neq \emptyset$. Define

$$d_\tau(a) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \tau(f_\varepsilon(a))$$

with possible infinite value. Note that $f_\varepsilon(a) \in P(A)_+$. Therefore $\tau \mapsto d_\tau(a)$ is a lower semi-continuous affine function on $\tilde{T}(A)$ (to $[0, \infty]$). One also notices that

$$d_\tau(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tau(a^{1/n}) \text{ for all } \tau \in \tilde{T}(A).$$

Suppose that A is non-unital. Let $a \in A_+$ be a strictly positive element. Define

$$\Sigma_A(\tau) = d_\tau(a) \text{ for all } \tau \in \tilde{T}(A).$$

It is standard and routine to check that Σ_A is independent of the choice of a. The lower semi-continuous affine function Σ_A is called the scale function of A.

Definition 2.4. Let A be a C^*-algebra and let $a, b \in A_+$. We write $a \lesssim b$, if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in A such that $x_n^* b x_n \to a$ in norm. If $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$, we write $a \sim b$ and say that a and b are Cuntz equivalent. It is know that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. Let $W(A)$ be the equivalence class of positive elements in $M_n(A)$ for all n with the usual embedding from M_n into M_{n+1}. Denote by $Cu(A)$ the Cuntz equivalence classes of positive elements in $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. It is an ordered semigroup. We use $\langle a \rangle$ for the equivalence class represented by a. Thus $a \lesssim b$ will be also written as $\langle a \rangle \leq \langle b \rangle$. Recall that we write $a \ll b$ if the following holds: for any increasing sequence $\{y_n\}$, and if $\langle b \rangle \leq \sup\{\langle y_n \rangle\}$ then there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $a \lesssim y_{n_0}$.

In what follows we will also use the notation $Cu^\sim(A)$ and $Cu^\sim(\varphi)$ as introduced in [34].

Definition 2.5. Let A be a C^*-algebra. We will use $QT(A)$ for the quasi-trace τ with $\|\tau\| = 1$. Suppose that $\tilde{T}(A) \neq \{0\}$. Suppose also that every quasi-trace is a trace.

If $\tau \in \tilde{T}(A)$, we will extend it to $(A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ by $\tau(a \otimes b) = \tau(a) Tr(b)$, for all $a \in A$ and $b \in \mathcal{K}$. where Tr is the densely defined trace on $\mathcal{K}$.

We say A has the property of strict comparison for positive elements, if for any two elements $a, b \in M_n(A)_+$ (for any integer $n \geq 1$) with property that $d_\tau(a) < d_\tau(b) < \infty$ for all $\tau \in \tilde{T}(A) \setminus \{0\}$, then $a \lesssim b$.

Let A be a σ-unital and let $a \in P(A)_+$. Suppose that a is full in A. We view A as a σ-unital hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $A_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ as in 2.1. View $T_0(A_1)$ as a convex subset of $\tilde{T}(A)$.

Then A has strictly comparison for positive elements if and only if, for any two positive elements $a, b \in M_n(A)_+$ (for any integer $n \geq 1$) with with property that $d_\tau(a) < d_\tau(b) < \infty$ for all $\tau \in T_0(A_1) \setminus \{0\}$, then $a \lesssim b$.

Suppose that $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Denote by $\overline{T(A)}^w$ the weak*-closure of $T(A)$ in $\tilde{T}(A)$. Suppose also that $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$ (see 8.5 below). We say A has the property of *strong* strict comparison for positive elements, if for any two elements $a, b \in M_n(A)_+$ (for any integer $n \geq 1$) with property that $d_\tau(a) < d_\tau(b)$ for all $\tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w$, then $a \lesssim b$.

Definition 2.6. Let A be a C^*-algebra with $T(A) \neq \{0\}$ such that $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$. There is an affine map $r_{\text{aff}} : A_{s.a.} \to \text{Aff}(\overline{T(A)}^w)$ defined by

$$r_{\text{aff}}(a)(\tau) = \hat{a}(\tau) = \tau(a) \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w$$

and for all $a \in A_{s.a.}$. Denote by $A_{s.a.}^q$ the space $r_{\text{aff}}(A_{s.a.})$ and $A_+^q = r_{\text{aff}}(A_+)$.

Definition 2.7. Let A and B be two C^*-algebras. Let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a completely positive contractive linear map. We say that φ is amenable, if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ and there exist completely positive contractive linear maps $\psi_0 : A \to M_k$ and $\psi_1 : M_k \to B$ such that

$$\|\psi_1 \circ \psi_0(a) - \varphi(a)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Definition 2.8 (cf. [35])**.** Let A be a non-unital C^*-algebra. We say A almost has stable rank one if for any integer $m \geq 1$ and any hereditary C^*-subalgebra $B \subset M_m(A)$, $B \subset \overline{GL(\tilde{B})}$, where $GL(\tilde{B})$ is the group of invertible elements of $\tilde{B}$. This definition is slightly different from that in [35].

Definition 2.9. Let A and B be C^*-algebras and let $\varphi_n : A \to B$ be completely positive contractive linear maps. We say $\{\varphi_n\}$ is a sequence of approximately multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b) - \varphi_n(ab)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A.$$

Definition 2.10. Let A be a C^*-algebra. Denote by $A^{\mathbf{1}}$ the unit ball of A. $A_+^{q,\mathbf{1}}$ is the image of the intersection of $A_+ \cap A^{\mathbf{1}}$ in A_+^q.

Definition 2.11. Let A be a unital C*-algebra. Recall that, following Dădărlat and Loring ([9]), one defines

$$\underline{K}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \bigoplus_{k\geq 2} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}). \tag{e 2.2}$$

There is a commutative C^*-algebra C_k such that one may identify $K_i(A \otimes C_k)$ with $K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$. Let A be a unital separable amenable C*-algebra, and let B be a σ-unital C*-algebra. Following Rørdam ([38]), $KL(A, B)$ is the quotient of $KK(A, B)$ by those elements represented by limits of trivial extensions (see [26]). In the case that A satisfies the UCT, Rørdam defines $KL(A, B) = KK(A, B)/\mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the subgroup corresponding to the pure extensions of the $K_*(A)$ by $K_*(B)$. In [9], Dădărlat and Loring proved that

$$KL(A, B) = \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B)). \tag{e 2.3}$$

Definition 2.12. Let A be a unital separable amenable C^*-algebra and let $x \in A$. Suppose that $\|xx^* - 1\| < 1$ and $\|x^*x - 1\| < 1$. Then $x|x|^{-1}$ is a unitary. Let us use $\lceil x \rceil$ to denote $x|x|^{-1}$.

Let C be a separable C^*-algebra and B be another C^*-algebra. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset C$ be a finite subset and $\varepsilon > 0$ be a positive number. We say a map $L : C \to B$ is $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative if

$$\|L(xy) - L(x)L(y)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We now assume that $L : C \to B$ is a completely positive contractive linear map. Denote by $\tilde{L} : \tilde{C} \to \tilde{B}$ the unital extension of L. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(C)$ be a finite subset. Let $\{p_1, p_2, ..., p_{m_1}, p_1', p_2', ..., p_{m_1}'\} \subset M_N(\tilde{C} \otimes \tilde{C}_k)$ be a finite subset of projections and $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{m_2}\} \subset M_N(\tilde{C} \otimes \tilde{C}_k)$ (for some integer $N \geq 1$) be a finite subset of unitaries so that $\{[p_i] - [p_i'], [u_j] : 1 \leq i \leq m_1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m_2\} = \mathcal{P}$.

There is $\varepsilon > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the following: for any C^*-algebra B and any unital $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L : C \to B$, L induces a homomorphism $[L]$ defined on $G(\mathcal{P})$, where $G(\mathcal{P})$ is the subgroup generated by $\mathcal{P}$, to $\underline{K}(B)$ such that,

$$\|\tilde{L} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_N}(p_i) - q_i\| < 1, \ \|\tilde{L} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_N}(p_i') - q_i'\| < 1 \text{ and } \|\lceil \tilde{L} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_N}(u_j) \rceil - v_j\| < 1 \tag{e 2.4}$$

for some projection $q_i, q_i' \in M_N(\tilde{B}\otimes\tilde{C}_k)$ such that $[q_i] = [L]([p_i])$ and $[q_i'] = [L]([p_i'])$ in $K_0(\tilde{B}\otimes\tilde{C}_k)$ and some unitary $v_j \in M_N(\tilde{B}\otimes\tilde{C}_k)$ such that $[v_j] = [L]([u_j])$, $1 \le i \le m_1$ and $1 \le m_2$. for Such a triple $(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ is called a KL-triple for C.

Definition 2.13. Let A be a C^*-algebra. Denote by SA the suspension of $A : SA = C_0((0,1], A)$.

3 Some results of Rørdam

For the convenience, we would like to use the following version of a lemma of Rørdam:

Lemma 3.1 (Rørdam, Lemma 2.2 of [36])**.** *Let a, $b \in A$ with $0 \le a$, $b \le 1$ such that $\|a - b\| < \delta/2$. Then there exists $z \in A$ with $\|z\| \le 1$ such that*

$$(a - \delta)_+ = z^* b z.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 of [36], let $\delta_0 = \|a - b\|$,

$$f_\delta(a)^{1/2}(a - \delta_0 \cdot 1)f_\delta(a)^{1/2} \le f_\delta(a)^{1/2} b f_\delta(a)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore

$$f_\delta(a)^{1/2}(a - \delta_0 \cdot 1)_+ f_\delta(a)^{1/2} \le f_\delta(a)^{1/2} b f_\delta(a)^{1/2}.$$

Thus

$$(a - \delta)_+ \le f_\delta(a)^{1/2}(a - \delta_0 \cdot 1)_+ f_\delta(a)^{1/2} \le f_\delta(a)^{1/2} b f_\delta(a)^{1/2}.$$

The lemma then follows from 2.3 of [36]. □

Lemma 3.2 (Proposition 1 of [6])**.** *Suppose that A is a non-unital C^*-algebra which has almost stable rank one. Suppose that $a, b \in M_m(A)_+$ (for some $m \ge 1$) are two elements such that $a \lesssim b$. Then, for any $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists a unitary $u \in \widetilde{M}_m(A)$ such that*

$$u^* f_\delta(a) u \in \overline{bAb}.$$

Moreover, there exists $x \in M_m(A)$ such that

$$x^* x = a \text{ and } xx^* \in \overline{bM_m(A)b}.$$

We would also like to include the following variation of 3.1 which is also known.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ and $1/4 > \sigma > 0$. There exists $1/4 > \delta > 0$ satisfying the following: If A is a C^*-algebra, $x, y \in A_+$ with $0 \le x$, $y \le 1$ and*

$$\|x - y\| < \delta,$$

then there exists a partial isometry $w \in A^{**}$ with

$$ww^* f_\sigma(x) = f_\sigma(x) ww^* = f_\sigma(x), \tag{e 3.1}$$

$$w^* a w \in \overline{yAy} \text{ for all } a \in \overline{f_\sigma(x) A f_\sigma(x)} \text{ and} \tag{e 3.2}$$

$$\|w^* c w - c\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } c \in \overline{f_\sigma(x) A f_\sigma(x)} \text{ with } \|c\| \le 1. \tag{e 3.3}$$

If A has almost stable rank one, then there exists a unitary $u \in \tilde{A}$ to replace w above.

Proof. Let $1/4 > \sigma\cdot\varepsilon/128 > \delta_1 > 0$ be such that, for any C^*-algebra B, and any pair of positive elements x', $y' \in B$ with $0 \le x', y' \le 1$ such that

$$\|x' - y'\| < \delta_1,$$

then

$$\|f_{\sigma/2}(x') - f_{\sigma/2}(y')\| < \varepsilon\cdot\sigma/64 \text{ and } \|(x')^{1/2} - (y')^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon\cdot\sigma/64 \tag{e 3.4}$$

Put $\eta = (\min\{\varepsilon/64, \sigma/32, \delta_1\cdot\sigma/32\})^2$. Define $g(t) = f_{\sigma/2}(t)/t$ for all $0 < t \le 1$ and $g(0) = 0$. Then $g(t) \in C_0((0,1])$. Note that $\|g\| \le 2/\sigma$. Choose $\delta = \eta\cdot\delta_1/32$.

Now let A be a C^*-algebra and x, $y \in A$ with $0 \le x$, $y \le 1$ and $\|x - y\| < \delta$.

Then

$$\|x^2 - y^2\| < 2\delta. \tag{e 3.5}$$

Set $z = yf_\eta(x^2)^{1/2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(z^*z)^{1/2} - x\| &= \|(f_\eta(x^2)^{1/2}y^2f_\eta(x^2)^{1/2})^{1/2} - x\| && \text{(e 3.6)}\\
&< 2\delta + \|(f_\eta(x^2)^{1/2}x^2f_\eta(x^2)^{1/2})^{1/2} - x\| && \text{(e 3.7)}\\
&< 2\delta + 2\sqrt{\eta} < \sigma\cdot\delta_1/8. && \text{(e 3.8)}
\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(z^*z)^{1/2} - z\| &< \sigma\cdot\delta_1/8 + \|x - yf_\eta(x^2)^{1/2}\| && \text{(e 3.9)}\\
&< \sigma\cdot\delta_1/8 + \delta_2 + \|x - xf_\eta(x^2)^{1/2}\| && \text{(e 3.10)}\\
&< \sigma\cdot\delta_1/8 + \delta_2 + \sqrt{\eta} < \sigma\cdot\delta_1/4. && \text{(e 3.11)}
\end{aligned}$$

Write $z = v(z^*z)^{1/2}$ as polar decomposition in A^{**}. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|vf_{\sigma/2}(x) - f_{\sigma/2}(x)\| &\le \|vf_{\sigma/2}(x) - vf_{\sigma/2}((z^*z)^{1/2})\| + \|vf_{\sigma/2}((z^*z)^{1/2}) - f_{\sigma/2}(x)\|\\
&< \sigma\cdot\varepsilon/64 + \|v(z^*z)^{1/2}g((z^*z)^{1/2}) - f_{\sigma/2}(x)\| && \text{(using(e 3.4))}\\
&= \sigma\cdot\varepsilon/64 + \|zg((z^*z)^{1/2}) - f_{\sigma/2}(x)\|\\
&< \varepsilon/64 + \delta_1/4 + \|(z^*z)^{1/2}g((z^*z)^{1/2}) - f_{\sigma/2}(x)\| && \text{(using(e 3.11))}\\
&= 3\varepsilon/64 + \|f_{\sigma/2}((z^*z)^{1/2}) - f_{\sigma/2}(x)\|\\
&< 3\varepsilon/64 + \varepsilon/64 = \varepsilon/16. && \text{(using(e 3.4))}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $c \in \overline{f_\sigma(x)Af_\sigma(x)}$ with $\|c\| \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|vcv^* - c\| &= \|vf_{\sigma/2}(x)cf_{\sigma/2}(x)v^* - c\| && \text{(e 3.12)}\\
&< \varepsilon/16 + \|f_{\sigma/2}(x)cf_{\sigma/2}(x)v^* - c\| && \text{(e 3.13)}\\
&< \varepsilon/16 + \varepsilon/16 = \varepsilon/8. && \text{(e 3.14)}
\end{aligned}$$

Let v^*v be the open projection as the range projection of the positive element $f_\eta(x^2)^{1/2}y^2f_\eta(x^2)^{1/2}$. It follows from 2.2 of [36] that

$$(\eta - \|x^2 - y^2\|)f_\eta(x^2) \le f_\eta(x^2)^{1/2}y^2f_\eta(x^2)^{1/2} \le f_\eta(x^2).$$

Therefore v^*v is also the range projection of $f_\eta(x^2)$. It follows that

$$vcv^* \in \overline{y^{1/2}Ay^{1/2}}$$

for any $c \in \overline{f_\eta(x^2)Af_\eta(x^2)}$.

Choose $w = v^*$. Then, since $\sqrt{\eta} \le \sigma/2$,

$$f_{\sigma/2}(x)f_\eta(x^2) = f_{\sigma/2}(x) \text{ and } ww^*f_\sigma(x) = f_\sigma(x)ww^* = f_\sigma(x). \tag{e 3.15}$$

□

The following lemma is known.

Lemma 3.4. *Let A be a C^*-algebra and $a \in A_+$ be a full element. Then, for any $b \in A_+$, any $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ and any $g \in C_0((0,\infty))$ whose support is in $[\varepsilon, N]$ for some $N \ge 1$, there are $x_1, x_2, .., x_m \in A$ such that*

$$g(b) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^* a x_i.$$

Proof. Fix $1 > \varepsilon > 0$. There are $z_1, z_2, ..., z_m \in A$ such that

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^m z_i^* a z_i - b\| < \varepsilon/2,$$

Therefore, by 2.2 and 2.3 of [36], there are $y \in B$ with $\|y\| \le 1/\varepsilon$ such that

$$f_\varepsilon(b) = y^*(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^* a x_i)y.$$

Therefore

$$g(b) = g(b)^{1/2}y^*(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^* a x_i)y g(b)^{1/2}.$$

□

We would also like to include the following theorem of Rørdam:

Theorem 3.5 ([39])**.** *Let A be an exact simple C^*-algebra with is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable. Then A has the strict comparison property for positive elements: Let $a, b \in M_n(A)_+$ (for some $n \ge 1$) be two elements such that*

$$d_\tau(a) < d_\tau(b) < \infty \text{ for all } \tau \in \tilde{T}(A) \setminus \{0\}, \tag{e 3.16}$$

then $a \lesssim b$.

Proof. Let

$$S = \{\tau \in \tilde{T}(A) : d_\tau(b) = 1\}.$$

The assumption (e 3.18) implies that

$$d_\tau(a) < d_\tau(b) \text{ for all } \tau \in S. \tag{e 3.17}$$

Since A is simple, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\langle (a-\varepsilon)_+ \rangle \le K\langle b \rangle$ for some integer $K \ge 1$. The above implies that

$$f(a) < f(b) \text{ for all } f \in S(W, b)$$

(see [39] for the notation and other details). Since, by Theorem 4.5 of [39], $W(A)$ is almost unperforated, and by 3.2 of [39], $a \lesssim b$.

□

Corollary 3.6. *Let A be an exact separable simple C^*-algebra with is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable Then A has the following strict comparison property for positive elements: Let a, $b \in M_n(A)_+$ (for some $n \ge 1$) be two elements such that*

$$d_\tau(a) < d_\tau(b) < \infty \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(B)}^w,$$

then $a \lesssim b$. where $B = \overline{cAc}$ for some $c \in P(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

4 Completely positive linear maps from non-unital C^*-algebras to non-unital C^*-algebras

The following is a version of Proposition 2.2 of [1].

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a separable C^*-algebra and let $\varphi : A \to \mathbb{C}$ be a pure state. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exist $z_1, z_2 \in A$ with $z_2 \ge 0$ and $\|z_1\| = \|z_2\| = 1$ such that*

$$\|\varphi(a)z_1^*z_1 - z_1^*az_1\| < \varepsilon \text{ and } \|\varphi(a)z_2 - z_2^{1/2}z_1^*az_1z_2^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ and}$$
$$z_2z_1^*z_1 = z_1^*z_1z_2 = z_2.$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.2 of [1] that there is an element $x_0 \in A_+$ with $\|x_0\| = 1$ such that

$$\|\varphi(a)x_0^2 - x_0ax_0\| < \varepsilon/8 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Let $f(t) \in C_0((0,1])_+$ be defined by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) = \frac{t}{1-\varepsilon/5}, & \text{if } t \in [0, 1-\varepsilon/5); \\ f(t) = 1 & \text{if } t \in [1-\varepsilon/5, 1]. \end{cases} \tag{e 4.1}$$

Then

$$\|f(x_0) - x_0\| < \varepsilon/4.$$

Put $z_1 = f(x_0)$. Then

$$\|\varphi(a)z_1^2 - z_1az_1\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Let $g(t) \in C_0((0,1])_+$ such that

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) = 0, & \text{if } t \in [0, 1-\varepsilon/4]; \\ f(t) = \frac{t-1+\varepsilon/4}{\varepsilon/4}, & \text{if } t \in [1-\varepsilon/4, 1] \end{cases} \tag{e 4.2}$$

Let $z_2 = g(x_0)$.
Then $\|z_2\| = 1$ and

$$z_2z_1^2 = z_1^2z_2 = z_2 \text{ and } z_2z_1 = z_1z_2 = z_2.$$

Then

$$\|\varphi(a)z_2 - z_2^{1/2}z_1^*az_1z_2^{1/2}\| = \|(z_2^{1/2}(\varphi(a)z_1^2 - z_1az_1)z_2^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

□

Let A and B be two C^*-algebra and let $j : A \to B$ be an embedding. For each integer $n \ge 1$, denote by $d_n : A \to M_n(B)$ defined by

$$d_n(a) = \text{diag}(\overbrace{j(a), j(a), ..., j(a)}^{n}) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Let A be a C^*-algebra and $S \subset A$ be a subset. We say S has a countable local approximate identity $\{e_n\}$ in A, if each e_n is a positive element in A with $0 \le e_n \le 1$ such that $e_ne_{n+1} = e_{n+1}e_n = e_n$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|e_ns - s\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|se_n - s\| = 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let B be a C^*-algebra, A be a separable C^*-subalgebra of B and let $j : A \to B$ be a full embedding. Let $\{e_n\} \subset B$ be a countable local approximate identity for some subset S. Then for any state $\varphi : A \to \mathbb{C}$, any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ and $0 < r < 1$, a contraction $V \in M_n(B)$ for some integer $n \geq 1$ such that*

$$\|\varphi(a)e_k - V^* d_n(a)V\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F},$$
$$\text{and } V^*V \leq e_k^r \text{ and } \|V^*V - e_k\| < \varepsilon.$$

(Here we identify B with the upper left corner of $M_n(B)$.) Moreover, if B is unital, then one can choose $e_k = 1_B$ for all k, and V can be chosen so that $V^*V = 1_B$. Furthermore, if φ is only assumed to be contractive positive linear functional ($\|\varphi\| \leq 1$), then the statement still holds (with V is a contractive).

Proof. To simplify the notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|a\| \leq 1$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

We first assume that φ is pure. By 4.1, there are $z_1, z_2 \in A$ with $z_2 \geq 0$, $z_1^* z_1 z_2 = z_2 z_1^* z_1 = z_2$ and $\|z_i\| = 1$ $(i = 1, 2)$ such that

$$\|\varphi(a)z_1^* z_1 - z_1^* a z_1\| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ and } \|\varphi(a)z_2 - z_2^{1/2} z_1^* a z_1 z_2^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 4.3}$$

Since $j : A \to B$ is full, the ideal generated by A is B. Therefore, there are $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in B$ such that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* z_2 x_i - (1 - \varepsilon/4)e_k^{1-r}\| < \varepsilon/4. \tag{e 4.4}$$

Therefore

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^n e_k^{r/2} x_i^* z_2 x_i e_k^{r/2} - (1 - \varepsilon/4)e_k\| < \varepsilon/4. \tag{e 4.5}$$

Let $W_1^* = (x_1^* z_2^{1/2}, x_2^* z_2^{1/2}, ..., x_k^* z_2^{1/2})$ (so W_1 is a column). Note that

$$W_1^* W_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* z_2 x_i \text{ and } \|W_1^* W_1\| \leq \|(1 - \varepsilon/4)e_k^{1-r}\| + \varepsilon/4 \leq 1.$$

Define $W^* = (e_k^{r/2} x_1^* z_2^{1/2}, e_k^{r/2} x_2^* z_2^{1/2}, ..., e_k^{r/2} x_k^* z_2^{1/2})$ (so W is also a column). Note that

$$W^*W = \sum_{i=1}^k e_k^{r/2} x_i^*(z_2) x_i e_k^{r/2} \leq e_k^{r/2} W_1^* W_1 e_k^{r/2} \leq e_k^r \text{ and} \tag{e 4.6}$$

$$\|W^*W\| \leq \|(1 - \varepsilon/4)e_k\| + \varepsilon/4 \leq 1. \tag{e 4.7}$$

Define $V = Wz_1$. Since $z_2 z_1^* z_1 = z_2 = z_1^* z_1 z_2$,

$$W^*W = V^*V.$$

In particular, $\|V^*V\| \leq 1$, $V^*V \leq e_k^r$ and

$$\|V^*V - e_k\| = \|W^*W - e_k\| < \varepsilon/2. \tag{e 4.8}$$

Note that

$$W^* d_k(z_1^* z) W = \sum_{i=1}^k e_k^{r/2} x_i^*(z_2)^{1/2} z_1^* z_1 (z_2)^{1/2} x_i e_k^{r/2} = W^*W.$$

Then, by (e 4.8) and (e 4.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi(a)e_k - V^*d_k(a)V\| &= \|\varphi(a)e_k - \varphi(a)W^*d_k(z_1^*z_1)W\| \\
&\quad + \|\varphi(a)W^*d_k(z_1^*z_1)W - V^*d_k(a)V\| && \text{(e 4.9)}\\
&\le \varepsilon/2 + \|\varphi(a)W^*d_k(z_1^*z_1)W - W^*d_k(z_1^*az_1)W\| && \text{(e 4.10)}\\
&< \varepsilon/2 + \|\varphi(a)d_k(z_1^*z_1) - d_k(z_1^*az_1)\| && \text{(e 4.11)}\\
&< \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon && \text{(e 4.12)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

For a general state φ, by the Krein-Milman theorem, we have positive numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_m$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1$ and pure states $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_m$ such that

$$\|\varphi(a) - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i\varphi_i(a)\| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Let $k(i)$ be the integer k in the first part of the proof corresponding to φ_i, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$. Set $n(i) = \sum_{j=1}^i k(j)$ with $n(0) = 0$. Let $V_i \in M_{n(m)}(B)$ be given in the first part of the proof such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|V_i^*V_i - e_k\| < \varepsilon/2, \ \ V_i^*V_i \le e_k^r,\\
&V_iV_i^* \le \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{n(i-1)}, d_{k(i)}(1), 0, ..., 0) \text{ and } \|\varphi(a)e_k - V_i^*d_{k(i)}(a)V_i\| < \varepsilon/2, \quad \text{(e 4.13)}
\end{aligned}$$

$i = 1, 2, ..., m$. Set $V = \sum_{i=1}^m \sqrt{\alpha_i}V_i \in M_{n(m)}(B)$. Then

$$V^*V = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_iV_i^*V_i \le e_k^r.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi(a)e_k - V^*d_{n(m)}(a)V\| &= \|\varphi(a)e_k - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_iV_i^*d_{k(i)}(a)V_i\|\\
&\le \|\varphi(a)e_k - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_j\varphi_i(a)e_k\| + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i\|\varphi_i(a)e_k - V_i^*d_{k(i)}V_i\|\\
&< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

If B is unital, we can assume that $e_k = 1_B$.

Moreover, (e 4.4) can be replaced by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^*z_2x_i = 1_B.$$

For the last part of the statement, we note that, there is $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ such that $\varphi = \lambda\psi$ for some state ψ. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let A be a separable C^*-algebra and let $j : A \to B$ be a full embedding. Let $\{e_n\}$ be an approximate identity for B. Then for any state $\varphi : A \to \mathbb{C}$, any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists an integer $k_0 \ge 1$ such that, any integer $k \ge k_0$, there exists a partial isometry $V \in \widetilde{M_n(B)}$ for some integer $n \ge 1$ such that*

$$\|\varphi(a)e_k - V^*d_n(a)V\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } V^*V = 1_{\widetilde{B}}.$$

(Here we identify B with the first corner of $M_n(B)$.)

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $e_{n+1}e_n = e_ne_{n+1} = e_n$ for all n. We may also assume, without loss of generality, $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ and $\|a\| \le 1$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $\{a_n\}$ be an approximate identity for A. There exists $m_0 \ge 1$ such that, for any $m \ge m_0$,

$$\varphi(a_m) > 1 - \varepsilon/32 \text{ and } \|a_m a - a\| < \varepsilon/16 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 4.14}$$

Recall that we identify B with the first corner of $M_n(B)$. There exists an integer $k_0 \ge 2$ such that, for all $k \ge k_0$,

$$\|a(1-e_k)^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon/32 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a_{m_0}^{1/2}\}. \tag{e 4.15}$$

It follows from 4.2 that there is a contraction $V_1 \in M_n(B)$ such that

$$\|\varphi(a)e_k - V_1^* d_n(a) V_1\| < \varepsilon/32 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 4.16}$$

Define $V_2 = (1-e_k)^{1/2} + d_n(a_{m_0}^{1/2})V_1 \in \widetilde{M_n(B)}$. Note, by our notation, that

$$(1-e_k)^{1/2} = \mathrm{diag}((1-e_k)^{1/2}, \overbrace{0, ..., 0}^{n-1}).$$

Then, by (e 4.15) and (e 4.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
V_2^*V_2 &= ((1-e_k)^{1/2} + V_1^* d_n(a_{m_0})^{1/2})((1-e_k)^{1/2} + d_n(a_{m_0}^{/12})V_1) \\
&= (1-e_k) + (1-e_k)^{1/2} d_n(a_{m_0})V_1 + V_1^* d_n(a_{m_0}^{1/2})(1-e_k)^{1/2} + V_1^* d_n(a_{m_0})V_1 \\
&\approx_{\varepsilon/16} (1-e_k) + V_1^* d_n(a_{m_0})V_1 \\
&\approx_{\varepsilon/32} (1-e_k) + \varphi(e_{m_0})e_k \\
&\approx_{\varepsilon/32} (1-e_k) + e_k = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

There is $T \in B_+$ such that

$$TV_2^*V_2T = 1 \text{ and } \|T - 1\| < \varepsilon/8.$$

Define $V = V_2T$. Then $V^*V = TV_2^*V_2T = 1$. We estimate that, for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$, applying also (e 4.15),

$$\begin{aligned}
V^* d_n(a) V &\approx_{\varepsilon/4} V_2^* d_n(a) V_2 && \text{(e 4.17)} \\
&\approx_{\varepsilon/16} (1-e_k)^{1/2} d_n(a) V_2 + V_1^* d_n(a)(1-e_k)^{1/2} + V_1^* d_n(a) V_1 && \text{(e 4.18)} \\
&\approx_{\varepsilon/16} V_1^* d_n(a) V_1. && \text{(e 4.19)}
\end{aligned}$$

We also have that, for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$, by the above,

$$\|\varphi(a)e_k - V^* d_n(a) V\| < \|\varphi(a)e_k - V_1^* d_n(a) V_1\| + 2\varepsilon/16 + \varepsilon/4 < \varepsilon. \tag{e 4.20}$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let A be a separable C^*-algebra, B be a σ-unital C^*-algebra and let $j : A \to B$ be a full embedding. Let $\{e_n\}$ be an approximate identity of B. Then, for any non-zero contractive completely positive linear map $\varphi : A \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any integer $k \ge 1$ and $0 < r < 1$, there exists a contraction $V \in M_{Kn}(B)$ (for some large integer $K \ge 1$) such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\varphi(a)d_n(e_k) - V^* d_K(a) V\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and} \\
&V^*V \le d_n(e_k^r),
\end{aligned} \tag{e 4.21}$$

where $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is viewed as the scalar matrix subalgebra of $M_n(\tilde{B})$.

Proof. Let $\{a_n\}$ be an approximate identity of A. We will identify A with $j(A)$.

Write $\varphi(a)=\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{ij}(a)\otimes e_{i,j}$ $(a\in A)$, where $\{e_{i,j}\}$ is a system of matrix units for M_n and $\varphi_{i,j}: A\to\mathbb{C}$ is linear.

Define $\psi: M_n(A)\to\mathbb{C}$ by $\psi((a_{i,j})_{n\times n})=(1/n)\sum_{i,j=1}\varphi_{i,j}(a_{i,j})$, where $a_{i,j}\in A$. Since φ is completely positive, $\psi/\|\psi\|$ is a state.

Note that $M_n(A)\to M_n(B)$ is full. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is in the unit ball of A. Fix $m_0\ge 1$. Choose $m_1\ge 1$ such that, for all $m\ge m_1$,

$$\|a_m^{1/2}ae_m^{1/2}-a\|<\varepsilon/16n^2 \text{ for all } a\in\mathcal{F}\cup\{e_{m_0}\}. \tag{e 4.22}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{F}_1=\mathcal{F}\cup\{e_j: 1\le j\le m_1\}\cup\{a_j^{1/2}aa_j^{1/2}: a\in\mathcal{F},\ 1\le j\le m_1\}$$

and set $\mathcal{G}=\{(a_{i,j})_{n\times n}: a_{i,j}\in\mathcal{F}_1\}$.

Note that $\{e_k^{1-r}\}$ is also an approximate identity for A. Thus, by applying 4.2, there is $W\in M_{Kn}(B)$ with $W^*W\le\|\psi\|d_n(e_k^r)$ and

$$\|W^*W-\|\psi\|d_n(e_k^{1-r})\|<\varepsilon/(16n)^3 \text{ and } \|\psi(b)d_n(e_k^{1-r})-W^*d_{Kn}(b)W\|<\varepsilon/(16n)^3$$

for all $b\in\mathcal{G}$. So W is a $K\times 1$-column of $n\times n$ matrix of B. Denote by $\{e_{i,j}\}$ the matrix unit for $n\times n$ matrix. Define $W_1=W(1\otimes e_{1,1})$ and identify A with the first corner of $M_n(A)$. So now we view W_1 as a Kn-column. We have $W_1^*W_1\le\|\psi\|e_k^r$,

$$\|W_1^*W_1-\|\psi\|e_k^{1-r}\|<\varepsilon/(16n)^3 \text{ and } \|\psi(b)e_k^{1-r}-W_1^*d_K(b)W_1\|<\varepsilon/(16n)^3$$

for all $b\in\mathcal{G}$.

Let $v_i=(c_{k,j})$ be a $n\times n$ with $c_{k,i}=1$, $k=1,2,...,n$ and $c_{k,j}=0$ if $j\ne i$. Define $\tilde{v}_i=d_K(v_i)$, $i=1,2,...,n$. Note that, for $a\in A$, $\tilde{v}_i^*d_K(a)\tilde{v}_j=d_K((b_{s,t})_{n\times n})$, where $b_{i,j}=na$ and $b_{s,t}=0$ if $(s,t)\ne(i,j)$. Therefore

$$\|\varphi_{i,j}(a)e_k^{1-r}-W_1^*\tilde{v}_i^*d_{Kn}(a)\tilde{v}_jW_1\|<\varepsilon/(16n)^2$$

for all $a\in\mathcal{F}$.

Define

$$V_0=(\tilde{v}_1W_1,\tilde{v}_2W_1,...,\tilde{v}_nW_1).$$

Then

$$V_0^*V_0=(W_1^*\tilde{v}_i^*\tilde{v}_jW_1)_{n\times n}=\sum_{i,j}(W_1^*\tilde{v}_i^*\tilde{v}_jW_1)\otimes e_{i,j},$$

$$V_0^*d_K(a)V_0=(W_1^*\tilde{v}_i^*d_K(a)\tilde{v}_jW_1)_{n\times n}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi(a)d_n(e_k^{1-r})-V_0^*d_{Kn}(a)V_0\| &= \|\sum_{i,j}(\varphi_{i,j}(a)e_k^{1-r})\otimes e_{i,j}-\sum_{i,j}(W^*\tilde{v}_i^*d_K(a)\tilde{v}_jW_1)\otimes e_{j,j}\|\\ &< n^2\varepsilon/16n^2=\varepsilon/16 \text{ for all } a\in\mathcal{F}_1. \end{aligned}\tag{e 4.23}$$

Choose $V_1=d_{Kn}(a_{m_1}^{1/2})V_0d_n(e_k^{r/2})$. In (e 4.23), replacing a by $b:=a_{m_1}^{1/2}aa_{m_1}^{1/2}$, we have, by (e 4.22) and (e 4.23),

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi(a)d_n(e_k)-V_1^*d_K(a)V_1\| &= \|\varphi(a)d_n(e_k)-\varphi(a_{m_1}^{1/2}aa_{m_1}^{1/2})d_n(e_k)\|\\ &\quad+\|\varphi(b)d_n(e_k)-d_n(e_k^{r/2})V_0^*d_{Kn}(b)V_0d_n(e_k^{r/2})\|\\ &\quad+\|d_n(e_k^{r/2})V_0^*d_K(b)V_0d_n(e_k^{r/2})-V_1^*d_{Kn}(a)V_1\|\\ &< \varepsilon/16n^2+\varepsilon/16+0<\varepsilon/8\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. We also have, by (e 4.23),

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi(a_{m_1})d_n(e_k) - V_1^*V_1\| &= \|\varphi(a_{m_1})d_n(e_k) - d_n(e_k^{r/2})V_0^*d_K(a_{m_1})V_0d_n(e_k^{r/2})\| \\ &\le \|\varphi(a_{m_1})d_n(e_k^{1-r}) - V_0^*d_{Kn}(a_{m_1})V_0\| \qquad (e\,4.24)\\ &< \varepsilon/16. \qquad (e\,4.25)\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|V_1^*V_1\| \le 1 + \varepsilon/16.$$

Put $V = V_1/\|V_1\|$. Then

$$V^*V \le d_n(e_k^r).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi(a)d_n(e_k) - V^*d_{Kn}(a)V\| &\le \|\varphi(a)d_n(e_k) - V_1^*d_{Kn}(a)V_1\| \\ &\quad \|V_1^*d_{Kn}(a)V_1 - V^*d_{Kn}(a)V\| \qquad (e\,4.26)\\ &< \varepsilon/16 + |1 - \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon/16}| < \varepsilon/8 \qquad (e\,4.27)\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

□

Lemma 4.5. *Let A be a separable C^*-algebra, B be a σ-unital C^*-algebra and let $j : A \to B$ be a full embedding. Let $\{e_n\}$ be an approximate identity of B. Then, for any non-zero contractive completely positive linear map $\varphi : A \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $k_0 \ge 1$ such that, for any integer $k \ge k_0$, there exists a contraction $V \in M_{Kn}(B)$ (for some large integer $K \ge 1$) such that*

$$\|\varphi(a)d_n(e_k) - V^*d_{Kn}(a)V\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F},$$

where $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is viewed as scalar matrix subalgebra of $M_n(\tilde{B})$.

If furthermore, $e_{n+1}e_n = e_n = e_ne_{n+1}$ and $e_{n+1} - e_n$ is full in B for all n, then V can be chosen in $M_{Kn}(\tilde{B})$ so that $V^*V = 1_{M_n}$.

Proof. The proof is a modification of that of 4.4. Again we assume that $\|\varphi\| = 1$ and identify $j(A)$ with A. We may assume that $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $\|a\| \le 1$ for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{a_n\}$ be an approximate identity of A. Let $C = \overline{\cup_{n=1}^\infty \varphi(a_n)M_n\varphi(a_n)}$. Then C is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of M_n. Therefore $C \cong M_{n_1}$. Without loss of generality, by replacing φ by $\mathrm{Ad}\,U \circ \varphi$ for some unitary $U \in M_n$, we may assume that $C = M_n$ and $n_1 = n$.

Note that $\{\varphi(a_n)\}$ is increasing and strongly converges to a positive element in M_n. Since M_n is finite dimensional, $\{\varphi(a_n)\}$ converges in norm. We will denote the limit by $\varphi(1)$. As we assumed, $\varphi(1)$ is an invertible positive element. Without loss of generality, we may write

$$\varphi(1) = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n),$$

where $0 < \lambda_i \le 1$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

Choose $m_0 \ge 1$ such that, for all $m \ge m_0$,

$$\|\varphi(1) - \varphi(a_m)\| < \varepsilon/32 \text{ and } \|a_m^{1/4}aa_m^{1/4} - a\| < \varepsilon/32 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \qquad (e\,4.28)$$

Choose $k_0 \ge 1$ such that, for all $k \ge k_0$,

$$\|e_kx - x\| < (\varepsilon/32)^2 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a_{m_0}^{1/4}\} \cup \{\varphi(a) : a \in \mathcal{F}\}. \qquad (e\,4.29)$$

Therefore, for $k \ge k_0$,

$$\|(e_{k+1}-e_k)^{1/2}a\|^2 = \|a(e_{k+1}-e_k)a\| \le \|a(1-e_k)a\| < (\varepsilon/32)^2. \tag{e 4.30}$$

Fix $k \ge k_0$. It follows from 4.4 that there exists an integer $K_1 \ge 1$ and a contraction $V_1 \in M_{K_1 n}(B)$ such that

$$\|\varphi(a)d_n(e_k) - V_1^* d_{K_1 n}(a)V_1\| < \varepsilon/32 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a_{m_0}^{1/2}\} \text{ and } V_1^*V_1 \le e_k^{1/2} \tag{e 4.31}$$

Now we assume that $e_{n+1}e_n = e_n e_{n+1}$ and $e_{n+1}-e_n$ is full in B. Since $e_{k+1}-e_k$ is full, there are $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{K_2} \in M_n(B)$ such that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{K_2} x_i^* d_n(e_{k+1}-e_k)x_i - (1_{M_n} - \varphi(1))d_n(e_k)\| < \varepsilon/32. \tag{e 4.32}$$

Define $V_2 = (1-d_n(e_k))^{1/2} + d_n(a_{m_0}^{1/4})V_1$. Then, by (e 4.29), (e 4.31) and (e 4.28),

$$\begin{aligned}
V_2^*V_2 &= (1-d_n(e_k)) + (1-d_n(e_k))^{1/2}d_n(a_{m_0}^{1/4})V_1 && \text{(e 4.33)}\\
&\quad + V_1^* d_n(a_{m_0}^{1/4})(1-d_n(e_k))^{1/2} + V_1^* d_n(a_{m_0})^{1/2}V_1 && \text{(e 4.34)}\\
&\approx_{\varepsilon/16} (1-d_n(e_k)) + V_1^* d_n(a_{m_0})^{1/2}V_1 && \text{(e 4.35)}\\
&\approx_{\varepsilon/32} (1-d_n(e_k)) + \varphi(a_{m_0}^{1/2})d_n(e_k) && \text{(e 4.36)}\\
&\approx_{\varepsilon/32} (1-d_n(e_k)) + \varphi(1)d_n(e_k). && \text{(e 4.37)}
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$W^* = (V_2^*, x_1^* d_n(e_{k+1}-e_k)^{1/2}, x_2^* d_n(e_{k+1}-e_k)^{1/2}, ..., x_{K_1}^* d_n(e_{k+1}-e_k)^{1/2})$$

which will be viewed as an element in $M_{(K_1+K_2)n}(\tilde{B})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
W^*W &= V_2^*V_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{K_2} x_i^* d_n(e_{k+1}-e_k)x_i && \text{(e 4.38)}\\
&\approx_{\varepsilon/8} (1_{M_n} - d_n(e_k)) + \varphi(1)d_n(e_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{K_1} x_i^* d_n(e_{k+1}-e_k)x_i && \text{(e 4.39)}\\
&\approx_{\varepsilon/32} (1_{M_n} - d_n(e_k)) + \varphi(1)d_n(e_k) + (1-\varphi(1))d_n(e_k) = 1_{M_n} && \text{(e 4.40)}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\|W^*W\| \le 1 + 5\varepsilon/32. \tag{e 4.41}$$

Put $K = K_1 + K_2$ and

$$W_1^* = (x_1^* d_n(e_{k+1}-e_k)^{1/2}, x_2^* d_n(e_{k+1}-e_k)^{1/2}, ..., x_{K_1}^* d_n(e_{k+1}-e_k)^{1/2}).$$

By (e 4.32),

$$\|W_1^*W_1\| \le 1 + \varepsilon/32. \tag{e 4.42}$$

We may write $W^* = (V_2^*, W_1^*)$. We note that $(e_{k+1}-e_k)e_{k_0} = 0$. Then, for $a \in \mathcal{F}$, by (e 4.31) and (e 4.29),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi(a)d_n(e_k) - W^* d_{Kn}(a)W\| &\le \|\varphi(a)d_n(e_k) - V_2^* d_{K_1 n}(a)V_2\| + \|W_1^* d_{K_2 n}(a)W_1\|\\
&< \varepsilon/32 + \|W_1^* d_{K_2 n}(e_{k_0}a)W_1\| + \varepsilon/32\|W_1^*W_1\|\\
&< \varepsilon/32 + 0 + \varepsilon/32(1+\varepsilon/32) < \varepsilon/16. && \text{(e 4.43)}
\end{aligned}$$

There exists $W_2 \in M_{Kn}(\tilde{B})$ such that

$$\|W_2 - 1_{M_n}\| < \varepsilon/4 \text{ and } W_2^* W^* W W_2 = 1_{M_n}.$$

Set $V = WW_2$. Then $V^*V = 1_{M_n}$ and

$$\|V - W\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

One verifies that V meets the requirements. □

The following is a non-unital version of a result of Kirchberg.

Lemma 4.6. *Let A be a separable C^*-subalgebra of a C^*-algebra B such that the embedding $j : A \to B$ is full and let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a contractive completely positive linear map which is amenable. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a contraction $V \in M_N(\tilde{B})$ for some integer $N \geq 1$ such that*

$$\|\varphi(a) - V^* d_N(a) V\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

If we write $V^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, ..., x_N^*)$ with $x_i \in \tilde{B}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^* x_i \leq 1$ and

$$\|\varphi(a) - \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^* a x_i\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Moreover, if we assume that B contains an approximate identity $\{e_n\}$ such that $e_{n+1} - e_n$ is full in B, we may further require that $V^*V = 1_{\tilde{B}}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^* x_i = 1_{\tilde{B}}$.

Proof. Fix a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since φ is assumed to be amenable, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\varphi = \psi \circ \sigma$, where $\sigma : A \to M_n$ and $\psi : M_n \to B$ are contractive completely positive linear maps. As in the proof of 4.5, we may also assume that $C = M_n$, where $C = \overline{\cup_{n=1} \sigma(a_n) M_n \sigma(a_n)}$ and where $\{a_n\}$ is an approximate identity for A.

We identify $M_n \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with a unital C^*-subalgebra and define a unital contractive completely positive linear map $s : M_{n+1} \to M_n \oplus \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$s(a) = e_{1,1} a e_{1,1} + (1 - e_{1,1}) a (1 - e_{1,1}) \text{ for all } a \in M_{n+1},$$

where $e_{1,1}$ is the rank one minimal projection corresponding to the first corner of $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices. Define $\tilde{\psi} : M_n \oplus \mathbb{C} \to \tilde{B}$ by

$$\tilde{\psi}(a + \lambda \cdot 1) = \psi(a) + \lambda \cdot (1_{\tilde{B}} - \psi(1_{M_n})) \text{ for all } a \in M_n \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is ready to verify that $\tilde{\psi}$ is a contractive completely positive linear map (see, for example the proof of 2.27 of [23]). Define $\tilde{\psi}_1 : M_{n+1} \to \tilde{B}$ by

$$\tilde{\psi}_1 = \tilde{\psi} \circ s.$$

Let $\{e_{i,j}\}$ be a system of matrix units for M_n. Consider $(n+1)^2 \times (n+1)^2$ matrix $(e_{i,j})_{n \times n}$. It is a positive matrix. Since $\tilde{\psi}_1$ is completely positive, $(\tilde{\psi}_1(e_{i,j}))_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}$ is positive in $M_{n+1}(\tilde{B})$. Let $(r_{i,j})_{(n+1)\times(n+1)} \in M_{n+1}(\tilde{B})$ be the square root of $(\tilde{\psi}_1(e_{i,j}))_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}$. Note that $r_{i,j} \in B$. Then

$$\tilde{\psi}_1(e_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} r_{k,i}^* r_{k,j}. \tag{e 4.44}$$

Let

$$R_k^* = (r_{k,1}^*, r_{k,2}^*, ..., r_{k,n+1}^*), \ \ k = 1, 2, ..., n+1, \ \text{ and } \ R^* = (R_1^*, R_2^*, ..., R_{n+1}^*). \tag{e 4.45}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_1((\lambda_{i,j})_{(n+1)\times(n+1)}) &= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{i,j}\tilde{\psi}_1(e_{i,j}) = \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda_{i,j} r_{k,i}^* r_{k,j} && \text{(e 4.46)} \\ &= R^* d_{n+1}((\lambda_{i,j})_{(n+1)\times(n+1)})R && \text{(e 4.47)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\lambda_{i,j})_{(n+1)\times(n+1)} \in M_{n+1}$. Note that

$$\tilde{\psi}_1(1_{M_{n+1}}) = 1_{\tilde{B}}.$$

Thus

$$R^*R = 1_{\tilde{B}}. \tag{e 4.48}$$

Then, by (e 4.46), for all $a \in A$,

$$\varphi(a) = \sum_{i,j} \sigma_{i,j}(a)\psi(e_{i,j}) = \sum_{i,j,s} \sigma_{i,j}(a) r_{s,i}^* r_{s,j} = R^* d_{n+1}(\sigma(a))R. \tag{e 4.49}$$

Suppose that $\{e_m\}$ is an approximate identity for B. Choose $m_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\| \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} r_{k,i}^* e_m r_{k,j} - \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} r_{k,i}^* r_{k,j} \| < \varepsilon/2(n+1)^3. \tag{e 4.50}$$

for any $m \geq m_0$. Consequently,

$$\|\varphi(a) - R^* d_{n+1}(\sigma(a)) d_{(n+1)^2}(e_{m_0})R\| < \varepsilon/2. \tag{e 4.51}$$

By 4.4, there exists a partial isometry $W \in M_{Kn}(\tilde{B})$ for some integer $K \geq 1$ such that

$$W^*W = 1_{M_n} \ \text{ and } \ \|\sigma(a)d_n(e_{m_0}) - W^* d_{Kn}(a)W\| < \varepsilon/2 \ \text{ for all } \ a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 4.52}$$

Define $W_1 \in M_{K(n+1)}(\tilde{B})$ by

$$W_1 = W \oplus e_{n+1,n+1}.$$

Set $V = d_{n+1}(W_1)R$. Then

$$V^*V = R^* d_{n+1}(W_1^*) d_{n+1}(W_1)R = R^* d_{n+1}(1_{M_{n+1}})R = R^*R = 1_{\tilde{B}}.$$

Combining (e 4.51) and (e 4.52), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(a) - V^* d_{(n+1)Kn}(a)V\| &= (R^* d_{n+1}(W^*) d_{(n+1)Kn}(a) d_{n+1}(W)R)\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi(a) - R^* d_{n+1}(\sigma(a)d_n(e_{m_0}))R\| \\ &+ \|R^*(d_{n+1}(\sigma(a)d_n(e_m)) - d_{n+1}(W^* d_{Kn}(a)W))R\| \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Choose $N = (n+1)Kn$.

□

5 Absorbing extensions

Lemma 5.1. *Let A be a separable C^*-algebra of a C^*-algebra B so that the embedding $j : A \to B$ is full. Suppose that B is non-unital . Identifying the constant functions in $IB = C([0,1], B)$ with B. Suppose that $\sigma : A \to M_n(SB)$ is an amenable contractive completely positive linear map for some integer $n \geq 1$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists an integer $K \geq 1$ and a partial isometry $V \in M_{(K+1)n}(\widetilde{SB})$ such that*

$$\|\varphi(a) - V^* d_{Kn}(a) V\| < \varepsilon, \tag{e 5.1}$$
$$V^* d_{Kn}(a) V \in SB \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and} \tag{e 5.2}$$
$$V^* V = 1_{M_n(\tilde{IB})}. \tag{e 5.3}$$

Proof. We will repeat some of arguments in the proof of 4.5. Let $\{a_m\}$ be an approximate identity for A. Choose $m_0 \geq 1$ such that, for all $m \geq m_0$,

$$\|a_m^{1/4} a a_m^{1/4} - a\| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.4}$$

Choose $k_0 \geq 1$ such that, for all $k \geq k_0$,

$$\|e_k x - x\| < (\varepsilon/3n)^2 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a_{m_0}^{1/4}\} \cup \{\varphi(a) : a \in \mathcal{F}\}. \tag{e 5.5}$$

It follows from 4.6 that there exists an integer $K_1 \geq 1$ and a contraction $V_1 \in M_{K_1 n}(\tilde{B})$ such that

$$\|\varphi(a) - V_1^* d_{K_1 n}(a) V_1\| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a_{m_0}^{1/2}\} \tag{e 5.6}$$

Define

$$V^* = (d_n(e_k) V_1^* d_{Kn}(\varphi(a_{m_0}^{1/4})), (1_{M_n} - (d_n(e_k) V_1^* d_{K_1 n}(\varphi(a_{m_0}^{1/2})) V_1 d_n(e_k)))^{1/2}) \in M_{(K+1)n}(\widetilde{SB}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} V^*V &= d_n(e_k) V_1^* d_n(\varphi(a_{m_0})^{1/2}) V_1 d_n(e_k) + && \text{(e 5.7)} \\ &\quad 1_{M_n} - (d_n(e_k) V_1^* d_{K_1 n}(\varphi(a_{m_0}^{1/2})) V_1 d_n(e_k)) && \text{(e 5.8)} \\ &= 1_{M_n}. && \text{(e 5.9)} \\ & && \text{(e 5.10)} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $d_{Kn}(a_{m_0}^{1/4}) \in M_{Kn}(SB)$,

$$V^* d_n(a) V = d_n(e_k) V_1^* d_{Kn}(a_{m_0}^{1/4} a a_{m_0}^{1/4}) V_1 d_n(e_k) \in M_{(K+1)n}(SB)$$

for all $a \in A$. One also has that, for each $x \in \mathcal{F}$, by (e 5.4), (e 5.5) and (e 5.6),

$$\begin{aligned} V^* d_n(a) V &= d_n(e_k) V_1^* d_{Kn}(a_{m_0}^{1/4} a a_{m_0}^{1/4}) V_1 d_n(e_k) && \text{(e 5.11)} \\ &\approx_{\varepsilon/3} V_1^* d_{Kn}(a_{m_0}^{1/4} a a_{m_0}^{1/4}) V_1 && \text{(e 5.12)} \\ &\approx_{\varepsilon/3} V_1^* d_{Kn}(a) V_1 && \text{(e 5.13)} \\ &\approx_{\varepsilon/3} \varphi(a). && \text{(e 5.14)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *Let A be a separable C^*-subalgebra of a C^*-algebra B so that the embedding $j : A \to B$ is full. Identifying the constant functions in $IB = C([0,1], B)$ with B. Suppose that $\sigma_n : A \to M_{k(n)}(SB)$ are amenable contractive completely positive linear maps and $\sigma : A \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is defined by*

$$\sigma(a) = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1(a), \sigma_2(a), ..., \sigma_n(a), ...) \text{ for all } a \in A,$$

where the convergence is in the strict topology. Then there exists a sequence $V_n \in M_2(M(\widetilde{IB} \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ such that

$$\sigma(a) - V_n^* d_\infty(a) V_n \in SB \otimes \mathcal{K} \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\sigma(a) - V_n^* d_\infty(a) V_n\| = 0$$

for all $a \in A$. Moreover

$$V^*V = \mathrm{diag}(p_{k(1)}, p_{k(2)}, ..., p_{k(n)}, ...),$$

where $p_{k(n)} = 1_{M_{k(n)}(C[0,1],\tilde{B})}$, $n = 1, 2, ...$

Proof. The proof is almost identical to that of Lemma 5.5.1 of [23]. Since A is not assumed to be unital, we will present a full proof here using the results in previous section.

Fix a finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}, ..., \mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, ...$, be a sequence of increasing finite subsets of A such that $\cup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_n$ is dense in A. By 4.6, there exists a sequence of partial isometries $V_n \in M_{K(n)+k(n)}(\widetilde{IB})$ $(K(n) > k(n))$ such that $V_n^* V_n = 1_{\widetilde{M_{k(n)}(IB)}}$,

$$\sigma_n(a) - V_n^* d_{K(n)}(a) V_n \in M_{k(n)}(SB) \text{ for all } a \in A \text{ and} \tag{e 5.15}$$

$$\|\sigma_n(a) - V_n^* d_{K(n)}(a) V_n\| < \varepsilon/2^{n+3} \tag{e 5.16}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ We identify $1_{\widetilde{M_{k(n)}(IB)}}$ with $1_{M_{k(n)}(\widetilde{IB})}$ and denote it by $p_{k(n)}$. We may further write $p_{k(n)} = 1_{M_{k(n)}(\widetilde{IB}))}$.

Write

$$d_\infty(a) = \mathrm{diag}(d_{K(1)}(a), d_{K(2)}(a), ..., d_{K(n)}(a), ...) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Put $V = \mathrm{diag}(V_1, V_2, ..., V_n, ...)$, where the convergence is in the strict topology in $M(\widetilde{SB} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. So $V \in M(\widetilde{SB} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Then

$$V^*V = \mathrm{diag}(p_{k(1)}, p_{k(2)}, ..., p_{k(n)}, ...),$$

where convergence in the strict topology. Therefore $V^*V = 1_{M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K})}$. Put

$$L_n(a) = V^* \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{0, ..., 0}^{n-1}, d_{K(n)}(a), 0, ...)V, \quad \sigma(a) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sigma_n(a)$$

for all $a \in A$.

Then $\sum_{n=1}^N L_n(a)$ converges to $V^* d_\infty(a) V$ and $\sum_{n=1}^N \sigma(a)$ converges to $\sigma(a)$ in the strict topology (in $M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$) for all $a \in A$, as $N \to \infty$, respectively. Therefore, as $N \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N L_n(a) - \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n(a) \to V^* d_\infty(a) V - \sigma(a) \text{ for all } a \in A$$

in the strict topology. By (e 5.16), for any fixed k and any $a \in \mathcal{F}_k$,
$\sum_{n=1}^N L_n(a) - \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma(a)$ actually converges in norm, as $N \to \infty$. By (e 5.15) and (e 5.16), for each k,

$$V^* d_\infty(a) V - \sigma(a) \in SB \otimes \mathcal{K} \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_k.$$

Since $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{F}_n$ is dense in A, the above holds for all $a \in A$. We also compute that

$$\|V^* d_\infty(a)V - \sigma(a)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

□

Definition 5.3. Let A be a non-unital separable C^*-algebra and B be a σ-unital C^*-algebra. Since $SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a C^*-subalgebra of $S\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, we may view $SB \otimes \mathcal{K} \subset M(S\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Let $x \in M(S\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Then $xa \in S\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ if $a \in SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Let $\{e_n\}$ be an approximate identity for $SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$, Then $xae_n \in SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$ for all n. Since $xae_n \to xa$, $xa \in SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$. This implies that we may write that $M(S\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \subset M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let A be a separable C^*-subalgebra of a σ-unital C^*-algebra B so that the embedding $j : A \to B$ is full. Identifying the constant functions in $C([0,1], B)$ with B. Suppose that $\varphi : A \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is an amenable contractive completely positive linear map. Then there is a sequence of isometries $V_n \in M_2(M(\widetilde{SB} \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ such that*

$$\varphi(a) - V_n^* d_\infty(a)V_n \in SB \otimes \mathcal{K} \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi(a) - V_n^* d_\infty(a)V_n\| = 0$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Fix a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2, ...$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of A such that $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{F}_n$ is dense in A. One can find an approximate identity $\{e_n\}$ for $SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $e_n \in M_{L(n)}(SB)$. A subsequence of convex combination of $\{e_n\}$ forms a quasi-central approximate identity for $SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Without loss of generality, we may further assume that $\{e_n\}$ is quasi-central and $e_n \in M_{k(n)}(SB)$ for a subsequence $\{k(n)\}$, $n = 1, 2, ...$

By passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that (with $e_0 = 0$), for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_n$,

$$\|(e_n - e_{n-1})\varphi(a) - \varphi(a)(e_n - e_{n-1})\| < \varepsilon/2^{n+2}, \tag{e 5.17}$$
$$\|(e_n - e_{n-1})^{1/2}\varphi(a) - \varphi(a)(e_n - e_{n-1})^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon/2^{n+2} \text{ and} \tag{e 5.18}$$
$$\|(1 - e_{n+1})e_n\| < \varepsilon/2^{(n+4)}, \tag{e 5.19}$$

$n = 1, 2, ...$ Let $E_1 = e_1$ and $E_n = (e_{n+1} - e_n)^{1/2}$ for $n > 1$. For each n, there exists $m(n) \geq 1$ such that

$$\|E_n^{1/m(n)}E_n - E_n\| < \varepsilon/2^{2(n+4)}, \ \ n = 1, 2, ... \tag{e 5.20}$$

Put

$$E_n' = E_n^{1/m(n)}, \ \ \sigma_n'(a) = E_n'\varphi(a)E_n' \text{ and } \sigma_n(a) = E_n\varphi(a)E_n$$

for all $a \in A$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ We also define $\tilde{\sigma}_n(a) = E_n\tilde{\varphi}(a)E_n$ for all $a \in A$, and $n = 1, 2, ...$

Note that $\sigma_n : A \to M_{k(n)}(SB)$ is also amenable. Set

$$\sigma'(a) = \text{diag}(\sigma_1'(a), \sigma_2'(a), ..., \sigma_n'(a), ...) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Moreover, one easily verifies that $\psi(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_n(a)$ converges for every $a \in A$ (this also holds for $\tilde{\psi}(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\tilde{\sigma}_n(a)$) in the strict topology. Define $\tilde{\psi}(1) = 1_{M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K})}$.

Put

$$W^* = (e_1^{1/2}, (e_2 - e_1)^{1/2}, ..., (e_n - e_{n-1})^{1/2}, ...).$$

Note that $\{(e_1^{1/2}, (e_2-e_1)^{1/2}, ..., (e_{n+1}-e_n)^{1/2}, 0, 0, ...)\}$ converges strictly not only as elements in $M(SB\otimes\mathcal{K})$ but also as elements in $M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K})$. Therefore $W\in M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K})$. With $e_0=0$, one has that

$$W^*W=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(e_n-e_{n-1})=e_1+(e_2-e_1)+(e_3-e_2)+\cdots=1_{M(SB\otimes\mathcal{K})}$$

and it converges strictly in both $M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K})$ and $M(SB\otimes\mathcal{K})$. Therefore (with $e_{-1}=0$), for all $a\in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} & W^*\mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1'(a),\sigma_2'(a),...,\sigma_n'(a),0,...)W \\ = & \sum_{k=0}^{n}(e_k-e_{k-1})^{1/2}\sigma_{k+1}'(a)(e_k-e_{k-1})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this identity with (e 5.20), one obtains that

$$W^*\sigma'(x)W-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_n(x)\in SB\otimes\mathcal{K} \text{ for all } x\in A \text{ and} \tag{e 5.21}$$

$$\|W^*\sigma'(a)W-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_n(a)\|<\varepsilon/4 \text{ for all } a\in\mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.22}$$

Furthermore, $\psi: A\to M(SB\otimes\mathcal{K})$ is an amenable contractive completely positive linear map. For each $a\in\mathcal{F}$, by (e 5.18),

$$\|\varphi(a)-\psi(a)\| = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(e_n-e_{n-1})\varphi(a)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}E_n\varphi(a)E_n\| \tag{e 5.23}$$

$$\le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\|E_n(E_n\varphi(a)-\varphi(a)E_n)\|<\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\varepsilon/2^{n+2}<\varepsilon/4. \tag{e 5.24}$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N}((e_n-e_{n-1})\varphi(a)-E_n\varphi(a)E_n)\in M_{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(k(i)}(SB) \tag{e 5.25}$$

for all $a\in A$ (or $b\in\tilde{A}$), and (by (e 5.18) again)

$$\|\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}(e_n-e_{n-1})\varphi(a)-\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\sigma_n(a)\|<\sum_{N+1}^{\infty}\varepsilon/2^{n+2}\to 0,$$

as $N\to\infty$ for all $a\in\mathcal{F}_k$ (for all k), we conclude that $\varphi(a)-\psi(a)\in SB\otimes\mathcal{K}$ for all $a\in\mathcal{F}_k$ and for all k. Therefore

$$\varphi(a)-\psi(a)\in SB\otimes\mathcal{K} \text{ for all } a\in A \tag{e 5.26}$$

It follows from 5.2 that there exists an isometry $Z\in M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\sigma(a)-Z^*d_\infty(a)Z\in SB\otimes\mathcal{K} \text{ for all } a\in A \text{ and} \tag{e 5.27}$$

$$\|\sigma(x)-Z^*\sigma_\infty(x)Z\|<\varepsilon/4 \text{ for all } x\in\mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.28}$$

Set $V = ZW$. Then $V \in M(\widetilde{SB} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Moreover, for all $x \in A$, by (e 5.21), (e 5.26) and by (e 5.27),

$$\varphi(a) - V^* d_\infty(x) V \in SB \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

Thus, for $a \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(a) - V^* d_\infty(a) V\| &\leq \|\varphi(a) - \psi(a)\| + \|\psi(a) - W^*\sigma(a)W\| && \text{(e 5.29)} \\ &+ \|W^*\sigma(a)W - V^*\sigma_\infty(a)V\| < \varepsilon. && \text{(e 5.30)} \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 5.5. Let A be a separable C^*-algebra and B be a σ-unital C^*-algebra Suppose that $\varphi, \psi : A \to M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. There is an isometry $W \in M_2(M(B \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ with $W^*W = 1_{M(B\otimes\mathcal{K})}$ and $WW^* = 1_{M_2(M(B\otimes\mathcal{K}))}$. Then we will identity $\varphi \oplus \psi$ with $W^*(\varphi \oplus \psi)W$ in the next statement.

Theorem 5.6. *Let A be a separable C^*-subalgebra of B so that the embedding $j : A \to B$ is full and amenable. Identify the constant functions in $C([0,1], B)$ with B. Then $d_\infty : A \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$ gives an amenable absorbing trivial extension of A by $SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Moreover, given any amenable monomorphism $\varphi : A \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there is a unitary $V \in M(\widetilde{SB} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &V^*(\varphi(x) \oplus d_\infty(x))V - d_\infty(x) \in SB \otimes \mathcal{K} \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and} \\ &\|V^*(\varphi(a) \oplus d_\infty(a))V - d_\infty(a)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned} \tag{e 5.31}$$

Proof. Let $\varphi : A \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$ be an amenable monomorphism. One defines an amenable monomorphism $\varphi_\infty = \oplus_{n=1}^\infty \varphi : A \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$ by dividing the identity of $M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$ into countably many copies of equivalent projections in $M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$ each of which is equivalent to the identity of $M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. By 5.4, there is an isometry $s \in M_2(M(\widetilde{SB} \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ such that

$$\varphi_\infty(x) - s^* d_\infty(x) s \in SB \otimes \mathcal{K} \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and} \tag{e 5.32}$$

$$\|\varphi_\infty(a) - s^* d_\infty(a) s\| < \varepsilon/12 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.33}$$

Put $ss^* = p$ which is a projection in $M_2(M(\widetilde{SB} \otimes \mathcal{K}))$. Since φ_∞ is a homomorphism, we have, for any $a \in A_{s.a.}$,

$$pd_\infty(a)pd_\infty(a)p - pd_\infty(a)p \in M_2(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Therefore

$$pd_\infty(a)(1-p)d_\infty(a)p \in M_2(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Since $SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is an ideal of $M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$, this implies that

$$pd_\infty(a)(1-p) \in M_2(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Therefore

$$pd_\infty(a) - d_\infty(a)p \in M_2(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

We also assume that

$$\|pd_\infty(a) - d_\infty(a)p\| < \varepsilon/6 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Note that there is $U_1 \in M_2(M(\widetilde{SB} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $U_1^* 1_{M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K})} U_1 = p$. We also have

$$(U_1 s)\varphi_\infty(x)(U_1 s)^* - U_1 p d_\infty(x) p U_1^* \in SB \otimes \mathcal{K} \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and} \tag{e 5.34}$$
$$\|(U_1 s)\varphi_\infty(x)(U_1 s)^* - U_1 p d_\infty(x) p U_1^*\| < \varepsilon/12 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.35}$$

We may also write, for all $x \in A$,

$$d_\infty(x) - p d_\infty(x) p \oplus (1-p) d_\infty(x)(1-p) \in M_2(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \text{ and} \tag{e 5.36}$$
$$\|d_\infty(a) - p d_\infty(a) p \oplus (1-p) d_\infty(a)(1-p)\| < \varepsilon/6 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.37}$$

Put $\psi = (1-p)d_\infty(1-p)$. Then there is a unitary $U_2 \in M_2(M(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that

$$U_2^* d_\infty(x) U_2 - s\varphi_\infty(x)s^* \oplus \psi(x) \tag{e 5.38}$$
$$= U_2^*(d_\infty(x) - (p d_\infty(x) p + \psi(x)))U_2 + \tag{e 5.39}$$
$$(p d_\infty(x) p \oplus \psi(x) - s\varphi_\infty(x)s^* \oplus \psi(x) \in M_2(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \text{ for all } x \in A \tag{e 5.40}$$
$$\text{and } \|U_2^* d_\infty(a) U_2 - s\varphi_\infty(a)s^* \oplus \psi(a)\| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.41}$$

Put $U_3 = s \oplus 1_{M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K})}$. Then

$$U_3^* U_3 = s^* s \oplus 1_{M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K})} = 1_{M_2(M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K}))}$$

and

$$U_3^* U_2^* d_\infty(x) U_2 U_3 - \varphi_\infty(x) \oplus \psi(x) \in M_2(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and} \tag{e 5.42}$$
$$\|U_3^* U_2^* d_\infty(a) U_2 U_3 - \varphi_\infty(a) \oplus \psi(a)\| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.43}$$

Equivalently,

$$d_\infty(x) - U_2 U_3(\varphi_\infty(x) \oplus \psi(x)) U_3^* U_2^* \in M_2(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and} \tag{e 5.44}$$
$$\|d_\infty(a) - U_2 U_3(\varphi_\infty(a) \oplus \psi(a)) U_3^* U_2^*\| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.45}$$

There is $U_4 \in M_2(M(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ such that $U_4^* U_4 = 1_{M(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathcal{K})}$, $U_4 U_4^* = 1_{M_2(M(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathcal{K}))}$ and

$$U_4^* \varphi_\infty U_4 = \varphi \oplus \varphi_\infty.$$

Let $U_5 = U_4 \oplus 1_{M(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathcal{K})}$. Then, for all $x \in A$,

$$U_5^*(U_3^* U_2^* d_\infty(x) U_2 U_3) U_5 - \varphi(x) \oplus \varphi_\infty(x) \oplus \psi(x) \in M_3(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \text{ and} \tag{e 5.46}$$
$$\|U_5^*(U_3^* U_2^* d_\infty(a) U_2 U_3) U_5 - \varphi(a) \oplus \varphi_\infty(a) \oplus \psi(a)\| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.47}$$

Let $U_6 = 1_{M(\widetilde{SB}\otimes\mathcal{K})} \oplus (U_2 U_3)^*$. Then, for all $x \in A$,

$$U_6^*(U_5^*(U_3^* U_2^* d_\infty(x) U_2 U_3) U_5) U_6 - \varphi(x) \oplus d_\infty(x) \in M_2(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \text{ and} \tag{e 5.48}$$
$$\|U_6^*(U_5^*(U_3^* U_2^* d_\infty(a) U_2 U_3) U_5) U_6 - \varphi(a) \oplus d_\infty(a)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 5.49}$$

□

Definition 5.7. Let A be a separable C^*-algebra and let B be a σ-unital C^*-algebra. Consider two essential extensions $\tau_1, \tau_2 : A \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})/SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$. We write $\tau_1 \cong \tau_2$ if there exists a unitary $u \in M(\widetilde{SB} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \subset M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\pi(u)^* \tau_1 \pi(u) = \tau_2,$$

where $\pi : M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})/SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is the quotient map. We will use this convention in the next theorem and its proof.

Theorem 5.8. *Let A be a separable amenable C^*-algebra and B be a σ -unital C^*-algebra. Suppose that $j : A \to B$ be a full embedding. Suppose that $\tau_1, \tau_2 : A \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})/SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are two essential extensions. Suppose that $[\tau_1] = [\tau_2]$ in $KK^1(A, SB)$. Then*

$$\tau_1 \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty \cong \tau_2 \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty.$$

Proof. By [2], there is an essential extension $\tau_1^* : A \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})/SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\tau_1 \oplus \tau_1^*$$

is trivial. It follows that $\tau \otimes \tau_1^*$ is trivial. By 5.6, there is unitary $v \in M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\pi(v)^*(\tau_2 \oplus \tau_1^* \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty)\pi(v) = \pi \circ d_\infty.$$

In particular, $\tau_2 \oplus \tau_1^* \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty$ is trivial. It follows 5.6, in fact, that

$$\tau_2 \oplus \tau_1^* \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty \cong \pi \circ d_\infty. \tag{e 5.50}$$

We also have

$$\tau_1 \oplus \tau_1^* \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty \cong \pi \circ d_\infty. \tag{e 5.51}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 \oplus (\tau_2 \oplus \tau_1^* \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty) &\cong \tau_1 \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty && \text{(e 5.52)} \\ &\cong \tau_1 \oplus (\tau_1 \oplus \tau_1^* \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty) && \text{(e 5.53)} \\ &\cong \tau_1 \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty. && \text{(e 5.54)} \end{aligned}$$

However, it is easy to check that

$$\tau_2 \oplus (\tau_1 \oplus \tau_1^* \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty) \cong \tau_1 \oplus (\tau_2 \oplus \tau_1^* \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty). \tag{e 5.55}$$

It follows from (e 5.52) and (e 5.55) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2 \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty &\cong \tau_2 \oplus (\tau_1 \oplus \tau_1^* \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty) \\ &\cong \tau_1 \oplus (\tau_2 \oplus \tau_1^* \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty) && \text{(e 5.56)} \\ &\cong \tau_1 \oplus \pi \circ d_\infty. && \text{(e 5.57)} \end{aligned}$$

□

6 Stable uniqueness theorems for non-unital C^*-algebras, cutting the tails

In this section and the next, we will use $Ext(A, SB)$ to identify $KK(A, B)$ when A is a separable amenable C^*-algebra.

Definition 6.1. Let $A \subset B$ be two C^*-algebras. Recall that $d_\infty(a) = \text{diag}(a, a, ..., a, ...)$ is a diagonal element in $M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$ for all $a \in B$. Let $\{e_{i,j}\}$ be the matrix units for $\mathcal{K}$ and let $e_m = \sum_{i=1}^m e_{i,i}$. For convenience, in what follows, we use $d_{\infty-m}(a)$ for $(1 - e_m)d_\infty(a)$. In other words, $d_{\infty-m}(a) = \text{diag}(\overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{m}, a, a, ...)$.

In the following lemma, we note that $e_n \notin A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $M(A \otimes \mathcal{K}) \neq M(\tilde{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ in general.

Lemma 6.2. (Lemma 5.6.2 of [23]) *Let C be a σ-unital C^*-algebra and let A be a separable C^*-subalgebra of C. Let $\{c_n\}$ be an approximate identity for C. Suppose that $U \in M(\tilde{C} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ is a unitary such that*

$$U^*\mathrm{diag}(b(a), d_\infty(a))U - \mathrm{diag}(c(a), d_\infty(a)) \in C \otimes \mathcal{K} \tag{e 6.1}$$

for some $b(a)$ and $c(a)$ (depending on a) and for all $a \in A$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$,

(1) there is an integer $N > 1$ such that, for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\|(1 - e_N)U^* d_{\infty-1}(a)U(1 - e_N) - (1 - e_N)d_{\infty-1}(a)(1 - e_N)\| < \varepsilon/32,$$

where $e_N = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{i,i}$,

(2) Let $p = Ue_NU^*$. If $N_1 \geq N$ such that $\|e_{N_1}p - p\| < \varepsilon/8$, then

$$\|\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(a)) - [pd_{\infty-1}(a)p + (e_{N_1} - p)d_{\infty-1}(a)(e_{N_1} - p)\| < \sqrt{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. To simplify notation, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is in the unit ball of $A_{s.a.}$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \cup \{ab : a, b \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Let $M = \max\{\|b(a)\|\|c(a)\| : a \in \mathcal{G}\}$.

Note that $e_{i,j} \in \tilde{C} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Therefore $U^*e_{1,1} \in \tilde{C} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Since $\{e_n\}$ forms an approximate identity for $\tilde{C} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, there is an integer $N > 1$ such that

$$\|(1 - e_N)U^*e_{1,1}\| < \varepsilon/64(M + 1) \text{ and} \tag{e 6.2}$$

$$(1 - e_N)U^*\mathrm{diag}(b(a), d_\infty)U(1 - e_n) \approx_{\varepsilon/64} (1 - e_N)\mathrm{diag}(c(a), d_\infty(a))(1 - e_N) \tag{e 6.3}$$

for all $b(a), c(a) \in C$ and $a \in \mathcal{G}$. Note that

$$(1 - e_N)\mathrm{diag}(c(a), d_\infty(a))(1 - e_N) = (1 - e_N)d_{\infty-1}(a)(1 - e_N) = d_{\infty-N}(a)$$

for all $a \in A$. Therefore, by (e 6.2) and (e 6.3),

$$\|(1 - e_N)U^*d_{\infty-1}(a)U(1 - e_N) - d_{\infty-N}(a)\| < \frac{M\varepsilon}{64(M + 1)} + \varepsilon/64 < \varepsilon/32 \tag{e 6.4}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$. This proves (1).

Suppose now that $N_1 > N$ and $\|e_{N_1}p - p\| < \varepsilon/8$. From what has been established, if $a \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\|(1 - e_N)U^*d_{\infty-1}(a^2)U(1 - e_N) - d_{\infty-N}(a^2)\| < \varepsilon/32 \text{ and} \tag{e 6.5}$$

$$\|(1 - e_N)U^*d_{\infty-1}(a)(1 - p)d_{\infty-1}(a)U(1 - e_N) - d_{\infty-N}(a^2)\| < \varepsilon/16. \tag{e 6.6}$$

Therefore, for $a \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$(1 - e_N)U^*d_{\infty-1}(a)(1 - p)d_{\infty-1}(a)U(1 - e_N) \tag{e 6.7}$$

$$\approx_{3\varepsilon/32} \quad (1 - e_N)U^*d_{\infty-1}(a^2)U(1 - e_N). \tag{e 6.8}$$

Consequently (since $(1 - p) = U(1 - p)U^*$), for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\|(1 - p)d_{\infty-1}(a)(1 - p)d_{\infty-1}(a)(1 - p) - (1 - p)d_{\infty-1}(a^2)(1 - p)\| < 3\varepsilon/32. \tag{e 6.9}$$

On the other hand, for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$(1 - p)d_{\infty-1}(a^2)(1 - p) = (1 - p)d_{\infty-1}(a)(1 - p)d_{\infty-1}(a)(1 - p) \tag{e 6.10}$$

$$+ \quad (1 - p)d_{\infty-1}(a)pd_{\infty-1}(a)(1 - p). \tag{e 6.11}$$

Therefore, for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\|(1-p)d_{\infty-1}(a)pd_{\infty-1}(a)(1-p)\| < 3\varepsilon/32 \tag{e 6.12}$$

Since we assume that $a = a^*$ in $\mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\|pd_{\infty-1}(a)(1-p)\| < \sqrt{3}\sqrt{\varepsilon}/\sqrt{32} < \sqrt{\varepsilon}/2\sqrt{2} \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 6.13}$$

It follows that

$$\|d_{\infty-1}(a) - (pd_{\infty-1}(a)p + (1-p)d_\infty(a)(1-p)\| < \sqrt{\varepsilon/2} \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Finally, for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\|\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(a)) - [pd_{\infty-1}(a)p + (e_{N_1} - p)d_{\infty-1}(a)(e_{N_1} - p)\| < \sqrt{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon.$$

□

The following is a non-unital version of Theorem 4.3 [22] (see also [8] and [26]). The idea of the proof presented below is based on that of Theorem 5.6.4. of [23] using what have been proved here.

Theorem 6.3. *Let B be a σ-unital C^*-algebra and let A be a separable C^*-subalgebra of B. Suppose that $j : A \to B$ is full and amenable. Let $\alpha, \beta : A \to B$ be two homomorphisms. If $[\alpha] = [\beta]$ in $KK(A, B)$, then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists an integer $L > 1$ and a unitary $U \in M_{L+1}(\tilde{B})$ such that*

$$\|U^*\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))U - \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_L(a))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. If either A or B are unital, then this can be easily reduced to the cases both are unital and α and β are unital.

We now consider the case that neither A nor B are unital.

Fix $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is in the unit ball of $A_{s.a.}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F} \cup \{1_{\tilde{A}}\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \{ab : a, b \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Let τ_1 and τ_2 be two unital essential extensions of A by SB via α and β as mapping tori:

$$\begin{aligned} M_\alpha &= \{(f, a) \in C([0,1], B) \oplus A : f(0) = a \text{ and } f(1) = \alpha(a)\} \text{ and} \\ M_\beta &= \{(f, a) \in C([0,1], B) \oplus A : f(0) = a \text{ and } f(1) = \beta(a)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{e 6.14}$$

Since $[\alpha] = [\beta]$ in $KK(A, B)$, by 5.6, there is a unitary $W \in M(S\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ (which is identified with $C^b((0,1)M(\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})_\sigma)$) such that

$$\pi(W)^*\mathrm{diag}(\tau_1, \tau_\infty)\pi(W) = \mathrm{diag}(\tau_2, \tau_\infty), \tag{e 6.15}$$

where $\pi : M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K}) \to M(SB \otimes \mathcal{K})/SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is the quotient map and where $\tau_\infty = \pi(d_\infty)$.

For any $a \in A$, let $f_a \in M_\alpha$ and $g_a \in M_\beta$ with $f_a(0) = a$, $f_a(1) = \alpha(a)$, $g_a(0) = a$ and $g_a(1) = \beta(a)$. Set

$$c(a, f_a, g_a)(t) = W^*(t)\mathrm{diag}(f_a(t), d_\infty(a))W - \mathrm{diag}(g_a(t), d_\infty(a))$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$. Then, by (e 6.15),

$$c(a, f_a, g_a) \in S\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

In particular,

$$\|c(a, f_a, g_a)(t)\| \to 0 \text{ if } t \to 0 \text{ or } t \to 1.$$

Choose $0 < t_1 < 1$ such that, for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$ and $t_1 \le t < 1$,

$$\|c(a, f_a, g_a)(t)\| < \varepsilon/64, \ \ \|f_a(t) - \alpha(a)\| < \varepsilon/64 \ \text{ and } \ \|g_a(t) - \beta(a)\| < \varepsilon/64 \tag{e 6.16}$$

Choose $0 < t_0 < t_1 < 1$ such that, for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$ and $0 < t < t_0$,

$$\|c(a, f_a, g_a)(t)\| < \varepsilon/64, \ \ \|f_a(t) - a\| < \varepsilon/64 \ \text{ and } \ \|g_a(t) - a\| < \varepsilon/64. \tag{e 6.17}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|W^*(t_1)\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_\infty(a))W(t_1) - \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_\infty(a))\| \\ &\quad \le \ \ \|f_a(t_1) - \alpha(a)\| + \|g_a - \beta(a)\| + \|c(a, f_a, g_a)(t_1)\| < 3\varepsilon/64 \end{aligned} \tag{e 6.18}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$. Similarly

$$\|W^*(t_0)d_\infty(a)W(t_0) - d_\infty(a)\| < 3\varepsilon/64 \ \text{ for all } \ a \in \mathcal{G}. \tag{e 6.19}$$

Set $V(t) = \mathrm{diag}(W(t), W(t_0)^*)$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. We have that

$$V(t_1)^*\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_\infty(a), d_\infty(a))V(t_1) \approx_{3\varepsilon/32} \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_\infty(a), d_\infty(a)) \tag{e 6.20}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$. There is a norm-continuous path of unitaries $\{V(t) : t \in [0, t_0]\} \subset M_2(S\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $V(0) = 1$ and $V(t_0) = V(t_0)$ (notation already defined) and, for all $t \in [0, t_0]$,

$$V(t)^*\mathrm{diag}(d_\infty(x), d_\infty(x))V(t) - (d_\infty(x), d_\infty(x)) \in \tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K} \tag{e 6.21}$$

for all $x \in A$ and

$$V(t)^*\mathrm{diag}(d_\infty(a), d_\infty(a))V(t) \approx_{3\varepsilon/32} \mathrm{diag}(d_\infty(a), d_\infty(a)) \tag{e 6.22}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$.

We now identify $d_\infty(a)$ with $\mathrm{diag}(d_\infty(a), d_\infty(a))$ and $M_2(M(\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}))$ with $M(\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Set $C = C([0, t_1], \tilde{B})$. We also identify $\tilde{B}$ with constant functions in C. We also have that (denote also by V, f_a and g_a the restriction of V, f_a and g_a on $[0, t_1]$, respectively)

$$V^*\mathrm{diag}(f_a, d_\infty(a))V - \mathrm{diag}(g_a, d_\infty(a)) \in C \otimes \mathcal{K} \tag{e 6.23}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$.

For any $(\varepsilon/2^{12})^2 > \delta > 0$, it follows from (e 6.23) and 6.2 that there is an integer $N > 1$ such that

$$\|e_N V(t)^*\mathrm{diag}(f_a, d_\infty(a))V(t) - V(t)^*\mathrm{diag}(f_a, d_\infty(a))V(t)e_N\| < \delta \ \text{ and} \tag{e 6.24}$$

$$\|(1 - e_N)V(t)^*(d_{\infty-1}(a))V(t)(1 - e_N) - d_{\infty-1}(a)\| < \delta \tag{e 6.25}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$ and for all $t \in [0, t_1]$. It follows from (e 6.18) and (e 6.19) that, for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$e_N V(t_1)^*\mathrm{diag}(f_a, d_\infty(a))V(t_1)e_N \approx_{6\varepsilon/64} \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_{N-1}(a))$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$.

Set $p(t) = V(t)e_N V(t)^*$ for $t \in [0, t_1]$. Since $W(t)|_{(0,1)}$ is in $C^b((0, 1), M(\tilde{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})_\sigma)$ and $V(t)$ is norm-continuous on $[0, t_0]$, $p(t)$ is norm-continuous on $[0, t_1]$. It is important to note that $p(0) = e_N$.

There is an integer $N_1 > N$ such that $\|e_{N_1}p(t) - p(t)\| < \delta/16$ for all $t \in [0, t_1]$. It follows from 6.2 (with $C = C([0,1], \tilde{B})$) that, for all $t \in [0, t_1]$,

$$\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(a)) \approx_{\varepsilon/2^{11}} (p(t)d_{\infty-1}(a)p(t) + (e_{N_1} - p(t))d_{\infty-1}(a)(e_{N_1} - p(t)) \tag{e 6.26}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F} \cup \{1_{\tilde{A}}\}$. By (e 6.26),

$$p(t)\mathrm{diag}(0, e_{N_1-1}) \approx_{\varepsilon/2^{10}} \mathrm{diag}(0, e_{N_1-1})p(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, t_1].$$

There is a partition:

$$0 = t_m < t_{m-1} < \cdots t_2 < t_1$$

such that

$$\|p(t_{n+1}) - p(t_n)\| < \varepsilon/2^{10}, \ \ n = 1, 2, ..., m-1.$$

There are $q_i' \le e_{N_1}$ such that

$$\|q_i' - p(t_i)\| < \varepsilon/256 \text{ and } q_i'\mathrm{diag}(0, e_{N_1-1}) = \mathrm{diag}(0, e_{N_1-1})q_i', \ \ i = 1, 2, ..., m.$$

Choose $q_m' = p(0) = e_N$. Set $q_i = q_i'\mathrm{diag}(0, e_{N_1-1})$. Then

$$q_m = e_N\mathrm{diag}(0, e_{N_1-1}) = \mathrm{diag}(0, e_{N_1-1}).$$

We have that

$$\|q_i - q_{i+1}\| < \varepsilon/64, \ \ i = 1, 2, ..., m-1. \tag{e 6.27}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1(a) &= p(t_1)d_{\infty-1}(a)p(t_1), && \text{(e 6.28)}\\
\eta_2(a) &= q_i\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(a))q_i, && \text{(e 6.29)}\\
\gamma_1(a) &= (e_{N_1} - p(t_1))\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(a))(e_{N_1} - p(t_1)) \text{ and} && \text{(e 6.30)}\\
\gamma_i(a) &= (e_{N_1} - q_i)\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(a))(e_{N_1} - q_i), && \text{(e 6.31)}
\end{aligned}$$

$i = 2, 3, ..., m$. Immediately we have

$$\gamma_1(a) \approx_{\varepsilon/128} (e_{N_1} - q_1)\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(a))(e_{N_1} - q_1) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1.$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned}
q_1d_{\infty-1}(a)q_1 &\approx_{\varepsilon/64} \eta_1(a) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1,\\
\gamma_m(x) &= (e_{N_1} - e_N)d_{N_1}(x), && \text{(e 6.32)}\\
q_i'\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(x))q_i' &= \eta_i(x), \ \ i = 2, 3, ..., m-1 \text{ and} && \text{(e 6.33)}\\
\eta_m(x) &= \mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N-1}(x)) && \text{(e 6.34)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \tilde{A}$. Moreover, by (e 6.26),

$$\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N-1}(a)) \approx_{3\varepsilon/64} \eta_i(a) + \gamma_i(a) \tag{e 6.35}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $1 \le i \le m$. Furthermore, by (e 6.27),

$$\|\eta_i(a) - \eta_{i+1}(a)\| < \varepsilon/32 \text{ and } \|\gamma_i(a) - \gamma_{i+1}(a)\| < \varepsilon/32 \tag{e 6.36}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $i = 1, 2, ..., m-1$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} d'_{m(N_1-1)}(a) &= (e_{N_1} - e_N)d_{N_1}(a) \oplus d_{m-1}(\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(a)) \oplus d_{N-1}(a)), \\ d'_{m(N_1-1)+(N-1)}(a) &= d_m(\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(a))) \oplus d_{N-1}(a) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \tilde{A}$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(a) &= \mathrm{diag}(\gamma_1(a), \eta_2(a), \gamma_2(a), ..., \eta_m(a), \gamma_m(a), d_{N-1}(a)) \\ \Delta_2(a) &= \mathrm{diag}(\gamma_m(a), \eta_m(a), \gamma_{m-1}(a), ..., \eta_2(a), \gamma_1(a), d_{N-1}(a)) \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.37) \\ \Delta_3(a) &= \mathrm{diag}(\gamma_m(a), \eta_{m-1}(a), \gamma_{m-1}(a), ..., \eta_1(a), \gamma_1(a), d_{N-1}(a)) \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.38) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \tilde{A}$. Then, by (e 6.35), (e 6.36) and (e 6.34),

$$\|\eta_1(a) \oplus \Delta_1(a) - d'_{m(N_1-1)+(N-1)}(a)\| < 3\varepsilon/64 \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.39)$$
$$\|\Delta_2(a) - \Delta_3(a)\| < \varepsilon/32 \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.40)$$
$$\|\Delta_3(a) - d'_{m(N_1-1)}(a)\| < 3\varepsilon/64 \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.41)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_1$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(1_{\tilde{A}}) &= (e_{N_1} - p(t_1))\mathrm{diag}(0, d_{N_1-1}(1_{\tilde{A}})) \text{ and} \\ \gamma_m(1_{\tilde{A}}) &= e_{N_1} - e_N. \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.42) \end{aligned}$$

Define $P = \Delta_1(1_{\tilde{A}})$ and $P' = \Delta_2(1_{\tilde{A}})$. Then there is a unitary $z \in M_{(m+1)N_1+(N-1)}(\tilde{B})$ such that

$$z^*\Delta_1(a)z = \Delta_2(a) \text{ for all } a \in \tilde{A}.$$

Let $Z = p(t_1)V(t_1)e_N + PzP'$. Then

$$ZZ^* = p(t_1)V(t_1)e_NV(t_1)^*p(t_1) + PzP'z^*P = p(t_1) + P \text{ and}$$
$$Z^*Z = e_NV(t_1)^*p(t_1)V(t_1)e_N + P'z^*PZP' = e_N + P' = e_N \oplus d_{m(N_1-1)}(1_{\tilde{A}}).$$

For any $a \in \mathcal{F}_1$, by (e 6.39),

$$\begin{aligned} &Z^*\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d'_{m(N_1-1)+(N-1)}(a))Z \\ &\quad \approx_{3\varepsilon/64} Z^*\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), \eta_1(a) \oplus \Delta_1(a))Z \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.43) \\ &\quad \approx_{\varepsilon/2^{11}} e_NV(t_1)^*\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_\infty(a))V(t_1)e_N \oplus z^*\Delta_1(a)z \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.44) \\ &\quad \approx_{6\varepsilon/64} \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_{N-1}(a)) \oplus \Delta_2(a) \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.45) \\ &\quad \approx_{3\varepsilon/64} \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_{N-1}(a)) \oplus \Delta_3(a) \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.46) \\ &\quad \approx_{3\varepsilon/64} \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_{N-1}(a)) \oplus d'_{m(N_1-1)}(a) \quad (\mathrm{e}\,6.47) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_1$. It follows that there exists a unitary $U \in M_{L+1}(\tilde{B})$ $(L \geq (m+1)N_1)$ such that

$$\|U^*\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))U - \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_L(a))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

□

Theorem 6.4. *Let B be a σ-unital C^*-algebra which almost has stable rank one and let A be a separable C^*-subalgebra of B. Suppose that $j : A \to B$ is full and amenable. Let $\alpha, \beta : A \to B$ be two homomorphisms. If $[\alpha] = [\beta]$ in $KK(A, B)$, then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists an integer $L > 1$ and a unitary $U \in \widetilde{M_{L+1}(B)}$ such that*

$$\|U^*\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))U - \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_L(a))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, by 6.3, there exists an integer $L > 1$ and a unitary $V \in M_{L+1}(\tilde{B})$ such that such that

$$\|V^*\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))V - \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_L(a))\| < \varepsilon/4 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is in the unit ball of A. There exists $e \in M_{L+1}(B)$ with $0 \le e \le 1$ such that

$$\|e\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))e - \mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))\| < \varepsilon/16 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 6.48}$$

Thus one can choose $1/2 > \eta > 0$ such that

$$\|f_\eta(e)\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))f_\eta(e) - \mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))\| < \varepsilon/8 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 6.49}$$

Consider the element $Ve \in M_{L+1}(B)$. Since $M_{L+1}(B)$ almost has stable rank one,

$$M_{L+1}(B) \subset \overline{GL(\widetilde{M_{L+1}(B)})}.$$

By Theorem 5 of [31], there is a unitary $U \in \widetilde{M_{n+1}(B)}$ such that

$$U^* f_\delta(e) = V^* f_\delta(e).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} U^*\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))U &\approx_{\varepsilon/8} U^* f_\delta(e)\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))f_\delta(e)U \\ &= V^* f_\delta(e)\mathrm{diag}(\alpha(a), d_L(a))f_\delta(e)V \qquad \text{(e 6.50)} \\ &\approx_{\varepsilon/4} \mathrm{diag}(\beta(a), d_L(a)) \qquad \text{(e 6.51)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

□

7 Stable uniqueness theorems for non-unital C^*-algebras, general cases

Definition 7.1. Let A be a C^*-subalgebra of a σ-unital C^*-algebra B. An element $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ is said to be *uniformly full* in B, if there are positive number $M(a) > 0$ and an integer $N(a) \ge 1$ such that, for any $b \in B_+$ with $\|b\| \le 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $x_i(a), x_2(a), ...x_{n(a)}(a) \in B$ such that $\|x_i(a)\| \le M(a)$, $n(a) \le N(a)$ and

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{n(a)} (x_i(a))^* a x_i(a) - b\| < \varepsilon.$$

In this case, we also say a is $(N(A), M(a))$ full.

We say a is *strongly uniformly full* in B, if the above holds for $\varepsilon = 0$.

We say A is *locally uniformly full*, if every element $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ is uniformly full; and we say A is *strongly locally uniformly full* if every $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ is strongly uniformly full.

If B is unital and A is full in B, then A is always strongly locally uniformly full. In fact, for each $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$, there are $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \in B$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^* a x_i = 1_B.$$

Choose $M(a) = \max\{\|x_i\| : 1 \le i \le m\}$ and $N(a) = m$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let B be a non-unital C^*-algebra and let A be a separable amenable C^*-algebra which is a C^*-subalgebra of B. Suppose that $h_1, h_2 : A \to B$ are two homomorphisms such that $[h_1] = [h_2]$ in $KK(A, B)$. Then there exists a separable C^*-subalgebra $C \subset B$ such that $A, h_1(A), h_2(A) \subset C$ and $[h_1] = [h_2]$ in $KK(A, C)$. If A is full (locally uniformly full), then we may assume that $A \subset C$ is also full (locally uniformly).*

Proof. Put $B_1 = \tilde{B}$. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 : A \to M(SB_1)$ be two induced essential extensions given by mapping tori:

$$M_{h_i} = \{(f, a) \in C([0, 1], B_1) \oplus A : f(0) = a \text{ and } f(1) = h_i(a)\}, \ \ i = 1, 2. \tag{e 7.1}$$

There is a monomorphism $\varphi_0 : A \to M(SB_1 \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and a unitary $w \in M(SB_1 \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that

$$w^*(\mathrm{diag}(h_1(a), \varphi_0(a))w - \mathrm{diag}(h_2(a), \varphi_0(a)) \in SB_1 \otimes \mathcal{K} \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Let C_{000} be the C^*-subalgebra of B generated by $A, h_1(A)$ and $h_2(A)$. In particular C_{000} is separable.

Let $\{e_{i,j}\}$ be the matrix unit for $\mathcal{K}$ and choose a dense sequence $\{t_n\} \subset (0, 1)$. Choose an approximate identity $\{E_n\}$ of $SB_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $E_n \subset M_{k(n)}(SB_1)$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Let $\{a'_n\}$ be a dense sequence of A which also contains a subsequence in $A_{s.a}$ which is dense in $A_{s.a.}$ and a subsequence in A_+ which is dense in A_+. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of elements which contains $\{a'_n\}$ and if $a'_n \in A_+$ then $f_{1/2}(a'_n) \in \{a_n\}$.

Put D_0 the C^*-algebra generated by the subset

$$\{w^*(\mathrm{diag}(h_1(a_n), \varphi_0(a_n))w - \mathrm{diag}(h_2(a_n), \varphi_0(a_n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then D_0 is a separable C^*-subalgebra of $SB_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$w^*(\mathrm{diag}(h_1(a), \varphi_0(a))w - \mathrm{diag}(h_2(a), \varphi_0(a)) \in D_0 \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 7.2}$$

Put D_{00} the C^*-subalgebra of $SB_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ generated by

$$\{E_n, wE_n, E_nw, E_n\varphi_0(a)E_n : a \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let D_{000} be the separable C^*-subalgebra of $SB_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ generated by D_{00} and D_0. Denote by $p_{t_n} : SB_1 \otimes \mathcal{K} \to B_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ the point-evaluation at t_n, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Let C_{00} be the C^*-subalgebra of $B_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ generated by

$$\{p_{t_n}(D_{000}) + C_{000} : n = 1, 2, ...\}.$$

Denote by $C_{0,n}$ the C^*-subalgebra generated by

$$\{e_{n,n}C_{00}e_{n,n} + \lambda e_{n,n} : \lambda \in C\},$$

$n = 1, 2, ...$ Let $C'_{0,n} = e_{1,n}C_{0,n}e_{n,1}$. So $C'_{0,n}$ is a C^*-subalgebra of B_1. Let C' be the C^*-algebra generated by $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} C'_{0,n}$. Note that C' is unital. Since $C' \subset B_1 = \tilde{B}$, we may write $C' = \tilde{C''}$, where $C'' \subset B$ is a separable C^*-subalgebra. Let $\{c_n\}$ be an approximate identity of C'' such that $c_{n+1}c_n = c_nc_{n+1} = c_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ For each a_k, there are $M(a_k, n) > 0$, $N(a_k, n) \geq 1$ and $x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}(a_k), ..., x_{m(n),a_k} \in B$ such that $\|x_{i,n}(a_k)\| \leq M(a_k, n)$, $m(n, a_k) \leq N(a_k, n)$ and

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} x^*_{i,n}a_kx_{i,n} - c^{1/4}_{n+1}\| < 1/2^{n+2},$$

$n = 1, 2, ...$ Let C'_0 be the C^*-subalgebra generated by C'' and $\{x_{i,n}(a_k) : i, k, n\}$. Let $C = \overline{\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(C'_0)c_n}$.

It is clear that $C \supset C''$. We claim that A is full in C. Clearly $\{c_n\}$ is an approximate identity for C.

For any $c \in C_+$ with $\|c\| \le 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_1 \ge 1$ such that

$$\|c^{1/2}c_nc^{1/2} - c^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon/4 \text{ for all } n \ge n_1.$$

For each k, choose $n_2 \ge 1$ such that

$$\|c_na_kc_n - a_k\| < \varepsilon/16(((M(a_k, n_1) + 1) \cdot m(n_1))^2 \text{ for all } n \ge n_2$$

and $1/2^{n_2} < \varepsilon/4$. Let $y_{i,k} = c_{n_2}x_{i,n_2}(a_k)c_{n_2}^{1/4}c^{1/2}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m(n, a_k)$. Then $y_{i,k} \in C$ and $\|y_{i,k}\| \le M(a_k)$ for all i. We have

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{m(n,a_k)} y_{i,k}^* a_k y_{i,k} - c^{1/2}c_{n_2}c^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

It follows that

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{m(n,a_k)} y_{i,k}^* a_k y_{i,k} - c\| < \varepsilon.$$

It follows that each a_k is full in C.

Let I be an ideal of C and let

$$J = \{a \in A : a \in I\}.$$

Let $\pi_J : A \to A/J$ be the quotient map. Fix $a \in J_+$ with $\|a\| = 1$. For any $1/4 > \varepsilon > 0$, by the assumption on $\{a_n'\}$, there is $b \in \{a_n'\} \cap A_+$ such that $\|a - b\| < \varepsilon$. This implies $\|\pi_J(b)\| < \varepsilon$, whence $\pi_J(f_{1/2}(b)) = f_{1/2}(\pi_J(b)) = 0$. In other words, $f_{1/2}(b) \in J$. Since $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$, $\|b\| \ge 1 - 1/4$. Therefore $f_{1/2}(b) \ne 0$. However, $f_{1/2}(b) \in \{a_k\}$. So $f_{1/2}(b)$ is full. This can happen only when $J = \{0\}$. This holds for any ideal I. Thus A is full in C.

Consider C_{1s} the C^*-subalgebra of $B_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ generated by $\tilde{C}$ and $\{e_{i,j}\}$. Then $C_{1s} = \tilde{C} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Let $SC_{1s} = C_0((0, 1), C_{1s})$. Suppose that

$$b \in \{E_n, wE_n, E_nw, E_n\varphi_0(a)E_n, E_n\varphi_0(a), \varphi_0(a)E_n : a \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{e 7.3}$$

Keep in mind that $E_n \in SB_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$, in particular, $E_n(0) = E_n(1) = 0$ $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for each $t_n \in (0, 1)$,

$$\pi_{t_n}(b) \in \pi_{t_n}(SC_{1,s} \otimes \mathcal{K}).$$

It follows that $b \in SC_{1,s}$. To see this, let $\varepsilon > 0$, there are

$$0 = t_{n_0} < t_{n_1} < t_{n_2} < \cdots t_{n_k} < t = t_{n_{k+1}}$$

such that $t_{n_i} \in \{t_n\}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., k$,

$$\|b(t) - b(t_{n_i})\| < \varepsilon/4 \text{ for all } t \in (t_{n_i}, t_{n_{i+1}}),\ i = 0, 1, ..., k.$$

Let $c(t) = (t/t_{n_1})b(t_{n_1})$ if $t \in (0, t_{n_1})$, $c(t) = ((t_{n_{i+1}} - t)/(t_{n_{i+1}} - t_{n_i}))b(t_{n_i}) + ((t - t_{n_i})/(t_{n_{i+1}} - t_{n_i}))b(t_{n_{i+1}})$ for $t \in [t_{n_i}, t_{n_{i+1}})$, $i = 1, 2, ..., k$, and $c(t) = ((1 - t)/(1 - t_{n_k}))b(t_{n_k})$ for $t \in (t_{n_k}, 1)$. Then $c \in SC_{1s} \otimes \mathcal{K}$. On the other hand

$$\|b(t) - c(t)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } t \in (0, 1).$$

This proves that $b \in SC_{1s}$. In particular, $E_n \in SC_{1s}$ and $\{E_n\}$ forms an approximate identity for SC_{1s}. Since $wE_n, E_nw \in SC_{1s} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, this implies that $w \in M(SC_{1s} \otimes \mathcal{K})$. Similarly, since $\varphi_0(a)E_n, E_n\varphi_0(a)E_n \in SC_{1s} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ for all $a \in A$ and $n \geq 1$, one concludes that we may view that φ_0 is a monomorphism from A to $M(SC_{1s})$.

A similar argument shows that $D_0 \subset SC_{1s}$.

We have

$$w^*(\mathrm{diag}(h_1(a), \varphi_0(a))w - \mathrm{diag}(h_2(a), \varphi_0(a)) \in SC_{1s}$$

for all $a \in A$. This implies that $[h_1] = [h_2]$ in $KK(A, C_1) = KK(A, \tilde{C})$. It follows that $[h_1] = [h_2]$ in $KK(A, C)$. Note that if A is locally uniformly full in B, as constructed above, A is also locally uniformly full in C.

□

Remark 7.3. The assumption that A is amenable could be weakened to the assumption that h_1 and h_2 are amenable.

Lemma 7.4. *Let B be a non-unital C^*-algebra and let A be a separable amenable C^*-algebra which is a C^*-subalgebra of B. Suppose that $h_1, h_2 : A \to B$ are two homomorphisms such that $[h_1] = [h_2]$ in $Hom_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B))$. Then there exists a separable C^*-subalgebra $C \subset B$ such that $A, h_1(A), h_2(A) \subset C$ and $[h_1] = [h_2]$ in $Hom_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(C))$. If A is full (locally uniformly full), then we may assume that $A \subset C$ is also full (locally uniformly).*

Theorem 7.5. *Let A be a separable amenable C^*-algebra and let B be a σ-unital C^*-algebra. Suppose that $h_1, h_2 : A \to B$ are two homomorphisms such that*

$$[h_1] = [h_2] \text{ in } KL(A, B).$$

Suppose that there is an embedding $j : A \to B$ which is locally uniformly full. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there is an integer $n \geq 1$ and a unitary $u \in M_{n+1}(\tilde{B})$ such that

$$\|u^*\mathrm{diag}(h_1(a), d_n(a))u - \mathrm{diag}(h_2(a), d_n(a))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. Let $C = \prod_{k=1}^\infty B$, $C_0 = \bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty B$ and let $\pi : C \to C/C_0$ be the quotient map. Let $H_i = \{h_i\} : A \to C$ be defined by $H_i(a) = \{h_i(a)\}$ for all $a \in A$, $i = 1, 2$. Define $H_0 : A \to C$ by $H_0(a) = \{j(a)\}$ for all $a \in A$. It follows from 3.5 of [26] that

$$[\pi \circ H_1] = [\pi \circ H_2] \text{ in } KK(A, C/C_0). \tag{e 7.4}$$

Since $j : A \to B$ is locally uniformly full, for any $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$, let $M(a)$ and $N(a)$ be defined as in 7.1 associated with element a. Let $\{b_n\} \subset (\prod_{n=1}^\infty B)_+$ with $\|\{b_n\}\| \leq 1$. There are there are $x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}, ..., x_{m(n),n} \in B$ such that $\|x_{i,n}\| \leq M(a)$ and $m(n, a) \leq N(a)$ and

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{m(n,a)} x_i^* j(a) x_i - b_n\| < \varepsilon.$$

By adding zeros, if necessarily, without loss of generality, we may assume that $m(n, a) = N(a)$ for all n. Put $z_i = \{x_{i,n}\}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N(a)$. Then $\|z_i\| = \sup\{\|x_{i,n}\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq M(a)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N(a)$. Therefore $z_i \in \prod_{n=1}^\infty B$. We have that

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{N(a)} z_i^* H_0(a) z_i - \{b_n\}\| < \varepsilon.$$

This implies that H_0 is locally uniformly full in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} B$. Viewing $\pi \circ H_0 : A \to C/C_0$ as an embedding. Then it is locally uniformly full. Combining this with (e 7.4), applying 7.2, we obtain a separable C^*-subalgebra $D \subset C/C_0$ such that $\pi \circ H_0(A), \pi \circ H_1(A), \pi \circ H_2(A) \subset D$, $\pi \circ H_0$ is locally uniformly full in D and

$$[\pi \circ H_1] = [\pi \circ H_2] \text{ in } KK(A, D).$$

Applying 6.3, there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ and unitary $U \in M_{n+1}(\tilde{D})$ such that

$$\|U^* \mathrm{diag}(\pi \circ H_1(a), d_n(\pi \circ H_0(a)))U - \mathrm{diag}(\pi \circ H_2(a), d_n(\pi \circ H_0(a)))\| < \varepsilon/4$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Note that $U \in M_{n+1}(\widetilde{C/C_0})$. There is a unitary $V = \{v_k\} \in \tilde{C}$ such that $\pi(V) = U$. Therefore, for all sufficiently large n,

$$\|v_k^* \mathrm{diag}(h_1(a), d_n(a))v_k - \mathrm{diag}(h_2(a), d_n(a))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Choose $u = v_k$ for some sufficiently large k. □

Theorem 7.6. *Let A be a separable amenable C^*-algebra and let B be a σ-unital C^*-algebra which almost has stable rank one. Suppose that $h_1, h_2 : A \to B$ are two homomorphisms such that*

$$[h_1] = [h_2] \text{ in } KL(A, B).$$

Suppose that there is an embedding $j : A \to B$ which is locally uniformly full. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there is an integer $n \geq 1$ and a unitary $u \in \widetilde{M_{n+1}(B)}$ such that

$$\|u^* \mathrm{diag}(h_1(a), d_n(a))u - \mathrm{diag}(h_2(a), d_n(a))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. This follows from 7.5 together with the proof of 6.4. □

Definition 7.7. (Definition 2.1 of [15]) Fix a map $r_0 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$, a map $r_1 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$, a map $T : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, integers $s \geq 1$ and $R \geq 1$. We say a C^*-algebra $A \in \mathbf{C}_{(r_0, r_1, T, s, R)}$ if

(a) for any integer $n \geq 1$ and any pair of projections $p, q \in M_n(\tilde{A})$ with $[p] = [q]$ in $K_0(A)$, $p \oplus 1_{M_{r_0(n)}(A)}$ and $q \oplus 1_{M_{r_0(n)}(\tilde{A})}$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, moreover, if $p \in M_n(\tilde{A})$ and $q \in M_m(\tilde{A})$ and $[p] - [q] \geq 0$, there exists $p' \in M_{n+r_0(n)}(\tilde{A})$ such that $p' \leq p \oplus 1_{M_{r_0(n)}}$ and p' is equivalent to $q \oplus 1_{M_{r_0(n)}}$;

(b) if $k \geq 1$, and $x \in K_0(A)$ such that $-n[1_{\tilde{A}}] \leq kx \leq n[1_{\tilde{A}}]$ for some integer $n \geq 1$, then

$$-T(n, k)[1_{\tilde{A}}] \leq x \leq T(n, k)[1_{\tilde{A}}];$$

(c) the canonical map $U(M_s(\tilde{A}))/U_0(M_s(\tilde{A})) \to K_1(A)$ is surjective;

(d) if $u \in U(M_n(\tilde{A}))$ and $[u] = 0$ in $K_1(\tilde{A})$, then $u \oplus 1_{M_{r_1(n)}} \in U_0(M_{n+r_1(n)}(\tilde{A}))$;

(f) $\mathrm{cer}(M_m(\tilde{A})) \leq R$ for all $m \geq 1$.

Note that if $K_0(A) = \{0\}$, then A satisfies condition (a) and (b) for any r_0 and T. If A has stable rank one, then r_0 and r_1 can be chosen to be zero.

Definition 7.8. Let A be a separable C^*-algebra, let B be non-unital C^*-algebra and let $L : A \to B$ be a positive linear map. Let $F : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ is a subset. We say L is F-$\mathcal{H}$-full, if, for any $b \in B_+$ with $\|b\| \leq 1$, any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \in B$ such that $m \leq N(a)$ and $\|x_i\| \leq M(a)$, where $(N(a), M(a)) = F(a)$, and

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^* L(a) x_i - b\| \leq \varepsilon. \tag{e 7.5}$$

We say L is exactly F-$\mathcal{H}$-full, if in (e 7.5) holds for $\varepsilon = 0$.

Theorem 7.9. (c.f. 5.3 of [22], Theorem 3.1 of [15] [8], 5.9 of [26] and Theorem 7.1 of [27]) *Let A be a non-unital separable amenable C^*-algebra which satisfies the UCT, let $r_0, r_1 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$, $T : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be three maps, $s, R \geq 1$ be integers and let $F : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{L} : U(M_\infty(\tilde{A})) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be two additional maps. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$, a finite subset $\mathcal{U} \subset U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and an integer $K \geq 1$ satisfying the following: For any two $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\varphi, \psi : A \to B$, where $B \in \mathbf{C}_{r_0,r_1,T,s,R}$, and any $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\sigma : A \to M_l(B)$ (for any integer $l \geq 1$) which is also F-$\mathcal{H}$-full such that*

$$\mathrm{cel}(\lceil \varphi(u) \rceil \lceil \psi(u^*) \rceil) \leq \mathbf{L}(u) \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U} \tag{e 7.6}$$

$$\text{and } [\varphi]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}}, \tag{e 7.7}$$

there exists a unitary $U \in M_{1+Kl}(\tilde{B})$ such that

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, U \circ (\varphi \oplus S)(a) - (\psi \oplus S)(a)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{e 7.8}$$

where

$$S(f) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{\sigma(a), \sigma(a), ..., \sigma(a)}^{K}) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

If furthermore, B almost has stable rank one, one can choose $U \in \widetilde{M_{1+Kl}(B)}$.

Proof. We may also use φ and ψ for $\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_m}$ and $\psi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_m}$, respectively. Fix A, r_0, r_1, T, s, R, F and $\mathbf{L}$ as described above. Suppose that the theorem is false. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ such that there are a sequence of positive numbers $\{\delta_n\}$ with $\delta_n \searrow 0$, an increasing sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_n\} \subset A$ of finite subsets such that $\cup_n \mathcal{G}_n$ is dense in A, an increasing sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_n\} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ of finite subsets such that $\cup_n \mathcal{P}_n = \underline{K}(A)$, an increasing sequence of finite subsets $\{\mathcal{U}_n\} \subset U(M_\infty(\tilde{A}))$ such that $\cup_n \mathcal{U}_n \cap U(M_m(\tilde{A}))$ is dense in $U(M_m(\tilde{A}))$ for each integer $m \geq 1$, an increasing sequence of finite subsets $\{\mathcal{H}_n\} \subset A_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ such that if $a \in \mathcal{H}_n$ and $f_{1/2}(a) \neq 0$, then $f_{1/2}(a) \in \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ and $\cup_n \mathcal{H}_n$ is dense in $A_+^{\mathbf{1}}$, a sequences of integers $\{k(n)\}$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} k(n) = \infty$, a sequence of unital C^*-algebras $B_n \in \mathbf{C}_{r_0,r_1,T,s,R}$, two sequences of $\mathcal{G}_n$-δ_n-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\varphi_n, \psi_n : A \to B_n$ such that

$$[\varphi_n]|_{\mathcal{P}_n} = [\psi_n]|_{\mathcal{P}_n} \text{ and } \mathrm{cel}(\lceil \varphi_n(u) \rceil \lceil \psi_n(u^*) \rceil) \leq \mathbf{L}(u) \tag{e 7.9}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and a sequence of unital $\mathcal{G}_n$-δ_n-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\sigma_n : A \to M_{l(n)}(B_n)$ which is also F-$\mathcal{H}_n$-full satisfying

$$\inf\{\sup \|v_n^* \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_n(a), S_n(a)) v_n - \mathrm{diag}(\psi_n(a), S_n(a))\| : a \in \mathcal{F}\|\} \geq \varepsilon_0, \tag{e 7.10}$$

where the infimum is taken among all unitaries $v_n \in M_{k(n)l(n)+1}(B_n)$ and

$$S_n(a) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{\sigma_n(a), \sigma_n(a), ..., \sigma_n(a)}^{k(n)}) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Put $B_n' = M_{l(n)}(B_n)$. Let $C_0 = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty B_n'$, $C = \prod_{n=1}^\infty B_n'$, $Q(C) = C/C_0$ and $\pi : C \to Q(C)$ is the quotient map. Define $\Phi, \Psi, S : A \to C$ by $\Phi(a) = \{\varphi_n(a)\}$, $\Psi(a) = \{\psi_n(a)\}$ and $S(a) = \{\sigma_n(a)\}$ for all $a \in A$. Note that $\pi \circ \Phi$, $\pi \circ \Psi$ and $\pi \circ S$ are homomorphisms. Denote also $\Phi^{(m)}, \Psi^{(m)}, S^{(m)} : A \to \prod_{n \geq m} B_n'$ by $\Phi^{(m)}(a) = \{\varphi_n(a)\}_{n \geq m}$, $\Psi^{(m)}(a) = \{\psi_n(a)\}_{n \geq m}$ and $S^{(m)}(a) = \{\sigma_n(a)\}_{n \geq m}$.

For each $u \in \mathcal{U}_m$, we may assume that $u \in M_{L(m)}(\tilde{A})$ for some integer $L(m) \ge 1$. When $n \ge m$, by the assumption, there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_n(t) : t \in [0,1]\} \subset M_{L(m)}(\tilde{B}'_n)$ such that

$$u_n(0) = \lceil \varphi_n(u) \rceil, u_n(1) = \lceil \psi_n(u) \rceil \text{ and } \\ \mathrm{cel}(\{u_n(t)\}) \le \mathbf{L}(u).$$

It follows from Lemma 1.1 of [15] that, for all $n \ge m$, there exists a continuous path $\{U(t) : t \in [0,1]\} \subset U_0(\prod_{n\ge m} \tilde{B}'_n)$ such that $U(0) = \{\langle \varphi_n(u) \rangle\}_{n\ge m}$ and $U(1) = \{\langle \psi_n(u) \rangle\}_{n\ge m}$. This in particular implies that

$$\langle \Phi^{(m)}(u) \rangle \langle \Psi^{(m)}(u^*) \rangle \in U_0(M_{L(m)}(\prod_{n\ge m} \tilde{B}'_n)) \text{ and } (\pi \circ \Phi)_{*1} = (\pi \circ \Psi)_{*1}. \quad (\mathrm{e}\,7.11)$$

By (e 7.6), for all $n \ge m$,

$$[\varphi_n]|_{\mathcal{P}_m} = [\psi_n]|_{\mathcal{P}_m}. \quad (\mathrm{e}\,7.12)$$

By the assumption and by [15], $K_0(C) = \prod_b K_0(B'_n)$. It follows that

$$[\Phi^{(m)}]|_{K_0(A)\cap \mathcal{P}_m} = [\Psi^{(m)}]|_{K_0(A)\cap \mathcal{P}_m}, \ m = 1, 2, ... \quad (\mathrm{e}\,7.13)$$

In particular,

$$(\pi \circ \Phi)_{*0} = (\pi \circ \Psi)_{*0}. \quad (\mathrm{e}\,7.14)$$

Now let $x_0 \in \mathcal{P}_m \cap K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ for some $k \ge 2$. Let $\tilde{x}_0 \in K_1(A)$ be the image of x_0 under the map $K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \to K_1(A)$. We may assume that $\tilde{x}_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{m_0}$ for some $m_0 \ge m$. By (e 7.13), $[\Phi^{(m_0)}](\tilde{x}) = [\Psi^{(m_0)}](\tilde{x})$. Put $y_0 = [\Phi^{(m_0)}](x) - [\Psi^{(m_0)}](x)$. Then $y_0 \in K_0((\prod_{n\ge m_0} B'_n), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ must be in the image of $K_0(\prod_{n\ge m_0} B'_n)$ which may be identified with $K_0(\prod_{n\ge m_0} B'_n)/kK_0(\prod_{n\ge m_0} B'_n)$. (see [15]). However, by (e 7.12),

$$y_0 \in \ker \psi_0^{(k)},$$

where $\psi_0^{(k)} : K_0(\prod_{n\ge m_0} B'_n, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \to \prod_{n\ge m_0} K_0(B'_n, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ is as in 4.1.4 of [29]. By [15], $y_0 = 0$. In other words,

$$[\Phi](x) = [\Psi](x)$$

which implies that

$$[\pi \circ \Phi]|_{K_0(A,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})} = [\pi \circ \Psi]|_{K_0(A,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})}, \ k = 2, 3, ... \quad (\mathrm{e}\,7.15)$$

Now let $x_1 \in K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$. We may assume that $x \in \mathcal{P}_m$ for some $m \ge 1$. Let $\tilde{x}_1 \in K_0(A)$ be the image of x under the map $K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \to K_0(A)$. There is $m_1 \ge m$ such that $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1}$. By (e 7.13), $[\Phi^{(m_1)}](\tilde{x}) = [\Psi^{(m_1)}](\tilde{x})$. Put $y_1 = [\Phi^{(m_1)}](x_1) - [\Psi^{(m_1)}](x_1)$. Then $y_1 \in K_1(\prod_{n=m_1} B'_n)/kK_1(\prod_{n=m_1} B'_n)$ (see ([15])). However, by (e 7.11), $y_1 \in \ker\psi_1^{(k)}$ (see 4.1.4 of [29]) It follows from [15] that $y_1 = 0$. In other words,

$$[\Phi](x_1) = [\Psi](x_1).$$

Thus

$$[\pi \circ \Phi]|_{K_1(A,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})} = [\pi \circ \Psi]|_{K_1(A,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})}. \quad (\mathrm{e}\,7.16)$$

Therefore, combining (e 7.11), (e 7.14), (e 7.15) and (e 7.16),

$$[\pi\circ\Phi]=[\pi\circ\Psi] \text{ in } Hom_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A),\underline{K}(Q(C)).$$

For each $a\in\mathcal{H}_m\subset A_+^{\mathbf{1}}\setminus\{0\}$, any $\{b_n\}\in C_+^{\mathbf{1}}$, and any $\eta>0$, since σ_n is F-$\mathcal{H}_n$-full, for all $n\ge m$, there are $x_{i,n}(a)\in B_n'$ with $\|x_{i,n}\|\le M(a)$, $i=1,2,...,N(a)$, where $F(a)=M(a)\times N(a)$, such that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{N(a)}x_{i,n}(a)^*\sigma_n(a)x_{i,n}(a)-b_n\|<\eta.$$

Define $x(i,a)=\{x_{i,n}(a)\}$. Then $x(i,a)\in C$. It follows that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{N(a)}x(i,a)^*S^{(m)}(a)x(i,a)-\{b_n\}\|<\varepsilon.$$

This shows that $\pi\circ S(a)$ is a full element in $Q(C)$ for all $a\in\cup_{n=1}^\infty\mathcal{H}_n$. Let I be an ideal of C and let

$$J=\{a\in A:\pi\circ S(a)\in I\}.$$

The same argument used in the proof of 7.2 shows that $J=\{0\}$. It follows that $\pi\circ S$ is full.

It follows from 7.4 that there exists a separable C^*-subalgebra $D\subset Q(C)$ such that $\pi\circ S(A),\pi\circ\Phi(A),\pi\circ\Psi(A)\subset D$ and

$$[\pi\circ\Phi]=[\pi\circ\Psi] \text{ in } KL(A,D).$$

It follows from the end of the proof 7.5 that there exists an integer $K\ge 1$ and unitary $V\in M_{K+1}(\widetilde{Q(C)})$ such that

$$\|V^*\mathrm{diag}(\pi\circ\Phi(a),\Sigma(a))V-\mathrm{diag}(\pi\circ\Psi(a),\Sigma(a))\|<\varepsilon_0/4$$

for all $a\in\mathcal{F}$, where

$$\Sigma(a)=\mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{\pi\circ S(a),\pi\circ S(a),...,\pi\circ S(a)}^{K}) \text{ for all } a\in A.$$

Therefore there exists a sequence of unitaries $\{v_n\}\subset M_{K+1}(\tilde{C})$ and an integer N_1 such that $k(n)\ge K$ for all $n\ge N_1$ and

$$\|v_n^*\mathrm{diag}(\varphi_n(a),S_{n,K}(a))v_n-\mathrm{diag}(\psi_n(a),S_{n,K}(a))\|<\varepsilon_0/2$$

for all $a\in\mathcal{F}$, where

$$S_{n,K}(a)=\mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{\sigma_n(a),\sigma_n(a),...,\sigma_n(a)}^{K}) \text{ for all } a\in A.$$

This contradicts (e 7.9).

If furthermore B almost has stable rank one, then one can deploy the same proof as that of 7.6. □

Remark 7.10. Let $K_0(A)\cap\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_1$ and write $\mathcal{P}_1=\{z_1,z_2,...,z_m\}$. Then, by choosing sufficiently large $\mathcal{P}$, we can always choose $\mathcal{U}=\{w_1,w_2,...,w_m\}$ so that $[w_i]=z_i$, $i=1,2,...,m$. In other words, that we do not need to consider unitaries in $U_0(M_\infty(\tilde{A})$. In particular, if $K_1(A)=\{0\}$, then we can omit the condition (e 7.6). Moreover, if B is restricted in the class of C^*-algebras of real rank zero, then one can choose $\mathbf{L}\equiv 2\pi+1$ and (e 7.6) always holds if $\mathcal{P}$ is sufficiently large. In other words, in this case, condition (e 7.6) can also be dropped.

Corollary 7.11. *Let A be a non-unital separable amenable C^*-algebra which satisfies the UCT such that $K_i(A) = \{0\}$ $(i = 0, 1)$, and let $T : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ be a map. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and an integer $K \geq 1$ satisfying the following: For any two $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\varphi, \psi : A \to B$, where B is any σ-unital C^*-algebra, and any $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\sigma : A \to M_l(B)$ (for any integer $l \geq 1$) which is also T-$\mathcal{H}$-full, there exists a unitary $U \in M_{1+Kl}(\tilde{B})$ such that*

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, U \circ (\varphi \oplus S)(a) - (\psi \oplus S)(a)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{e 7.17}$$

where

$$S(f) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{\sigma(a), \sigma(a), ..., \sigma(a)}^{K}) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

If furthermore, B almost has stable rank one, one can choose $U \in \widetilde{M_{1+Kl}(B)}$.

Proof. The only reason that the restriction has to be placed on B is for the computation of K-theory of maps φ and ψ. Since now $K_i(A) = \{0\}$, this problem disappears. □

Corollary 7.12. *Let A be a non-unital separable amenable C^*-algebra which satisfies the UCT, and let $T : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ be a map. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and an integer $K \geq 1$ satisfying the following: For any two $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\varphi, \psi : A \to B$, where B is any σ-unital C^*-algebra with $K_i(B) = \{0\}$ $(i = 0, 1)$ and any $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\sigma : A \to M_l(B)$ (for any integer $l \geq 1$) which is also T-$\mathcal{H}$-full, there exists a unitary $U \in M_{1+Kl}(\tilde{B})$ such that*

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, U \circ (\varphi \oplus S)(a) - (\psi \oplus S)(a)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{e 7.18}$$

where

$$S(f) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{\sigma(a), \sigma(a), ..., \sigma(a)}^{K}) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

If furthermore, B almost has stable rank one, one can choose $U \in \widetilde{M_{1+Kl}(B)}$.

Remark 7.13. In Theorem 7.9, 7.11 as well as 7.12, if B is σ-unital and has almost stable rank one, and there is a σ-unital hereditary C^*-subalgebra $B_0 \subset B$ such that $\varphi(A), \psi(A) \subset B_0$, then the unitary U can be chosen in $\tilde{B}_1$, where B_1 is the hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $M_{1+Kl}(B)$ generated by $B_0 \oplus M_{Kl}(B)$.

This can be seen as follows.

First one may assume that $\mathcal{F} \subset A^{\mathbf{1}}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then note that B_1 is σ-unital.

Fix $\varepsilon > \eta > 0$. Let $e \in B_1$ be a strictly positive element such that

$$\|f_\delta(e)x - x\| < \eta/16 \text{ and } \|x - xf_\delta(e)\| < \eta/16$$

for all $x \in \{(\varphi \oplus S)(a), (\psi \oplus S)(a) : a \in \mathcal{F}\}$, where $1/2 > \delta > 0$. Suppose that there is a unitary U in the unitization of $M_{1+Kl}(B)$ such that

$$\|U^*(\varphi \oplus S)(a)U(a) - (\psi \oplus S)(a)\| < \eta/16 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Put $z = (f_\delta(e)Uf_{\delta/2}(e)) \in B_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} zz^*(\varphi \oplus S)(a) &\approx_{\eta/16} zf_{\delta/2}U^*(\varphi \oplus S)(a) \approx_{\eta/16} zf_{\delta/2}(e)(\psi \oplus S)(a)U^* \\ &\approx_{\eta/16} z(\psi \oplus S)(a)U^* \approx_{\eta/16} f_\delta(e)U(\psi \oplus S)(a)U^* \\ &\approx_{\eta/16} f_\delta(e)(\varphi(a) \oplus S)(a) \approx_{\eta/16} (\varphi \oplus S)(a) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Exactly the same estimates shows that

$$(\varphi \oplus S)(a)zz^* \approx_{6\eta/16} (\varphi \oplus S)(a) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

With a sufficiently small η, one has

$$|z^*|(\varphi \oplus S)(a) \approx_{\varepsilon/64} (\varphi \oplus S)(a) \approx_{\varepsilon/64} (\varphi \oplus S)(a)|z^*|$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$. One also has

$$\|z^*(\varphi \oplus S)(a)z - (\psi \oplus S)(a)\| < \eta/8 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Choose $1/2 > \delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$f_{\delta_1}(|z^*|)|z^*| \approx_{\varepsilon/64} |z^*|.$$

Write $z^* = w|z|$ as a poler decomposition in B_1^{**}. Since B_1 has almost stable rank one, there exists a unitary $v \in \tilde{B}_1$ such that

$$vf_{\delta_1}(|z^*|) = wf_{\delta_1}(|z^*|).$$

Note that

$$vf_{\delta_1}(|z^*|) \approx_{\eta/64} z^*.$$

It follows that

$$\|v(\varphi \oplus S)(a)v^* - (\psi \oplus S)(a)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Remark 7.14. Suppose that A has the property that $KK(A, B) = \{0\}$ for every C^*-algebras B. Then the assumption of UCT can be dropped. Note that UCT condition is used only to ensure that $[\pi \circ \Phi] = [\pi \circ \Psi]$ in $KL(A, D)$. Moreover, in this case, the condition related to K-theory can also dropped. In particular, 7.11 holds if we replace A by a non-unital separable amenable C^*-algebra which satisfies $KK(A, B) = \{0\}$ for all C^*-algebras B.

8 Quasi-compact C^*-algebras

Definition 8.1. A σ-unital C^*-algebra is said to be *quasi-compact*, if there is $e \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ with $0 \le e_1 \le 1$ and a partial isometry $w \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^{**}$ such that

$$w^*a,\ w^*aw \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}, ww^*a = aww^* = a \text{ and } ew^*aw = w^*awe = w^*aw \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Proposition 8.2. *Let C be σ-unital C^*-algebra and let $c \in C \setminus \{0\}$ with $0 \le c \le 1$ be a full element in C. Suppose that there is $e_1 \in C$ with $0 \le e_1 \le 1$ such that $e_1c = ce_1 = c$. Then $\overline{cCc}$ is quasi-compact.*

Proof. $B = \overline{cCc}$. Let $E \subset M_2(C)$ be a C^*-subalgebra of the form

$$E = \{(a_{ij})_{2\times 2} : a_{11} \in B, a_{12} \in \overline{BC}, a_{21} \in \overline{CB}, a_{22} \in C\}.$$

We view $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $C \otimes \mathcal{K}$ as full corners of $E \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Moreover, let p_1 be the range projection of $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and let p_2 be the range projection of $C \otimes \mathcal{K}$, then $p_1, p_2 \in M(E \otimes \mathcal{K})$. By 2.8 of [4], there is a partial isometry $W \in M(E \otimes \mathcal{K})$ such that $W^*(B \otimes \mathcal{K})W = C \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $WW^* = p_1$ and $WW^* = p_2$. Moreover,

$$p_1Wb = p_1Wbp_1 \text{ for all } b \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

Since $W \in M(E \otimes \mathcal{K})$, $Wb \in E \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Therefore $p_1 W b p_1 \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Put $w = (Wp_1)^*$. Then, for any $b \in B$,

$$(w)^* b = p_1 W b \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}, w^* b w = p_1 W b W^* p_1 \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

Moreover, let $e = p_1 W e_1 W^* p_1 \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$,

$$ew^*bw = p_1 W e_1 W^* p_1 W b W^* p_1 = p_1 W e_1 p_2 b W^* p_1 = p_1 W e_1 b W^* p_1 = p_1 W b W^* p_1 = w^* b w$$

for all $b \in B$. We also have $w^*bwe = ewbw^*$ and $ww^*b = p_1 W^* W p_1 b = p_1 b = b$ and $bww^* = b$ for all $b \in B$.

Thus B is quasi-compact. □

Corollary 8.3. *A σ-unital full hereditary C^*-subalgebra of a σ-unital quasi-compact C^*-algebra is quasi-compact.*

Lemma 8.4. *Let A be a σ-unital and non-unital C^*-algebra which is quasi-compact. Then, there exists an integer $N \geq 1$, a partial isometry $w \in M_N(A)^{**}$ and $e \in M_N(A)$ with $0 \leq e \leq 1$ such that*

$$w^*aw \in M_N(A), \ \ ww^*a = aww^* = a \ \text{ and } \ w^*awe = ew^*aw = w^*aw \ \text{ for all } \ a \in A.$$

Proof. Let $b \in A$ be a strictly positive element with $0 \leq b \leq 1$. We may assume that A is a full hereditary C^*-subalgebra of a σ-unital C^*-algebra C such that $b \in C$ and there is $e_1 \in C$ with $0 \leq e_1 \leq 1$ such that $be_1 = b = be_1$. Moreover, $bCb = A$. Since b is full in C, by applying 3.1, there exists $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \in C$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^* b x_i = f_{1/4}(e_1).$$

Note that $f_{1/4}(e_1)b = b = f_{1/4}(e_1)$. Put $X^* = (x_1^* b^{1/2}, x_2^* b^{1/2}, ..., x_m^* b^{1/2})$ as an 1-row element in $M_m(C)$. Then

$$X^*X = f_{1/4}(e_1) \ \text{ and } \ XX^* \in M_m(b^{1/2} C b^{1/2}) = M_m(A).$$

Let $X = v|X^*X|^{1/2}$ be the polar decomposition of X in $M_m(C)^{**}$. Then

$$vav^* = v|X^*X|^{1/2} a |X^*X|^{1/2} v^* = XaX^* \in M_m(A) \ \text{ for all } \ a \in A.$$

Note $Xb^{1/n} \in M_m(A)$. Let p be the open projection corresponding to b. Then $Xp \in M_m(A)^{**}$. Note also that $Xp = v|X^*X|^{1/2}p = vp$. Set $w = (Xp)^*$. Then $w^* = vp$ and $ww^* = pX^*Xp = pf_{1/4}(e_1)p = p$. So w is a partial isometry. Set $e = XX^*$.

$$w^*aw = XaX^* \in M_m(A) \ \text{ for all } \ a \in A.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} w^*awe = XaX^*XX^* = Xaf_{1/4}(e_1)X^* = XaX^* = w^*aw \ \text{ and} \\ ew^*aw = XX^*XaX^* = Xf_{1/4}(e_1)aX^* = XaX^* = w^*aw. \end{aligned} \tag{e 8.1}$$

□

Lemma 8.5. *Let A be a σ-unital quasi-compact C^*-algebra with $T(A) \neq \{0\}$. Then the weak *-closure $\overline{T(A)^w}$ of $T(A)$ in $\tilde{T}(A)$ is compact and $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$. Moreover, if $a \in A$ with $0 \leq a \leq 1$ is strictly positive, then there is $d > 0$ such that*

$$d_\tau(a) \geq d \ \text{ for all } \ \overline{T(A)^w}.$$

Proof. By 8.4, without loss of generality, we may assume that A is a full hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $B\otimes\mathcal{K}$ for some σ-unital C^*-algebra B such that there is $e_1\in (B\otimes\mathcal{K})_+$ such that $0\le e_1\le 1$ and $e_1x=xe_1=x$ for all $x\in A$. Put $C=B\otimes\mathcal{K}$. Let $\tilde{T}(C)$ be the convex set of all traces defined on the Pedersen ideal $P(C)$. Note that $A\subset P(C)$. Since A is full in C, we may also assume that each $\tau\in T(A)$ has been extended to an element in $\tilde{T}(C)$. Let $a\in A_+$ be a strictly positive element of A. There are $x_1,x_2,....,x_m\in C$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^*ax_i=f_{1/4}(e_1). \tag{e 8.2}$$

Note that $f_{1/4}(e_1)x=xf_{1/4}(e_1)=x$ for all $x\in A$. But $f_{1/4}(e_1)\in P(C)$. Consider

$$S=\{\tau\in\tilde{T}(C):\tau(f_{1/4}(e_1))\ge 1\}.$$

Then S is compact. Note that

$$T(A)=\{\tau\in\tilde{T}(C):d_\tau(a)=1\}.$$

It follows that, for any $\tau\in T(A)$,

$$\tau(f_{1/4}(e_1))\ge d_\tau(a)=1. \tag{e 8.3}$$

It follows that $T(A)\subset S$. Therefore the weak*-closure of $T(A)$ is compact and $0\notin\overline{T(A)}^w$.

By (e 8.2),

$$[f_{1/4}(e_1)]\lesssim m[a]\ \text{ in }\ W(C). \tag{e 8.4}$$

Put $d=1/m$. It follows that, for any $t\in S$,

$$d_\tau(a)\ge t(f_{1/4}(e_1))/m\ge 1/m=d.$$

□

Corollary 8.6. *Let A be a σ-unital C^*-algebra which is quasi-compact with $QT(A)=T(A)\neq\{0\}$ and let $a\in A$ be a strictly positive element with $0\le a\le 1$. Suppose that*

$$d=\inf\{d_\tau(a):\tau\in\overline{T(A)}^w\}>0.$$

Then, for any $d/3<d_0<d$, there exists an integer $n\ge 1$ such that, for all $m\ge n$,

$$\tau(f_{1/m}(a))\ge d_0\ \text{ and }\ \tau(a^{1/m})\ge d_0.$$

This is a standard compactness argument.

Theorem 8.7. *Let A be a σ-unital C^*-algebra. Then A is quasi-compact if and only if $P(A)=A$.*

Proof. First assume that A is quasi-compact. Let $a\in A_+$ be a strictly positive element. Then there exists $e\in(A\otimes\mathcal{K})_+$ and a partial isometry $v\in(A\otimes\mathcal{K})^{**}$ such that

$$w^*xw\in A\otimes\mathcal{K},\ \ ww^*x=xww^*=x\ \text{ and }\ w^*xwe=ew^*xw=w^*xw\ \text{ for all }\ x\in A.$$

Let $z=w^*a^{1/2}$. Then $zz^*\in P(A\otimes\mathcal{K})_+$. It follows that $a=z^*z\in P(A\otimes\mathcal{K})_+$. Therefore the hereditary C^*-subalgebra generated by a is in $P(A\otimes\mathcal{K})$. Consequently $A\subset P(A\otimes\mathcal{K})$.

To see that $A=P(A)$, one applies Theorem 2.1 of [40].

Conversely, suppose that there are $b_1, b_2, ..., b_m \in A_+$ such that

$$a^{1/2} \le \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(b_i) \text{ and } 0 \le b_1, b_2, ..., b_m \le 1,$$

where $g_i \in C_0((0, N)$ for some $N \ge 1$ and the support of g_i is in $[\sigma, N]$ for some $1/2 > \sigma > 0$. Note each $g_i = \sum_{j=1}^K g_{i,j}$ for some $\|g_{i,j}\| + 1 \ge K \ge 1$, where $0 \le g_{i,j} \le 1$ and its support still in $[\sigma, N]$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 \le g_i \le 1$.

Let $c_i = (g_i(b_i))^{1/2}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$.

Define

$$Z = (c_1, c_2, ..., c_m)$$

which we view as a $m \times m$ matrix with zero rows other than the first row. Define

$$E = \text{diag}(f_{\sigma/2}(b_1), f_{\sigma/2}(b_2), ..., f_{\sigma/2}(b_m)) \in M_m(A).$$

Note that

$$ZZ^* = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 \ge a \text{ and } Z^*Z = (d_{i,j})_{m\times m},$$

where

$$d_{i,j} = c_i c_j, \ \ i, j = 1, 2, ..., m.$$

It follows that

$$E(Z^*Z) = E(c_i c_j)_{m\times m} = (c_i c_j)_{m\times m} = (Z^*Z)E.$$

Write $Z^* = V|Z^*|$. Then

$$Vx \in M_m(A), VV^*|Z| = |Z|VV^* = |Z| \text{ and } (VxV^*)E = E(VxV^*) = (VxV^*)$$

for all $x \in \overline{(ZZ^*)M_m(A)(ZZ^*)}$. Note that $A \subset \overline{(ZZ^*)M_m(A)(ZZ^*)}$. Therefore A is quasi-compact.

□

The number n below will be used later.

Lemma 8.8. *Let A be a σ-unital C^*-algebra with $QT(A) = T(A) \ne \emptyset$ and $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$. Suppose that A has the strong strict comparison for positive elements and has almost stable rank one. Then A is quasi-compact. Moreover, let $a \in A$ with $0 \le a \le 1$ be a strictly positive element, let*

$$d = \inf\{d_\tau(a) : \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w\},$$

and let n be an integer such that $nd > 1$, there exists elements $e_1, e_2 \in M_n(A)$ with $0 \le e_1, e_2 \le 1$, $e_1e_2 = e_2e_1 = e_1$ and $w \in M_n(A)^{**}$ such that

$$w^*c, cw \in M_n(A), ww^*c = cww^* = c \text{ for all } c \in A, \tag{e 8.5}$$

$$w^*cwe_1 = e_1w^*cw = c \text{ for all } c \in A. \tag{e 8.6}$$

Furthermore, there exists a full element $b_0 \in P(A)$ with $0 \le b_0 \le 1$ and $e_0 \in P(A)_+$ such that $b_0e = eb_0 = b_0$.

Proof. Let $a \in A_+$ with $0 \le a \le 1$ be a strictly positive element. Since $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$ and $\overline{T(A)}^w$ is compact,

$$\inf\{d_\tau(a) : \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w\} = d > 0.$$

Suppose that $nd > 1$.

By 8.6 there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\inf\{\tau(f_\varepsilon(a)) : \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w\} = d_0 > 2d/3$$

such that $nd_0 > 1$. Therefore, by the strong strict comparison,

$$a \lesssim \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{f_\varepsilon(a), f_\varepsilon(a), ..., f_\varepsilon(a)}^{n}) \text{ in } M_n(A).$$

Put $b = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{f_\varepsilon(a), f_\varepsilon(a), ..., f_\varepsilon(a)}^{n})$. Since A is assumed to almost have stable rank one, by 3.2, there exists $x \in M_n(A)$ such that

$$x^*x = a^{1/2} \text{ and } xx^* \in \overline{bM_n(A)b}.$$

There is a partial isometry $w \in M_n(A)^{**}$ such that $w^*A, Aw \in M_n(A)$, $ww^*c = cww^* = c$ for all $c \in A$ and $w^*Aw \in \overline{bM_n(A)b}$. Put $e = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{f_{\varepsilon/2}(a), f_{\varepsilon/2}(a), ..., f_{\varepsilon/2}(a)}^{n})$. Then $0 \le e \le 1$ and

$$ew^*cw = w^*cwe = w^*cw \text{ for all } c \in A.$$

Thus A is quasi-compact. The second part of the statement also holds.

For the last part of the lemma, choose $b_0 = f_\varepsilon(a)$ and $e_0 = f_{\varepsilon/2}(a)$.

□

Remark 8.9. Let A be a σ-unital exact simple C^*-algebra. Let $e \in P(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Let $T_e(A) = \{\tau \in \tilde{T}(A) : \tau(e) = 1\}$. It is a compact convex set. Let $a \in A$ be the strictly positive element. A is said to have bounded scale if $d_\tau(a)$ is a bounded function on $T_e(A)$ (see [3]). In the absence of strict comparison, one defines that A has bounded scale if there exists an integer $n \ge 1$ such that $n\langle e\rangle \ge \langle b\rangle$ for any $b \in A_+$. However, as shown in [3], this is equivalent to say A is algebraic simple which in turn is equivalent to say that A is quasi-compact.

Proposition 8.10. *Let A be a σ-unital C^*-algebra with $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$ and every trace in $\tilde{T}(A)$ is finite on A. Let $B \subset A$ be a σ-unital full hereditary C^*-subalgebra. Then $0 \notin \overline{T(B)}^w$.*

Proof. Let $b \in B$ with $\|b\| = 1$ is a strictly positive element of B and $B = \overline{bBb}$. Let $e \in A_+$ with $\|e\| = 1$ such that $A = \overline{eAe}$.

Since b is full, then $\tau(b) > 0$ for all $\tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w$. Then

$$1 > r_0 = \inf\{\tau(b) : \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w\} > 0.$$

For any $t \in T(B)$, there is an extension $\tau \in \tilde{T}(A)$ which is finite. Since τ is positive linear functional, it is continuous. Therefore $\tau_0 = \tau/\|\tau\| \in T(A)$. Therefore $t = \|\tau\| \cdot \tau_0|_B$. It follows that

$$t(b) \ge \|\tau\| \cdot r_0 \ge r_0.$$

This shows that $0 \notin \overline{T(B)}^w$.

□

Definition 8.11. Let A be a σ-unital C^*-algebra with $\tilde{T}(A) \neq \{0\}$. Suppose that there is $e \in P(A)_+$ which is full.

Let $A_e = \overline{eAe}$. Then A_e is quasi-compact. Then $0 \notin \overline{T(A_e)}^w$. Assume that A is not unital. One extends each $\tau \in \overline{T(A_e)}^w$ to a tracial state on $\tilde{A}_e$. There is an order preserving homomorphism $\rho_{\tilde{A}_e} : K_0(\tilde{A}_e) \to \mathrm{Aff}(\overline{T(A_e)}^w)$. By [4], one may identify $K_0(A)$ with $K_0(A_e)$. The composition of maps from $K_0(A)$ to $K_0(A_e)$, then from $K_0(A_e)$ to $K_0(\tilde{A}_e)$ and then to $\mathrm{Aff}(\overline{T(A_e)}^w)$ is an order preserving homomorphism which will be denoted by ρ_A. Denote by $\ker\rho_A$ the subgroup of $K_0(A)$ consisting of those $x \in K_0(A)$ such that $\ker\rho_A(x) = 0$. Elements in $\ker\rho_A$ are called infinitesimal elements.

9 Continuous scale and fullness

Definition 9.1. The previous section discussed C^*-algebras with bounded scales. Let us recall the definition of continuous scale ([21] and [25])

Let A be a σ-unital and non-unital C^*-algebra. Fix an approximate identity $\{e_n\}$ for A. Then A has continuous scale, if for any $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that

$$e_m - e_n \lesssim b$$

for all $m > n \geq N$. This definition does not depend on the choice of $\{e_n\}$.

Remark 9.2. It should be noted that for any non-elementary separable simple C^*-algebra A there is a non-zero hereditary C^*-subalgebra $B \subset A$ such that B has continuous scale (2.3 of [25]).

Theorem 9.3 (cf. [25])**.** *Let A be a non-elementary σ-unital simple C^*-algebra with continuous scale such that $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

(1) *$T(A)$ is compact;*

(2) *$d_\tau(a)$ is continuous on $\tilde{T}(A)$ for any strictly positive element a of A;*

(3) *$d_\tau(a)$ is continuous on $\overline{T(A)}^w$ for any strictly positive element a of A.*

Conversely, if A is exact, has strict comparison for positive elements and is quasi-compact, then (1)*,* (2) *and* (3) *are equivalent and also equivalent to the following:*

(4) *A has continuous scale;*

(5) *$d_\tau(a)$ is continuous on $\overline{T(A)}^w$ for some strictly positive element a of A.*

(6) *$d_\tau(a)$ is continuous on $\tilde{T}(A)$ for some strictly positive element a of A.*

Proof. Most part of the theorem are well-known. It is that (1) holds is perhaps not well-known.

Suppose that A has continuous scale. Then A is algebraically simple (3.3 of [21]). In particular, $A = P(A)$. It follows from 8.6 that $K = \overline{T(A)}^w$ is compact. Fix an element $b \in P(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Put $B = \overline{f_{1/2}(b)Af_{1/2}(b)}$. Note that A is not elementary. B_+ contains infinitely many mutually orthogonal elements $\{x_n\}$ with $0 \leq x_n \leq 1$, $n = 1, 2, ...$

Thus, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_{k(\varepsilon)}$ such that $d_\tau(x_{k(\varepsilon)}) < \varepsilon$ for all $\tau \in K$. Note that

$$d_\tau(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(f_{1/2^n}(a)) \text{ for all } \tau \in K.$$

Denote $e_n = f_{1/2^n}(a)$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Since A has continuous scale, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that

$$e_m - e_n \lesssim x_{k(\varepsilon)} \text{ for all } m > n \geq N.$$

In particular,

$$\tau(e_m) - \tau(e_n) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } \tau \in K. \tag{e 9.1}$$

It follows that $d_\tau(a)$ is continuous on K. Since $d_\tau(a) = 1$ on $T(A)$ and $T(A)$ is dense in K, $d_\tau(a) = 1$ for all $\tau \in K$. This implies that $T(A) = K$. This proves (1) and (3). It is clear that (2) are equivalent to (3).

Conversely, if A is as stated and if $d_\tau(a)$ is continuous on K for some strictly positive element, then, (e 9.1) holds for every ε. Since A has strict comparison for positive elements, it follows, for any $b \in A_+$, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that

$$e_m - e_n \lesssim b \text{ for all } m > n \geq N.$$

This implies that A has continuous scale.

In other words, in this case, if A does not have continuous scale, $d_\tau(a)$ is not continuous on K. In particular, $d_\tau(a)$ is not identically 1. This implies $K \neq T(A)$. The above shows that, under the assumption that A as stated in the second part of the theorem, (1), (4) and (5) are equivalent. Since (5) and (6) are equivalent, these are also equivalent to (6). Since the notion of continuous scale is independent of choice of a, these are also equivalent to (2) and (3).

□

Corollary 9.4. *Let A be a σ-unital exact simple C^*-algebra with strict comparison and with continuous scale. Suppose that $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $a \in A$ such that $d_\tau(a)$ is continuous on $T(A)$. Then $\overline{aAa}$ has continuous scale.*

Proof. Put $B = \overline{aAa}$. If $d_\tau(a)$ is continuous on $T(A)$, then $d_\tau(a)$ is a continuous function on $\tilde{T}(A)$. Therefore $\{\tau : d_\tau(a) = 1\}$ is compact. □

Now we turn to local uniform fullness.

Proposition 9.5. *Let A be a σ-unital full C^*-subalgebra of a non-unital C^*-algebra of B. Suppose that B is quasi-compact. Then A is strongly locally uniformly full in B.*

Proof. Let $a \in A$ be a strictly positive element. Then $\overline{aBa}$ is full hereditary C^*-subalgebra B. It suffices to show that $\overline{aBa}$ is locally uniformly full in B. Put $B_1 = \overline{aBa}$.

Let $e \in M_n(B)$ with $0 \leq e \leq 1$ and $w \in M_n(B)^{**}$ such that

$$w^*a,\ w^*aw \in M_n(B),\ \ ww^*a = aww^* = a \text{ and } w^*awe = ew^*aw = w^*aw \text{ for all } a \in B.$$

Note also that $aw \in M_n(B)$ for all $a \in B$.

By Lemma 3.4, for any $1/4 > \varepsilon > 0$ and any $a \in (B_1)_+ \setminus \{0\}$, there are $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \in M_n(B)$ such that

$$f_\varepsilon(e) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^* a x_i.$$

Let p be the range projection of B. Then $px_i \in M_n(B)$ for all $x_i \in M_n(B)$. We may assume that $px_i = x_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$.

Note that $f_\varepsilon(e)w^*bw = w^*bwf_\varepsilon(e) = w^*bw$. It follows that, for any $x \in B$,

$$f_\varepsilon(e)w^*xw = w^*xwf_\varepsilon(e) = w^*xw.$$

Let $M(a) = \max\{\|x_i\| : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ and $N(a) = m$. Then, for any $x \in B_+$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$, $w^*x^{1/2}wf_\varepsilon(e)w^*x^{1/2}w = w^*xw$. Therefore

$$x^{1/2}wf_\varepsilon(e)w^*x^{1/2} = w(w^*xw)w^* = x.$$

Put $z_i = x_i w^* x^{1/2}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$. Since $w^* z^{1/2} \in M_n(B)$ and $px_i = x_i$, $z_i \in B$. Then $\|z_i\| \le M(a)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m z_i^* a z_i &= x^{1/2} w (\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^* a x_i) w^* x^{1/2} \\ &= x^{1/2} w f_\varepsilon(e) w^* x^{1/2} = x. \end{aligned} \tag{e 9.2}$$

□

Theorem 9.6. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra which is quasi-compact and with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Fix an element $e \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ with $\|e\| = 1$ and $0 < d < \inf\{\tau(e) : \tau \in T(A)\}$. Then there exists a map $T : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following: For any finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$, there is a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any exact C^*-algebra B with $T(B) \neq \emptyset$ and $0 \notin \overline{T(B)}^w$ which has the strong strict comparison and has almost stable rank one, and for any $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map $\varphi : A \to B$ such that, for any $c \in \mathcal{H}_1$,*

$$\tau(f_{1/2}(\varphi(e))) > d/2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B),$$

then φ is exactly T-$\mathcal{H}_1$-full. Moreover,

$$\tau(f_{1/2}(c)) \ge \frac{d}{8 \min\{M(c)^2 \cdot N(c) : c \in \mathcal{H}_1\}} \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B).$$

Proof. Since A is a σ-unital simple C^*-algebra which is quasi-compact, then there is $T_1 : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times R_+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that the identity map id_A is T_1-$A_+ \setminus \{0\}$-full.

Write $T_1 = (N_1, M_1)$, where $N_1 : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $M_1 : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer such that $nd/2 > 1$. Define $N = 2nN_1$ and $M = 2M_1$ and $T = (N, M)$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a fixed finite subset.

Suppose that $x_{i,h}, ..., x_{N(h),h} \in A$ with $\|x_{i,h}\| \le M_1(h)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_1(h)} x_{i,h}^* h^2 x_{i,h} = f_{1/64}(e) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1. \tag{e 9.3}$$

We choose a large $\mathcal{G}$ and small $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map φ from A with $\|\varphi\| \neq 0$ has the property that

$$\|\varphi(f_{1/64}(e)) - f_{1/64}(\varphi(e))\| < 1/64 \text{ and} \tag{e 9.4}$$

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{N(h)} \varphi(x_{i,h})^* \varphi(h)^2 \varphi(x_{i,h}) - \varphi(f_{1/64}(e))\| < 1/64 \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1. \tag{e 9.5}$$

Now suppose that $\varphi : A \to B$ (for any B fits the description in the theorem) which is $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map such that

$$\tau(f_{1/2}(\varphi(e))) \ge d/2 \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(B)}^w. \tag{e 9.6}$$

Applying 3.1, one finds $y_{i,h} \in A$ with $\|y_{i,h}\| \le 2\|x_{i,h}\|$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N_1(h)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_1(h)} y_{i,h}^* \varphi(h)^2 y_{i,h} = f_{1/16}(\varphi(e)) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1. \tag{e 9.7}$$

By the assumption of B, applying 8.8, choose $e_1, e_2 \in M_n(B)_+$ and $w \in M_n(B)^{**}$ as described there. Put

$$\bar{E}_0 = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{f_{1/8}(\varphi(e)), f_{1/8}(\varphi(e)), ..., f_{1/8}(\varphi(e))}^{2n}) \text{ and} \tag{e 9.8}$$

$$\bar{E}_1 = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{f_{1/16}(\varphi(e)), f_{1/16}(\varphi(e)), ..., f_{1/16}(\varphi(e))}^{2n}) \in M_{2n}(B)_+. \tag{e 9.9}$$

Then, by the strong strict comparison,

$$e_2 \lesssim \bar{E}_0 \in M_{2n}(B).$$

Since B has almost stable rank one, there exists a unitary $u \in M_{2n}(B)$ such that

$$u^* f_{1/6}(e_2) u \in \overline{\bar{E}_0(M_{2n}(B))\bar{E}_0}.$$

Then

$$u^* f_{1/16}(e_2) u \bar{E}_1 = \bar{E}_1 u^* f_{1/16}(e_2) u = u^* f_{1/16}(e_2) u. \tag{e 9.10}$$

We then write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2nN_1(h)} y'_{i,h} \varphi(h) y'_{i,h} = \bar{E}_1,$$

where $\|y'_{i,h}\| = \|y_{j,h}\|$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, ..., N_1(h)\}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., 2nN_1(h)$, for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2nN_1(h)} (f_{1/16}(e_2)^{1/2} u y'_{i,h}) \varphi(h) (y'_{i,h} u^* f_{1/16}(e_2)^{1/2}) = f_{1/16}(e_2).$$

Therefore, for any $b \in B_+$ with $\|b\| \le 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2nN_1(h)} (w^* b^{1/2} w)(f_{1/16}(e_2)^{1/2} u y'_{i,h}) \varphi(h)^{1/2} \varphi(h) \varphi(h)^{1/2} (y'_{i,h} u^* f_{1/16}(e_2)^{1/2})(w^* b^{1/2} w) = w^* b w.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2nN_1(h)} (b^{1/2} w)(f_{1/16}(e_2) u y'_{i,h} \varphi(h)^{1/2}) \varphi(h) (\varphi(h)^{1/2} (y'_{i,h} u^* f_{1/16}(e_2)) w^* b^{1/2} = b.$$

Note that $b^{1/4} w \in M_n(B)$ and $f_{1/16}(e_2) \in M_n(B)$. Therefore

$$(b^{1/4} w) f_{1/16}(e_2) \in M_n(B).$$

It follows that

$$(b^{1/2} w)(f_{1/16}(e_2)^{1/2} u y'_{i,h} \varphi(h)^{1/2} \in B \text{ and} \tag{e 9.11}$$

$$\|(b^{1/2} w)(f_{1/16}(e_2)^{1/2} u y'_{i,h} \varphi(h)^{1/2}\| \le 2M(h) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1. \tag{e 9.12}$$

This implies that φ is T-$\mathcal{H}_1$-full. □

Remark 9.7. In the light of 10.3, Theorem 9.6 works for C^*-algebras $B \in \mathcal{C}'$.

10 Non-unital and non-commutative one dimensional complices

Definition 10.1. Let F_1 and F_2 be two finite dimensional C^*-algebras. Suppose that there are two homomorphisms $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 : F_1 \to F_2$. Denote the mapping torus M_{φ_1,φ_2} by

$$A = A(F_1, F_2, \varphi_0, \varphi_1) = \{(f, g) \in C([0, 1], F_2) \oplus F_1 : f(0) = \varphi_0(g) \text{ and } f(1) = \varphi_1(g)\}.$$

For $t \in (0, 1)$, define $\pi_t : A \to F_2$ by $\pi_t((f, g)) = f(t)$ for all $(f, g) \in A$. For $t = 0$, define $\pi_0 : A \to \varphi_0(F_1) \subset F_2$ by $\pi_0((f, g)) = \varphi_0(g)$ for all $(f, g) \in A$. For $t = 1$, define $\pi_1 : A \to \varphi_1(F_1) \subset F_2$ by $\pi_1((f, g)) = \varphi_1(g))$ for all $(f, g) \in A$. In what follows, we will call π_t a point-evaluation of A at t. There is a canonical map $\pi_e : A \to F_1$ defined by $\pi_e(f, g) = g$ for all pair $(f, g) \in A$. It is a surjective map.

The class of all C^*-algebras described above is denoted by $\mathcal{C}$.

If $A \in \mathcal{C}$, then A is the pull-back of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \dashrightarrow & C([0,1], F_2) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_e} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\pi_0,\pi_1)} \\ F_1 & \xrightarrow{(\varphi_0,\varphi_1)} & F_2 \oplus F_2. \end{array} \tag{e 10.1}$$

Every such pull-back is an algebra in $\mathcal{C}$. Infinite dimensional C*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}$ are also called *one-dimensional non-commutative finite CW complexes* (NCCW) (see [10] and [11]) and Elliott-Thomsen building blocks.

Denote by $\mathcal{C}_0$ the class of all C^*-algebras A in $\mathcal{C}$ which satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $K_1(A) = \{0\}$,
(2) $K_0(A)_+ = \{0\}$,
(3) $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$.

C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_0$ are stably projectionless.

First such examples can be found in [33]. Let $F_1 = M_k$ for some $k \geq 1$ and $F_2 = M_{(m+1)k}$ for some $m \geq 1$. Define $\psi_0, \psi_1 : F_1 \to F_2$ by

$$\psi_0(a) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{a, a, ..., a}^{m}, 0) \text{ and } \psi_1(a) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{a, a, ..., a, a}^{m+1})$$

for all $a \in F_2$. Let

$$A = A(F_1, F_2, \psi_0, \psi_1). \tag{e 10.2}$$

Then, as shown in [33], $K_0(A) = \{0\} = K_1(A)$ and it is easy to check that $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$.

Denote by $\mathcal{C}_0^0$ the subclass of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_0$ which also satisfies the condition
(2)' $K_0(A) = \{0\}$.

Let $F_1 = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, F_2 = M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. For $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} = F_1$, define

$$\psi_0(a, b) = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{a, a...a}_{n-1}, \underbrace{b, b...b}_{n-1}, 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_1(a, b) = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{a, a...a}_{n}, \underbrace{b, b...b}_{n}).$$

Then $A(F_1, F_2, \psi_0, \psi_1) = A$ has the property that $K_0(A) = \{(k, -k) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})\}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ but $K_0(A)_+ = \{0\}$. Also $K_1(A) = \{0\}$. Thus $A \in \mathcal{C}_0$ but $A \notin \mathcal{C}_0^0$

Let $\mathcal{C}'$ denote the class of all full hereditary C^*-subalgebras of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}$, let $\mathcal{C}_0'$ denote the class of all full hereditary C^*-subalgebras of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_0$ let $\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$ denote the class of all full hereditary C^*-subalgebras of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_0^0$.

Remark 10.2. Let $A = A(F_1, F_2, \psi_0, \psi_1) \in \mathcal{C}_0$. Then $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{C}$. Moreover $\tilde{A} = A(F_1', F_2, \psi_0', \psi_1')$ with both ψ_0' and ψ_1' being unital.

Let $F_1' = F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and let $p = \psi_0(1_{F_1}) \in F_2$ and $q = \psi_1(1_{F_1}) \in F_2$. Define $\psi_0',\ \psi_1' : F_1' \to F_2$ by

$$\psi_0'((a,\lambda)) = \psi_0(a) \oplus (\lambda \cdot (1_{F_2} - p)) \ \text{ and } \ \psi_1'((a,\lambda)) = \psi_1(a) \oplus (\lambda \cdot (1_{F_2} - q))$$

for all $a \in F_1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Since $A \in \mathcal{C}_0$, then either ψ_0 or ψ_1 are not unital. Hence at least one of ψ_0' and ψ_1' is nonzero on the second direct summand $\mathbb{C}$ in $F_1' = F_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 10.3. (1) *Let $A \in \mathcal{C}'$. Then, for any $a_1, a_2 \in A_+$, $a_1 \lesssim a_2$ if and only if $d_{tr\circ\pi}(\pi(a_1)) \le d_{tr\circ\pi}(\pi(a_2))$ for all irreducible representations π of A.*

(2) *Let $A \in \mathcal{C}'$, and let $c \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Then c is full if and only if, for any $\tau \in T(A)$, $\tau(c) > 0$.*

Proof. For (1), we first consider the case that $A \in \mathcal{C}$. By considering $\tilde{A}$, one sees that this case follows from 3.18 of [17].

Since C^*-algebras $A \in \mathcal{C}'$ are hereditary C^*-subalgebra of A, it is easy to see that A also has the above mentioned comparison property.

For (2), we first assume that $A \in \mathcal{C}$. It is clear that if $\tau(c) = 0$, for some τ, then c has zero value somewhere in $\mathrm{Sp}(A) = \sqcup_j (0,1)_j \cup \mathrm{Sp}(F_1)$. Therefore c is in an proper ideal of A.

Now assume that $\tau(c) > 0$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Write $c = (a, b)$, where $a \in C([0,1], F_2)$ and $b \in F_1$. Then $b > 0$ and $a(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. It follows that

$$d_{tr\circ\pi}(\pi(c)) > 0$$

for all $c \in A$. Since we assume that $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$, this implies that

$$\inf\{d_{tr\circ\pi}(\pi(c)) : \pi\} > 0.$$

There is an integer $n \ge 1$ such that

$$d_{tr\circ\pi}(\pi(\bar{c})) > 2$$

for all irreducible representations π of A (or $M_n(A)$), where

$$\bar{c} = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{c, c, ..., c}^{n}).$$

By (1), this implies $a \lesssim \bar{c}$, where a is a strictly positive element. This implies that c is a full element in A.

In general, let A be a full hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $c \in A_+$. Then $c \in A_+$ is full if and only if it is full in C. Thus the general case follows from the case that $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

□

Proposition 10.4. (1) *Every C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{C}'$ has stable rank one;*

(2) *If $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and A is unital, the exponential rank of A is at most $2 + \varepsilon$, If $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and A is not unital, then $\tilde{A}$ has exponential rank at most $2 + \varepsilon$;*

(3) *Every C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ is semiprojective, and so is every C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{C}'$*

Proof. (1) follows from 3.3 of [17]. (2) follows from 3.16 of [17] (see also 5.19 of [29]).

It follows from [10] that every C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ is semiprojective. Now let $B \subset A$ be a full hereditary C^*-subalgebra of A. By the proof of (2) of 10.3, one may view that A is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $M_n(B)$. Thus B is also semiprojective.

□

11 Maps from 1-dimensional non-commutative complices

Lemma 11.1 (Lemma 2.1 of [5]). *Let A be a simple exact C^*-algebra with strict comparison of positive elements which is also quasi-compact. Assume that $\iota : W(A)_+ \to \mathrm{LAff}_b(\overline{T(A)}^w)$ is surjective. Let $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ which is not Cuntz equivalent to a projection. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ and a continuous affine function $f : \overline{T(A)}^w \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that*

$$d_\tau((a-\varepsilon)_+) < f(\tau) < d_\tau((a-\delta)_+) \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.1 of [5] assumes that A has stable rank one. It is used in the first sentence of the proof, namely, one can assume that zero is a limit point of $sp(a)$. If 0 is an isolated point of $sp(a)$, then there is $0 < \delta < 1/2$ such that $f_\delta(a)$ is a projection. It is easy to see that $\langle a\rangle = \langle f_\delta(a)\rangle$ in this case. The rest of the proof works exactly the same as that of Lemma 2.1 of [5] which does require A has an identity.

□

Lemma 11.2 (Lemma 2.2 of [5]). *Let A be as in 11.1 and let $a \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. It follows that there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ of elements in $(A\otimes\mathcal{K})_+$ which satisfies the following:*

(1) $\langle a\rangle = \sup_n\langle a_n\rangle$;
(2) $a_n \in M_{n(k)}(A)$ *for some* $n(k) \in \mathbb{N}$;
(3) *the function* $\tau \to d_\tau(a_n)$ *is continuous on* $\overline{T(A)}^w$ *for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and*
(4) $d_\tau(a_n) < d_\tau(a_{n+1})$ *for all* $\tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following will not be used until later sections.

Lemma 11.3. *Let A be a non-unital exact simple C^*-algebra with strict comparison for positive element which almost has stable rank one. Suppose that A is quasi-compact and $\imath : W(A)_+ \to \mathrm{Aff}(\overline{T(A)}^w)$ is surjective.*

Let $0 \le a \le 1$ be a non-zero element in A which is not Cuntz equivalent to a projection. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ and an element $e \in A$ with

$$0 \le f_\varepsilon(a) \le e \le f_{\delta/2}(a) \tag{e 11.1}$$

such that the function $\tau \mapsto d_\tau(e)$ is continuous on $\overline{T(A)}^w$.

Proof. By 11.1, there is a continuous affine function function $g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{Aff}(\overline{T(A)}^w$ such that

$$d_\tau(f_{\varepsilon/8}(a)) < g_1(\tau) < d_\tau(f_{\delta_1}(a)) < g_2(\tau) < d_\tau(f_{\delta_2}(a)) \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w, \tag{e 11.2}$$

where $0 < \delta_2 < \delta_1 < 1$. Since ι is surjective, there is $c \in M_m(A)$ for some integer $m \ge 1$ such that $0 \le c \le 1$ and $d_\tau(c) = g_2(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w$. It follows from 3.2 and (e 11.2) that there exists $x \in M_m(A)$ such that

$$x^*x = c \text{ and } xx^* \in \overline{f_{\delta_2}(a)Af_{\delta_2}(a)}.$$

Put $c_0 = xx^*$. Then $0 \le c_0 \le 1$. Note that

$$d_\tau(c_0) = d_\tau(c) \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w. \tag{e 11.3}$$

Since g_1 and f_2 are continuous, there is $m \ge 2$ such that

$$d_\tau(g_1) < \tau(f_{1/m}(c_0)) \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w. \tag{e 11.4}$$

By (e 11.2) again, applying 3.2, there is a unitary u in the unitization of $\overline{f_{\delta_2}(a)Af_{\delta_2}(a)}$ such that

$$u^*f_{\varepsilon/8}(f_{\varepsilon/8}(a))u \in \overline{f_{1/m}(c_0)Af_{1/m}(c_0)}. \tag{e 11.5}$$

Define $c_1 = uc_0u^*$. Then

$$f_{\varepsilon/8}(f_{\varepsilon/8}(a)) \in \overline{f_{1/m}(c_1)Af_{1/m}(c_1)} \subset \overline{c_1Ac_1}\overline{f_{\delta/2}(a)Af_{\delta/2}(a)}. \tag{e 11.6}$$

There is a $g \in C_0((0,1])$ with $0 \le g \le 1$ such that $g(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in (0,1]$, $g(t)f_{1/m} = f_{1/m}$. Put $e = g(c_1)$. Then

$$d_\tau(e) = d_\tau(c_1) = g_2(\tau) \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w \text{ and} \tag{e 11.7}$$

$$f_\varepsilon(a) \le f_{\varepsilon/8}(f_{\varepsilon/8}(a) \le e \le f_{\delta_2/2}(a). \tag{e 11.8}$$

Choose $\delta = \delta_2/2$. □

Lemma 11.4. *Let A be as in 11.1. Suppose that $a, b \in A_+$ (with $0 \le a \le 1$ and $0 \le b \le 1$) such that neither are Cuntz equivalent to a projection. Suppose that $a \ll b$. Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and $c \in A_+$ with $0 \le c \le 1$ such that*

$$\langle a \rangle \le \langle f_\delta(c) \rangle, \ \ f_{\delta/2}(c) \le f_{\delta/4}(b) \text{ and } \inf\{\tau(f_\delta(c)) - d_\tau(a) : \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w\} > 0. \tag{e 11.9}$$

Proof. By 11.2, choose $b_n \in A_+$ such that $\{b_n\}$ satisfies (1), (3) and (4) in 11.2. Since $a \ll b$, there is $n_0 \ge 1$ such that $\langle a \rangle \le b_n$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Therefore we have

$$d_\tau(a) \le d_\tau(b_{n_0}) < d_\tau(b_{n_0+1}) < d_\tau(b_{n_0+1}) \le d_\tau(b). \tag{e 11.10}$$

Note that

$$\tau(f_{1/n}(b)) \nearrow d_\tau(b) \text{ and } \tau(f_{1/n}(b_{n_0+1})) \nearrow d_\tau(b_{n_0+1}).$$

It follows, for example, from 5.4 of [28] that there exists $n_1 \ge 1$ such that, for all $n \ge n_1$,

$$\tau(f_{1/n}(b)) > d_\tau(b_{n_0+2}) \text{ and } \tau(f_{1/n}(b_{n_0+1})) > d_\tau(b_{n_0})) \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w.$$

By the strict comparison of positive element, we conclude that

$$\langle f_{1/2n}(b) \rangle \ge \langle b_{n_0+2} \rangle \text{ and } \langle f_{1/2n}(b_{n_0+1}) \rangle \ge \langle b_{n_0} \rangle.$$

Put $c = b_{0+1}$. Since A has stable rank one, one may assume that $f_{1/2n}(c) \le f_{1/4n}(b)$. Thus we may choose $0 < \delta < 1/2n_1$.

Since $\overline{T(A)}^w$ is compact and both functions in the above inequality are continuous, combining with (e 11.10), we obtain

$$\inf\{\tau(f_\delta(b)) - d_\tau(a) : \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w\} > 0.$$

□

Theorem 11.5 (see Theorem 3.3.1 of [34], Theorem 5.2.7 of [29] and Theorem 8.4 of [17])**.** *Let C be a full hereditary C^*-subalgebras of 1-dimensional non-commutative complices with $K_1(C) = \{0\}$. Assume that $0 \notin \overline{T(C)}^w$ and let $\Delta : C^{q,\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\} \to (0,1)$ be an order preserving map and A be as in 11.1. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset C$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset C$, a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(C)$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset C_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C_{s.a.}$, $\delta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ satisfying the following: for any two $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative contractive completely positive*

linear maps $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to A$ for some A which is σ-unital, exact, with strict comparison for positive elements, almost has stable rank one and is quasi-compact such that

$$[\varphi_1]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [\varphi_2]|_{\mathcal{P}}, \tag{e 11.11}$$

$$\tau(\varphi_i)(a) \ge \Delta(\hat{a}) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ and for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w \text{ and} \tag{e 11.12}$$

$$|\tau(\varphi_1(b)) - \tau(\varphi_2(b))| < \gamma \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{H}_2 \text{ and for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w, \tag{e 11.13}$$

there exists a unitary $u \in \tilde{A}$ such that

$$\|u^*\varphi_2(f)u - \varphi_1(f)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. By the terminology in [34], as proved in [34], $Cu^\sim$ classifies homomorphisms from C.

Upon examining the proofs of [34], one sees that Lemma 3.3.1 and Theorem 1.0.1 of [34] hold for B almost having stable rank one instead of having stable rank one as in 3.2.

Fix ε and $\mathcal{F} \subset C$. Let $G \subset C\tilde{u}(C)$ be required by Theorem 3.3.1 of [34] for $\varepsilon/2$ (in place of ε) and $\mathcal{F}$.

Note that C has stable rank one, as computed in [34], we may assume that G consists a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(C)$ and a finite subset $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_m\}$ in Cuntz group which can be represented positive elements $0 \le a_i \le 1$ in $C\otimes\mathcal{K}$ which are not Cuntz equivalent to a projection, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ (see 3.1.2 of [34]).

Suppose that $\langle a_i\rangle \ll \langle a_j\rangle$. Then there an integer $0 < \eta(i,j) \ge 1/4$ and $0 \le c_{i,j} \le 1$ such that

$$\langle a_i\rangle \le \langle f_{\eta_{i,j}}(c_{i,j})\rangle, \ \ f_{\eta_{i,j}/2}(c_{i,j}) \le f_{\eta_{i,j}/4}(a_j). \tag{e 11.14}$$

Since a_i $(i = 1, 2, ..., m)$ is not Cuntz equivalent to a projection (and assume that $x_i \ne x_j$ if $i \ne j$), we may choose $\eta(i,j)$ so that

$$f_{\eta_{i,j}/4}(a_j) - f_{\eta_{i,j}/2}(c_{i,j}) \ne 0.$$

Choose a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset C_+$ which contains nonzero positive elements $b_{i,j}$ such that

$$b_{i,j} \lesssim f_{\eta_{i,j}/4}(a_j) - f_{\eta_{i,j}/2}(c_{i,j}).$$

for all possible pair of i, j so that $\langle a_i\rangle \ll \langle a_j\rangle$.

Let

$$\delta_0 = \inf\{\Delta(\hat{g}) : g \in \mathcal{H}_1\}. \tag{e 11.15}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_2'$ be a finite subset (possibly in $C\otimes\mathcal{K})_+$) which contains $f_{\eta_{i,j}}(c_{i,j}), f_{\eta_{i,j}/2}(c_{i,j}), f_{\eta_{i,j}/4}(a_i)$ for all possible i, j as described above.

Let $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset which contains $\mathcal{H}_1$ and let $\delta_1 > 0$ which have the following property:

$$|\tau(h_1(g)) - \tau(h_2(g))| < \delta_0/16 \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2' \tag{e 11.16}$$

and for all $\tau \in \overline{T(B)}^w$, provided that $h_1, h_2 : C \to B$ are two homomorphisms and B is any C^*-algebra with $T(C) \ne \emptyset$ and $0 \notin \overline{T(B)}^w$ such that

$$|\tau \circ h_1(f) - \tau \circ h_2(f)| < \delta_1 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{H}_2 \text{ and } \tau \in \overline{T(B)}^w. \tag{e 11.17}$$

Put $\gamma = \min\{\delta_0/16, \delta_1/4\}$.

Since C is weakly semiprojective ([10]), by choosing a large $\mathcal{G}$ and small δ, we may assume that there are homomorphisms $\psi_i : C \to A$ such that

$$(\psi_i)_{*0}|_{\mathcal{P}} = [\varphi_i]|_{\mathcal{P}} \text{ and } \|\psi_i(g) - \varphi_i(g)\| < \min\{\varepsilon/16, \gamma\}, \ \ i = 1, 2, \tag{e 11.18}$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2$, provided that φ_1 and φ_2 are $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps from C to any A which satisfies the assumption of this theorem.

Now assume that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \to A$ have the described properties for the above defined $\mathcal{G}$, δ, $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{H}_1$, $\mathcal{H}_2$, γ.

Let $\psi_i : C \to A$ be provided as in (e 11.18), $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$(\psi_1)_{*0}|_{\mathcal{P}} = (\psi_2)_{*0}|_{\mathcal{P}}, \tag{e 11.19}$$

$$\tau \circ \psi_i(g) \ge \delta_0/2 \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ and} \tag{e 11.20}$$

$$|\tau \circ \psi_1(b) - \tau \circ \psi_2(b)| < \delta_0/2 \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{H}_2 \tag{e 11.21}$$

for all $\tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w$. In particular, if $a_i \ll a_j$, by the choice of $\mathcal{H}_1$, $\mathcal{H}_2$ and γ above,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(\psi_1(a_i)) &\le \tau(\psi_1(f_{\eta_{i,j}}(c_{i,j}))) < \delta_0/2 + \tau(\psi_2(f_{\eta_{i,j}}(c_{i,j}))) && \text{(e 11.22)}\\ &\le (\tau(\psi_2(f_{\eta_{i,j}/4}(a_j)) - \tau(\psi_2(f_{\eta_{i,j}}(c_{i,j})))) + \tau(\psi_2(f_{\eta_{i,j}}(c_{i,j}))) && \text{(e 11.23)}\\ &\le d_\tau(a_j) && \text{(e 11.24)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w$. Therefore, if $a_i \ll a_j$,

$$\langle \psi_1(a_i) \rangle \le \langle \psi_2(a_j) \rangle. \tag{e 11.25}$$

Combing this with (e 11.19), we conclude that, using the terminology in [34]

$$Cu^\sim(\psi_1(g)) \le Cu^\sim(\psi_2(g')) \text{ and } Cu^\sim(\psi_2(g)) \le Cu^\sim(\psi_1(g')) \tag{e 11.26}$$

for all g, $g' \in G$ and $g \ll g'$. By 3.3.1 of [34], there exists a unitary $u \in A$ such that

$$\|u^*\psi_2(f)u - \psi_1(f)\| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

From this and (e 11.18), we obtain that

$$\|u^*\varphi_2(f)u - \varphi_2(f)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

□

Corollary 11.6. *The exactly the same statement holds for C being replaced by full hereditary C^*-subalgebras of inductive limits of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}$.*

12 Tracially one dimensional complices

Definition 12.1. Let A be a non-unital simple C^*-algebra with a strictly positive element $a \in A$ with $\|a\| = 1$. Suppose that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, there are $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi : A \to A$ and $\psi : A \to D$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D \subset A$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi(x), \psi(x))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a\}, \tag{e 12.1}$$

$$D \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'} (\text{or } \mathcal{C}_0'), \tag{e 12.2}$$

$$\varphi(a) \lesssim b, \tag{e 12.3}$$

$$\|\psi(x)\| \ge (1 - \varepsilon)\|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{e 12.4}$$

$$f_{1/4}(\psi(a)) \text{ is full in } D \text{ and} \tag{e 12.5}$$

$\psi(a)$ is strictly positive in D. Then we say A is in $\mathrm{TA}\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$ ($\mathrm{TA}\mathcal{C}_0'$)

Let $A_0 = \overline{\varphi(a)A\varphi(a)}$. Then $A_0 \perp D$. Moreover, (e 12.3) could be replaced by $c \lesssim b$ for some strictly positive element c of A_0.

Proposition 12.2. *Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C}_0'$, or $\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$.*

Suppose that A is a non-unital simple C^*-algebra which is in $TA\mathcal{S}$. Then the following holds:

Let $a \in A$ with $\|a\| = 1$ be a strictly positive element. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F}_0 \setminus \{0\}$, and any $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, there are $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi : A \to A$ and $\psi : A \to D$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D \subset A$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi(x), \psi(x))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a\}, \tag{e 12.6}$$

$$D \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'} (\text{or } \mathcal{C}_0'), \tag{e 12.7}$$

$$\varphi(a) \lesssim b, \tag{e 12.8}$$

$$\|\psi(x)\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{e 12.9}$$

$$f_{1/4}(\psi(x)) \text{ is full in } D \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}_0 \text{ and} \tag{e 12.10}$$

$\psi(a)$ is strictly positive in D.

Proof. We may assume that $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_0 \subset A^{\mathbf{1}}$. We may also assume, without loss of generality, that $\|c\| = 1$ for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f_\eta(a)x = xf_\eta(a)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_0$ for some $1/4 > \eta > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{0,1} = \{f_{1/4}(c) : c \in \mathcal{F}_0\} \cup \mathcal{F}_0$.

There are, for each $c \in \mathcal{F}_{0,1}$, $x_i(c) \in A, i = 1, 2, ..., n(c)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n(c)} x_i(c)^* c x_i(c) = f_{\eta/8}(a). \tag{e 12.11}$$

We will choose $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ satisfy the following: If $\psi' : A \to B'$ is any $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map, then

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{n(c)} \psi'(x_i(x))^* \psi'(c)\psi'(x_i(c)) - f_{\eta/8}(\psi'(c))\| < \min\{\varepsilon/128, \eta/128\} \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{F}_{0,1} \tag{e 12.12}$$

$$\text{and } \|\psi'(f_\sigma(a)) - f_\sigma(\psi'(a))\| < \frac{\min\{\varepsilon/128, \eta/128\}}{\max\{2n(c) \cdot \|x_i(c)\| : c \in \mathcal{F}_{0,1}\}} \tag{e 12.13}$$

for $\sigma = \{\eta/8, 1/4\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_{0,1}.$$

By the assumption, there are $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi : A \to A$ and $\psi : A \to D$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D \subset A$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi(x), \psi(x))\| < \min\{\varepsilon/64, \eta/64\} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_{0,1}, \tag{e 12.14}$$

$$D \in \mathcal{S}, \varphi(a) \lesssim b, \tag{e 12.15}$$

$$\|\psi(x)\| = (1 - \varepsilon/16)\|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 12.16}$$

and $f_{1/2}(\psi(a))$ is full in D.

By the choice of $\mathcal{G}$ and δ, by (e 12.12) and (e 12.13), we obtain that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{n(c)} \psi(x_i(c))^* f_{1/4}(\psi(c))\psi(x_i(c)) - f_{\eta/2}(\psi(a))\| < \min\{\varepsilon/16, \eta/16\},$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$. It follows from 3.1 that there are $y_i(c) \in D$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n(c)} y_i(c)^* f_{1/4}(\psi(c)) y_i(c) = f_\eta(\psi(a))$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Since $f_{1/4}(\psi(a))$ is full in D, so is $f_\eta(\psi(a))$. Therefore $f_{1/4}(\psi(c))$ is full in D for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

□

The following follows immediately from the definition.

Proposition 12.3. *Let A be a σ-unital simple C^*-algebra which is in $TA\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$ ($TA\mathcal{C}_0'$). Then, for any integer $k \ge 1$, $M_k(A)$ is also in $TA\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$ (or $TA\mathcal{C}_0'$).*

Definition 12.4. Let A be a non-unital simple C^*-algebra with a strictly positive element $a \in A$ with $\|a\| = 1$. Suppose that there exists $1 > \mathfrak{f}_a > 0$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, there are $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi : A \to A$ and $\psi : A \to D$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D \subset A$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi(x), \psi(x))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a\}, \tag{e 12.17}$$

$$D \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'} (\text{or } \mathcal{C}_0'), \tag{e 12.18}$$

$$\varphi(a) \lesssim b, \tag{e 12.19}$$

$$t(f_{1/4}(\psi(a))) \ge \mathfrak{f}_a \text{ for all } t \in T(D). \tag{e 12.20}$$

Then then we say $A \in \mathcal{D}_0$ (or $\mathcal{D}$).

Proposition 12.5. *Let A be a σ-unital simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{D}$ ($\mathcal{D}_0$). Then A is $TA\mathcal{S}$ ($\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C}_0'$, $\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$).*

Proof. Fix a strictly positive element $a \in A$ with $\|a\| = 1$. We will show in the definition, we can further assume that $\|\psi(x)\| \ge (1 - \varepsilon)\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\psi(a)$ is strictly positive in D.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset and $b_0 \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there is $1/16 > \eta > 0$ such that

$$f_\eta(a)x = xf_\eta(a) = x \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}.$$

By the assumption, there exists a sequence of $D_n \in \mathcal{S}$ and two sequences of completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi_n : A \to A_n$, and $\psi_n :\to D_n$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n(xy) - \varphi_n(x)\varphi_n(y)\| = 0 \text{ and} \tag{e 12.21}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n(xy) - \psi_n(x)\psi_n(y)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in A, \tag{e 12.22}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_n(x), \psi_n(x))\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A \tag{e 12.23}$$

$$\varphi_n(a) \lesssim b_0, \tag{e 12.24}$$

$$\tau(f_{1/4}(\psi_n(a))) \ge \mathfrak{f}_a \text{ for all } \tau \in T(D_n) \tag{e 12.25}$$

Put $D_n' = \overline{f_{\eta/2}(\psi_n(a))D_n f_{\eta/2}(\psi_n(a))}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. By (e 12.26) and 10.3, $f_{1/4}(\psi_n(a))$ is full in D_n. Therefore $f_{\eta/2}(\psi_n(a))$ is also full in D_n. This implies that $D_n' \in \mathcal{S}$. Define $\psi_{n,0} : A \to D_n'$ by

$$\psi_{n,0}(x) = (f_{\eta/2}(\psi_n(a)))^{1/2}\psi_n(x)(f_{\eta/2}(\psi_n(a)))^{1/2} \text{ for all } x \in A.$$

It follows that $\psi_{n,0}(a)$ is full in D_n'. Note that

$$f_{1/4}(\psi_{n,0}(a)) = f_{1/4}(\psi(a)).$$

Therefore

$$\tau(f_{1/4}(\psi_{n,0}(a))) \ge \mathfrak{f}_a \text{ for all } \tau \in T(D_n').$$

By choosing large n, using (e 12.22) and (e 12.23), we see that in the definition of 12.4, we can add the condition that $\psi(a)$ is full in D.

To get inequality $\|\psi(x)\| \geq (1-\varepsilon)\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$, we repeat the above argument. As shown above, we can add that $\psi_n(a)$ is full in each D_n along with (e 12.21) to (e 12.25). The condition (e 12.25) also implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n\| \geq \mathfrak{f}_a. \tag{e 12.26}$$

Then, by (e 12.22), since A is simple,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n(x)\| = \|x\|. \tag{e 12.27}$$

This implies that, with sufficiently large n, we can always assume that $\|\psi(x) \geq (1-\varepsilon)\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$. □

Theorem 12.6. *Let A be a σ-unital simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{D}_0$ (or in $\mathcal{D}$). Then the following holds. Fix a strictly positive element $a \in A$ with $\|a\| = 1$ and let $1 > \mathfrak{f}_a > 0$ be a positive number associated with a in the definition 12.4. There is a map $T : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ ($a \mapsto (N(a), M(a))$ for all $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$) satisfying the following: For any finite subset $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$. for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, there are $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi : A \to A$ and $\psi : A \to D$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D \subset A$ such that*

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi(x), \psi(x))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a\}, \tag{e 12.28}$$
$$D \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'} \text{ (or } \mathcal{C}_0'), \tag{e 12.29}$$
$$\varphi(a) \lesssim b, \tag{e 12.30}$$
$$\|\psi(x)\| \geq (1-\varepsilon)\|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and} \tag{e 12.31}$$
$$\tag{e 12.32}$$

$\psi(a)$ is strictly positive in D. Moreover, ψ is T-$\mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{f_{1/4}(a)\}$-full in $\overline{DAD}$.

Furthermore, we may assume that

$$t \circ f_{1/4}(\psi(a)) \geq \mathfrak{f}_a \text{ and } t \circ f_{1/4}(\psi(c)) \geq \mathfrak{f}_a/4 \inf\{M(c)^2 \cdot N(c) : c \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{f_{1/4}(a)\}\}$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and for all $t \in T(D)$, where $\mathfrak{f}_a$ is given by the definition of $\mathcal{D}$ (or $\mathcal{D}_0$) associated with a.

Proof. Since A is simple, for any $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $N_0(b) \in \mathbb{N}$, $M_0(b) > 0$ and $x_1(b), x_2(b), ..., x_{N_0(b)}(b) \in A$ such that $\|x_i(b)\| \leq M_0(b)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_0(b)} x_i(b)^* b x_i(b) = f_{1/32}(a). \tag{e 12.33}$$

Let $\mathfrak{f}_a > 0$ be given as in the definition of 12.1.

Let $n_0 \geq 1$ be an integer such that $n_0\mathfrak{f}_a \geq 4$.

Set $N(b) = n_0 N_0(b)$ and $M(b) = 2M_0(b)$ for all $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Let $T : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be defined by $T(b) = (N(b), M(b))$ for all $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

Choose $\delta_0 > 0$ and finite subset $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A$ such that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \psi(x_i(b))^* \psi(b) \psi(x_i(b)) - f_{1/32}(\psi(a))\| < 1/2^{10} \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{F}_0, \tag{e 12.34}$$

provided ψ is a $\mathcal{G}_0$-δ_0-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map from A into a C^*-algebra.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be given.

Let $\delta = \min\{\varepsilon/4, \delta_0/2\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}_0 \cup \{a, f_{1/4}(a)\}$. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and let $b_0 \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

By the assumption one has the following: there are $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi : A \to A$ and $\psi : A \to D$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D \subset A$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi(x), \psi(x))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{G}, \tag{e 12.35}$$

$$D \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'} \text{ (or } \mathcal{C}_0'), \tag{e 12.36}$$

$$\varphi(a) \lesssim b_0, \tag{e 12.37}$$

$$\|\psi(x)\| \geq (1-\varepsilon)\|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and} \tag{e 12.38}$$

$\psi(a)$ is strictly positive in D, and

$$\tau(f_{1/4}(\psi(a))) \geq \mathfrak{f}_a \text{ for all } \tau \in T(D). \tag{e 12.39}$$

At this point, we can apply the argument of 9.6 and its remark 9.7 to conclude that ψ is T-$\mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{f_{1/4}(a_0)\}$-full. Lemma then follows.

□

Theorem 12.7. *Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C}_0'$, or $\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$. Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra in TA$\mathcal{S}$ which is quasi-compact. Then $A \in \mathcal{D}$ (or $\mathcal{D}_0$).*

Proof. Fix a strictly positive element $a \in A_+$. We may assume that there is $e_1 \in M_N(A)$ with $0 \leq e_1 \leq 1$ and a partial isometry $w \in M_N(A)^{**}$ such that

$$w^*xwe_1 = e_1w^*xw = w^*xw, \ \ x(ww^*) = (ww^*)x = x, w^*xw, xw \in M_N(A) \tag{e 12.40}$$

for all $x \in A$. We may also assume, without loss of generality, that there are $z_1', z_2', ..., z_m' \in M_N(A)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (z_i')^* f_{1/4}(w^*aw)^{1/2} w^*aw f_{1/4}(w^*aw)^{1/2}(z_i') = f_{1/8}(e_1).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^m f_{1/8}(e_1)(z_i')^* f_{1/4}(w^*aw)^{1/2} w^*aw f_{1/4}(w^*aw)^{1/2}(z_i') f_{1/8}(e_1) = (f_{1/8}(e_1))^3. \tag{e 12.41}$$

We may also assume that, there are $y_i \in \overline{f_{1/8}(e_1)M_N(A)f_{1/8}(e_1)}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m y_i^* f_{1/4}(w^*aw) y_i = f_{1/8}(e_1)^3. \tag{e 12.42}$$

Set $e = f_{1/8}(e_1)^3$ and $z_i = z_i' f_{1/8}(e_1)$. Note that

$$w^*xwe = ew^*xw = w^*xw \text{ and } z_i \in \overline{eM_N(A)e}.$$

Let $M = \max\{\|y_i\| + \|z_i\| + 1 : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{f}_a = 1/64Mm^2$. Fix an integer $k \geq 1$. Fix a finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ which contains a and $f_{1/4}(a)$. Fix an element $a_0 \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Since $M_N(A)$ is TA$\mathcal{S}$ (for $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C}_0^{0'}, \mathcal{C}_0'$), by 12.2, there

exists a sequence of $D_n \in \mathcal{S}$ and two sequences of completely positive contractive linear maps φ_n, $\psi_n : M_N(A) \to M_N(A)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n(xy) - \varphi_n(x)\varphi_n(y)\| = 0 \text{ and} \tag{e 12.43}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n(xy) - \psi_n(x)\psi_n(y)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M_N(A), \tag{e 12.44}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_n(x), \psi_n(x))\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M_N(A), \tag{e 12.45}$$

$$\psi_n(x) \in D_n \text{ for all } x \in M_N(A), \tag{e 12.46}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n(x)\| = \|x\| \text{ for all } x \in M_N(A), \tag{e 12.47}$$

$$\varphi_n(e) \lesssim a_0 \tag{e 12.48}$$

$$f_{1/4}(\psi_n(w^*aw)) \text{ is full in } D_n. \tag{e 12.49}$$

Note that (e 12.48) implies that

$$\varphi_n(w^*aw) \lesssim w^*a_0w. \tag{e 12.50}$$

Put $A_1 = \overline{(w^*aw)M_N(A)(w^*aw)} = w^*Aw$ and $A_2 = \overline{eM_N(A)e}$.
There are $g_n \in \psi_n(w^*aw)D_n\psi_n(w^*aw)$ with $0 \le g_n \le 1$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|g_nxg_n - g_n\psi_n(w^*xw)g_n\| = 0 \text{ for all } w^*xw \in M_N(A), \tag{e 12.51}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|g_n\psi_n(w^*xw) - \psi_n(w^*xw)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and} \tag{e 12.52}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n(w^*xw)g_n - \psi(w^*xw)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A. \tag{e 12.53}$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|g_nw^*xw - w^*xwg_n\| = 0 \text{ as well as} \tag{e 12.54}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|w^*x^{1/2}wg_n^2w^*x^{1/2}w - \psi_n(w^*xw)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A. \tag{e 12.55}$$

From these, we also have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n|_{A_1}\| = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n|_{A_2}\| = 1.$$

Put $\psi_n' = (1/\|\psi_n|_{A_1}\|)\psi_n|_{A_2}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Note

$$\|\psi_n'\| \le 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n'\| = 1.$$

Put

$$\sigma_n = \|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \psi_n'(z_i)^*\psi_n'(f_{1/4}(w^*aw))\psi_n'(z_i) - f_{1/8}(\psi_n'(e))\|, \ \ n = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

By (e 12.44) and (e 12.42), we also have that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n = 0. \tag{e 12.56}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\sigma_n < \min\{1/128Mm^2\} \text{ for all } n. \tag{e 12.57}$$

Note that

$$f_{1/32}(e)w^*xw = w^*xwf_{1/32}(e) = w^*xw \text{ for all } x \in A.$$

Put $H_n = \overline{\psi_n(w^*aw)D_n\psi_n(w^*aw)}$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Thus, for any tracial state of $t_n \in T(H_n)$, $t_n \circ \psi'_n$ is a state of A_1. One can uniquely extended to a state on A_2. Since

$$1 \ge e \ge x \text{ for all } 0 \le x \le 1 \text{ and } x \in A_1,$$

$$t_n \circ \psi'_n(e) = 1 \text{ for all } n.$$

Take any weak*-limit τ of $\{t_n \circ \psi'_n\}$. Then $\tau(e) = 1$. Moreover, τ is a trace on A_2. By (e 12.56),

$$\tau(f_{1/4}((w^*aw)) \ge 1/4Mm^2 \text{ and } \tau(w^*aw)) \ge 1/16Mm^2. \tag{e 12.58}$$

We may assume, without loss of generality, that, for all n,

$$t \circ (\psi_n(f_{1/4}(w^*aw))) \ge 1/5Mm^2 \text{ for all } t \in T(D_n). \tag{e 12.59}$$

By (e 12.44),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n(f_{1/4}(w^*aw)) - f_{1/4}(\psi_n(w^*aw))\| = 0. \tag{e 12.60}$$

Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that, for all n,

$$t \circ (f_{1/4}(\psi_n(w^*aw))) \ge 1/6Mm^2 \text{ for all } t \in T(D_n). \tag{e 12.61}$$

We also have that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|w^*aw - f_{1/2j}(w^*aw)^{1/2}w^*awf_{1/2j}(w^*aw)^{1/2}\| = 0 \text{ and} \tag{e 12.62}$$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|w^*xw - f_{1/2j}(w^*aw)^{1/2}w^*xwf_{1/2j}(w^*aw)^{1/2}\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A. \tag{e 12.63}$$

Put $L_n(a) = \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_n(w^*aw),, \psi_n(w^*aw))$. It follows from (e 12.45) and 3.3 that, for any $j \ge 2$, there exists $n(j) \ge j$ and a partial isometry $v_j \in M_N(A)^{**}$ such that

$$v_jv_j^*f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(w^*aw)) = f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(w^*aw)v_jv_j^* = f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(w^*aw)), \tag{e 12.64}$$

$$v_j^*cv_j \in A_1 \text{ for all } c \in \overline{f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(w^*aw))M_N(A)f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(w^*aw))} \text{ and} \tag{e 12.65}$$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \sup\{\|v_j^*cv_j - c\| : 0 \le c \le 1 \text{ and } c \in \overline{f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(w^*aw))Af_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(w^*aw))}\} = 0. \tag{e 12.66}$$

Note that $f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(w^*aw)) \le f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(w^*aw))$, $j = 1, 2, ...$ It follows that

$$v_j^*cv_j \in A_1$$

for all $c \in \overline{f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(w^*aw)Af_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(w^*aw))}$. By (e 12.61), $f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(w^*aw))$ is full in $D_{n(j)}$ for all $j \ge 2$. Put

$$E'_{n(j)} = \overline{f_{1/2j}(\psi_n(w^*aw))D_{n(j)}f_{1/2j}(\psi_n(w^*aw))}, \ \ j = 2, 3, ...$$

Then $E'_{n(j)} \in \mathcal{S}$, $j = 2, 3, ...$ Put

$$E_j = v_j^*E'_{n(j)}v_j, \ \ j = j_0 + 1, j_0 + 2, ...$$

Then $E_j \in \mathcal{S}$ and $E_j \subset A_1$, $j = j_0 + 1, j_0 + 2, ...$

Define $\Phi_j = v_j^*\varphi_{n(j)}v_j^*$ and $\Psi_j : A_1 \to E_j$, by $\Psi_j(x) = v_jf_{1/2j}(\psi(w^*aw)\psi'_{n(j)}(x)f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(w^*aw)v_j^*$, $j = 3, 4, ...$ Note that Ψ_j maps A_1 into E_j with $\|\Psi_j\| = 1$, $j = 1, 2, ...$ We have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\Phi_j(xy) - \Phi_j(x)\Phi_j(y)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in A_1, \tag{e 12.67}$$

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|\Psi_j(xy) - \Psi_j(x)\Psi_j(y)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in A_1. \tag{e 12.68}$$

Moreover, by applying (e 12.45), (e 12.66) and (e 12.63),

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty}\|x-\mathrm{diag}(\Phi_j(x),\Psi_j(x))\|=0 \text{ for all } x\in A_1. \tag{e 12.69}$$

We also have that

$$\Psi_j(w^*aw)\lesssim a_0.$$

Moreover, by (e 12.61),

$$t\circ f_{1/4}(\Psi_j(w^*aw))\ge \mathfrak{f}_a \text{ for all } t\in T(E_{n(j)}).$$

The theorem follows from the fact that $a\mapsto w^*aw$ ($a\in A$) is an isomorphism from A_1 onto A (and choosing a sufficiently large j).

□

Proposition 12.8. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote $\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$, or $\mathcal{C}_0'$. Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra and let $B\subset A$ be a hereditary C^*-subalgebra. Then*

(1) If A is TA$\mathcal{S}$, so is B;

(2) If A is in $\mathcal{D}$ (or $\mathcal{D}_0$), so is B.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote $\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$, or $\mathcal{C}_0'$. Let $b\in A_+$ with $\|b\|=1$ and $B=\overline{bBb}$. Let $e\in A_+$ be a strictly positive element with $\|e|=1$. We assume that $\|b\|=1$ and $\|e\|=1$. Fix $b_0\in B_+\setminus\{0\}$.

In both cases (1) and (2), by 12.2, there exists a sequence of $D_n\in\mathcal{S}$ and two sequences of completely positive contractive linear maps φ_n, $\psi_n: A\to A$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\varphi_n(xy)-\varphi_n(x)\varphi_n(y)\|=0 \text{ and} \tag{e 12.70}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\psi_n(xy)-\psi_n(x)\psi_n(y)\|=0 \text{ for all } x,\, y\in A, \tag{e 12.71}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x-\mathrm{diag}(\varphi_n(x),\psi_n(x))\|=0 \text{ for all } x\in A, \tag{e 12.72}$$

$$\varphi_n(e)\lesssim b_0, \tag{e 12.73}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\psi_n(x)\|=\|x\| \text{ for all } x\in A, \tag{e 12.74}$$

$f_{1/4}(\psi_n(b))$ is full in D_n, and $\psi_n(e)$ is a strictly positive element of D_n, $n=1,2,....$ Moreover, in the case (2), by 12.6, we can also have

$$t\circ f_{1/4}(\psi_n(e))\ge \mathfrak{f}_e,\ \ t\circ f_{1/4}(\psi_n(b))\ge r_0 \tag{e 12.75}$$

for all $t\in T(D_n)$ and n, where r_0 is previously given (as $\mathfrak{f}_e/4\inf\{M(c)\cdot N^2(c): c=\{b,f_{1/4}(e)\}\}$).

By (e 12.74),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\psi_n|_B\|=1.$$

We also have that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\|b-f_{1/2j}(b)^{1/2}bf_{1/2j}(b)^{1/2}\|=0 \text{ and} \tag{e 12.76}$$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\|x-f_{1/2j}(b)^{1/2}xf_{1/2j}(b)^{1/2}\|=0 \text{ for all } x\in B. \tag{e 12.77}$$

Put $L_n(x)=\mathrm{diag}(\varphi_n(x),\psi_n(x))$ for all $x\in A$. As in the proof of 12.7, by applying 3.3, for any $j\ge 2$, there exists $n(j)\ge j$ and a partial isometry $v_j\in A^{**}$ such that

$$v_jv_j^*f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(b))=f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(b))v_jv_j^*=f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(b)), \tag{e 12.78}$$

$$v_j^*cv_j\in B \text{ for all } c\in\overline{f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(b))Af_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(b))} \text{ and} \tag{e 12.79}$$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\sup\{\|v_j^*cv_j-c\|: 0\le c\le 1 \text{ and } c\in\overline{f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(b))Af_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(b))}\}=0. \tag{e 12.80}$$

Note that $f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(b)) \le f_{1/2j}(L_{n(j)}(b))$, $j = 1, 2, ...$ It follows that

$$v_j^* c v_j \in B$$

for all $c \in \overline{f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(b)Af_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(b))}$. Since $f_{1/4}(\psi_{n(j)}(f_{1/4}(b)))$ is full in $D_{n(j)}$, $f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(f_{1/4}(b)))$ is full in $D_{n(j)}$ for all $j \ge 2$. Put

$$E'_{n(j)} = \overline{f_{1/2j}(\psi_n(b))D_{n(j)}f_{1/2j}(\psi_n(b))}, \ \ j = 2, 3, ...$$

Then $E'_{n(j)} \in \mathcal{S}$, $j = 2, 3, ...$ Put

$$E_j = v_j^* E'_{n(j)} v_j, \ \ j = 3, 4, ...$$

Then $E_j \in \mathcal{S}$ and $E_j \subset B$, $j = 3, 4, ...$

Define $\Phi_j = v_j^* \varphi_{n(j)} v_j^*$ and $\Psi_j : B \to E_j$, by $\Psi_j(x) = v_j f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(b))\psi_{n(j)}(x)f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(b))v_j^*$, $j = 3, 4, ...$ For $j > 4$,

$$f_{1/4}(f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(b))\psi_{n(j)}(b)f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(b))) = f_{1/4}(\psi_{n(j)}(b)) \tag{e 12.81}$$
$$= f_{1/2j}(\psi(b))f_{1/4}(\psi_{n(j)}(b))f_{1/2j}(\psi_{n(j)}(b)). \tag{e 12.82}$$

It follows that $f_{1/4}(\Psi_j(b))$ is full in E_j, $j = 4, 5, ...$ We have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\Phi_j(xy) - \Phi_j(x)\Phi_j(y)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in B \text{ and} \tag{e 12.83}$$
$$\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|\Psi_j(xy) - \Psi_j(x)\Psi_j(y)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in B. \tag{e 12.84}$$

Moreover, by applying (e 12.72), (e 12.80) and (e 12.77),

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|x - \mathrm{diag}(\Phi_j(x), \Psi_j(x))\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in B \text{ and} \tag{e 12.85}$$
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\Psi_n(x)\| = \|x\| \text{ for all } x \in B. \tag{e 12.86}$$

We also have that

$$\Phi_j(b) \lesssim b_0.$$

These implies that B is in TA$\mathcal{S}$. Moreover, in case (2), by (e 12.75) and (e 12.81),

$$t \circ f_{1/4}(\Psi_j(b)) \ge r_0/2 \text{ for all } t \in T(E_{n(j)}).$$

The proposition follows when one chooses a sufficiently large j.

□

Proposition 12.9. *Let $A \in \mathcal{D}$ (or $\mathcal{D}_0$) which is quasi-compact. Then, for every integer $k \ge 1$, $M_k(A) \in \mathcal{D}$ (or $\mathcal{D}_0$,).*

13 Traces and the comparison in C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{D}$

Proposition 13.1. *Let A be a separable simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{D}$. Then $QT(A) = T(A)$. Moreover, $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$.*

Proof. Let $a_0 \in A$ be a strictly positive element of A with $\|a_0\| = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{f}_{a_0} > 0$ be in the definition of 12.4. Fix any $b_0 \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Choose a sequence of positive elements $\{b_n\}$ which has the following: $b_{n+1} \lesssim b_{n,1}$, where $b_{n,1}, b_{n,2}, ..., b_{n,n}$ are mutually orthogonal positive elements in $\overline{b_nAb_n}$ such that $b_nb_{n,i} = b_{n,i}b_n = b_{n,i}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, and $\langle b_{n,i}\rangle = \langle b_{n,1}\rangle$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

One obtains two sequences of C^*-subalgebras $A_{0,n}$, D_n of A, where $D_n \in \mathcal{C}_0'$, two sequences of completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi_{0,n} : A \to A_{0,n}$ with and $\varphi_{1,n} : A \to D_n$ satisfy the following:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_{i,n}(ab) - \varphi_{i,n}(a)\varphi_{i,n}(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A, \tag{e 13.1}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|a - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_{0,n}(a), \varphi_{1,n}(a))\| = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A, \tag{e 13.2}$$

$$c_n \lesssim b_n, \tag{e 13.3}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_{1,n}(x)\| = \|x\| \text{ for all } x \in A, \tag{e 13.4}$$

$$\tau(f_{1/4}(\psi_{1,n}(a_0)) \ge \mathfrak{f}_{a_0} \text{ for all } \tau \in T(D_n) \tag{e 13.5}$$

and $\varphi_{1,n}(a_0)$ is a strictly positive element in D_n, where c_n is a strictly positive element of $A_{0,n}$.

It follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup\{|\tau(a) - \tau \circ \varphi_{1,n}(a)| : \tau \in QT(A)\} = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 13.6}$$

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tau|_{D_n}\| = 1$ for all $\tau \in QT(A)$.

Let $t = \tau/\|\tau|_{D_n}\|$. Then $t \in T(D_n)$. Given any $\tau \in QT(A)$. Define $t_n(a) = \tau \circ \varphi_{1,n}(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Then t_n is a state of A. Let t_0 be a weak*-limit of $\{t_n\}$. Then $t_0 \neq 0$, since by (e 13.4) and (e 13.1),

$$t_0(f_{1/4}(a_0)) \ge \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}. \tag{e 13.7}$$

It follows from (e 13.1) that t_0 is a trace. It follows from (e 13.6) that every $\tau \in QT(A)$ is a tracial state.

Let $\tau \in T(A)$. Then (e 13.7) shows that $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$.

□

Remark 13.2. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}$ and let $a \in A_+$ be a strictly positive element with $\|a\| = 1$. Define

$$r_0 = \inf\{\tau(f_{1/4}(a)) : \tau \in T(A)\} > 0.$$

The above proof also shows that we can choose

$$\mathfrak{f}_a = r_0/2.$$

In fact in the case that A is quasi-compact, one can choose $\mathfrak{f}_a$ arbitrarily close to

$$\inf\{\tau(a) : \tau \in \overline{A}^w\}.$$

and in the case that A has continuous scale, we can always choose such a strictly positive element that the above r_0 could be arbitrarily close to 1.

Proposition 13.3. *Every σ-unital simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{D}$ is stably projectionless.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $A \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $M_n(A) \in \mathcal{D}$ for each n, we only need to show that D has no non-zero projections. Let $p \in A$ be a projection and let

$$r = \inf\{\tau(p) : \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w\} > 0.$$

Choose $r/4 > \varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\|p - (x_1 \oplus x_2)\| < \varepsilon/2, \tag{e 13.8}$$

where $x_1 \in (A_0)_+$ and $x_2 \in D_+$, where $A_0 = \overline{bAb}$ for some $b \in A_+$ with $d_\tau(b) < r/4$ for all $\tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w$, $x_2 \in D_+$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$. A standard argument shows that there are projections $p_1 \in A_0$ and $p_2 \in D$ such that

$$\|p - (p_1 \oplus p_2)\| < \varepsilon. \tag{e 13.9}$$

Since D is projectionless, $p_2 = 0$. This implies that $\tau(p) < \tau(p_1) + \varepsilon < r/2$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. Impossible.

□

Theorem 13.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{D}$ be a a separable simple C^*-algebra Suppose that $a, b \in A$ with $0 \le a \le b \le 1$. If $d_\tau(a) < d_\tau(b)$ for all $\tau \in \overline{T(A)^w}$, then*

$$a \lesssim b.$$

Proof. Fix a strictly positive element $a_0 \in A$ with $0 \le a_0 \le 1$.

Let a, $b \in A_+$ be two non-zero elements such that

$$d_\tau(a) < d_\tau(b) \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w. \tag{e 13.10}$$

For convenience, we assume that $\|a\|=\|b\| = 1$. Let $1/2 > \varepsilon > 0$. Put $c = f_{\varepsilon/16}(a)$. If c is Cuntz equivalent to a, then zero is an isolated point in $\mathrm{sp}(a)$. So, a is Cuntz equivalent to a projection. Since A is projectionless (see 13.3), zero is not an isolated point in $\mathrm{sp}(a)$. There is a nonzero element $c' \in \overline{aAa}_+$ such that $c'c = cc' = 0$. Therefore

$$r_0 := \inf\{d_\tau(b) - d_\tau(c) : \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w\} > 0.$$

Put $c_1 = f_{\varepsilon/64}(a)$. Then a standard compactness argument (see Lemma 5.4 of [28]) shows that there is $1 > \delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$\tau(f_{\delta_1}(b)) > \tau(c) \ge d_\tau(c_1) \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w.$$

Put $b_1 = f_{\delta_1}(b)$. Then

$$r = \inf\{\tau(b_1) - d_\tau(c_1) : \tau \in T(A)\} \ge \inf\{\tau(b_1) - \tau(c) : \tau \in T(A)\} > 0. \tag{e 13.11}$$

Note that $\|b\| = 1$. By choosing smaller δ_1, we may assume that there exist non-zero elements $e, e' \in f_{2\delta_1}(b)Af_{2\delta_1}(b)$ with $0 \le e \le e' \le 1$ and $e'e = ee' = e$ such that

$$\tau(e') < r/8 \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w.$$

Let $r_1 = \inf\{\tau(e) : \tau \in T(A)\}$. Note that, since A is simple and $\overline{T(A)}^w$ is compact, $r_1 > 0$. Let $b_2 = (1 - e')b_1(1 - e')$. Thus, there is $0 < \delta_2 < \delta_1/2 < 1/2$ such that

$$7r/8 < \inf\{\tau(f_{\delta_2}(b_2)) - \tau(c_1) : \tau \in T(A)\} < r - r_1.$$

Since $f_{\delta_2}(b_2)f_{3/4}(b_2) = f_{3/4}(b_2)$ and since $\overline{f_{3/4}(b_2)Af_{3/4}(b_2)}$ is non-zero, there is a non-zero $e_1 \in A$ with $0 \le e_1 \le 1$ such that $e_1 f_{\delta_2}(b_2) = e_1$ with $\tau(e_1) < r/18$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

There are $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m \in A$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^* e_1 x_i = f_{1/4}(a_0). \tag{e 13.12}$$

Let $\mathfrak{f}_{a_0} > 0$ be given as part of the definition for $A \in \mathcal{D}$.

Let $\sigma = \mathfrak{f}_{a_0} \cdot \min\{\varepsilon^2/2^{17}(m+1), \delta_1/8, r_1/2^7(m+1)\}$.

By (e 13.11) and [7], there are $z_1, z_2, ..., z_K \in A$ and $b' \in A_+$ such that

$$\|f_{\varepsilon^2/2^{12}}(c) - \sum_{j=1}^{K} z_j^* z_j\| < \sigma/4 \text{ and} \tag{e 13.13}$$

$$\|f_{\delta_2}(b_2) - (b' + e_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{K} z_j z_j^*)\| < \sigma/4.$$

Since $A \in \mathcal{D}$, for any $\eta > 0$, there exist C^*-subalgebras $A_1, D \subset A$, $D \in \mathcal{C}_0'$ with $A_1 \perp D$, such that

$$\|f_{\varepsilon^2/2^{14}}(c) - (f_{\varepsilon^2/2^{14}}(c_{0,2}) + f_{\varepsilon^2/2^{14}}(c_2))\| < \sigma \tag{e 13.14}$$

$$\|f_{\delta_1/2}(b_2) - (f_{\delta_1/2}(b_{0,3}) + f_{\delta_1/2}(b_3)\| < \sigma, \tag{e 13.15}$$

$$\|f_{\delta_2/4}(b_2) - (f_{\delta_2/4}(b_{0,3}) + f_{\delta_2/4}(b_3))\| < \sigma, \tag{e 13.16}$$

$$\|e_1 - (e_{0,1} + e_{1,1})\| < \sigma \tag{e 13.17}$$

$$c \lesssim e, \tag{e 13.18}$$

$$\|a_0 - (a_{0,0} \oplus a_{1,0})\| < \sigma, \tag{e 13.19}$$

$$\tau(f_{1/4}(a_{1,0})) \geq \mathfrak{f}_{a_0} \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(D)}^w, \tag{e 13.20}$$

where $c \in A_0$ is a strictly positive element of A_0, and, (using (e 13.12) and (e 13.13)), such that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{1,1} - f_{1/4}(a_{1,0})\| < \sigma, \tag{e 13.21}$$

$$\|f_{\varepsilon^2/2^{14}}(c_2) - \sum_{j=1}^{K} (z_j')^* z_j'\| < \sigma \text{ and} \tag{e 13.22}$$

$$\|f_{\delta_2}(b_3) - (\sum_{j=1}^{K} z_j'(z_j')^* + e_{1,1} + b'')\| < \sigma, \tag{e 13.23}$$

where $0 \leq a_{0,0}, b_{0,2}, b_{0,3}, c_{0,0}, e_{0,1} \leq 1$ are in A_0, $0 \leq a_{1,0}, b_2, b_3, c_2, e_{1,1} \leq 1$ are in D, $z_j', z_j', x_i', b'' \in D$, $(i = 1, 2, ..., m$ and $j = 1, 2, ..., K)$

Note that, by (e 13.21)

$$t(e_{1,1}) \geq \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2(m+1) \text{ for all } t \in T(D). \tag{e 13.24}$$

Therefore, by (e 13.22), (e 13.21) and (e 13.23),

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(f_{\varepsilon^2/2^{13}}(c_2)) &\leq t(f_{\varepsilon^2/2^{14}}(c_2)) \\ &\leq \sigma + \sum_{j=1}^{K} t((z_j')^* z_j') \\ &= \sigma + \sum_{j=1}^{K} t(z_j'(z_j')^*) \\ &\leq t(e_{1,1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{K} t(z_j'(z_j')^*) \\ &\leq t(f_{\delta_1}(b_3)) \\ &\leq d_t(f_{\delta_1/2}(b_3)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in T(D)$. It follows from 10.3 that

$$f_{\varepsilon^2/2^{13}}(c_2) \lesssim f_{\delta_1/2}(b_3).$$

By (e 13.15) and Lemma 2.2 of [37]

$$f_{\delta_1/2}(b_3) \le f_{\delta_1/4}(b_2) \le b_2.$$

It the follows

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\varepsilon/2}(c) &\lesssim f_{\varepsilon^2/2^{11}}(c_{0,2}+c_2) \lesssim f_{\varepsilon^2/2^{11}}(c_2) \oplus c \\ &\lesssim b_2 + e \lesssim b_1 \lesssim b. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$f_\varepsilon(a) \lesssim f_{\varepsilon/2}(f_{\varepsilon/16}(a)) = f_{\varepsilon/2}(c) \lesssim b.$$

Since this holds for all $1 > \varepsilon > 0$, by 2.4 of [37], we conclude that

$$a \lesssim b.$$

□

14 Tracially approximate divisibility

Definition 14.1. Let A be a non-unital and σ-unital simple C^*-algebra. We say that A has (non-unital) tracially approximately divisible property if the following holds:

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, any $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, and any integer $n \ge 1$, there are σ-unital C^*-subalgebras A_0, A_1 of A such that

$$\mathrm{dist}(x, B_d) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F},$$

where $B_d \subset B \subset A$,

$$B = A_0 \bigoplus M_n(A_1), \tag{e 14.1}$$

$$B_d = \{(x_0, \overbrace{x_1, x_1, ..., x_1}^{n}) : x_0 \in A_0, x_1 \in A_1\} \tag{e 14.2}$$

and $a_0 \lesssim b$, where a_0 is a strictly positive element of A_0.

Definition 14.2. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the Jiang-Su algebra and let $e \in M_2(\mathcal{Z})$ with $0 \le e \le 1$ which is not Cuntz equivalent to a projection such that $d_\tau(a) = 1$, where τ is the unique tracial state on $\mathcal{Z}$.

Denote $\mathcal{Z}^o = \overline{e\mathcal{Z}e}$.

Proposition 14.3. *For any integer $n \ge 1$, there are $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in \mathcal{Z}^o$ with $0 \le a_i \le 1$, $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, such that $a_i a_j = a_j a_i = 0$ if $i \ne j$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, and there is a partial isometry $u_i \in (\mathcal{Z}^o)^{**}$ such that $u_i^* x \in \mathcal{Z}^o$ for all $x \in \overline{a_1 A a_1}$, $u_i^* a_1 u_i = a_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Moreover, $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ is a strict positive element of $\mathcal{Z}^o$.*

Proof. Consider $a_1 \in \mathcal{Z}^o$ such that $0 \le a_1 \le 1$ and $d_\tau(a_1) = 1/n$. Put $B_1 = \overline{a_1 \mathcal{Z}^o a_1}$ and $B_2 = M_n(B_1)$. We may view B_2 as a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $M_2(\mathcal{Z})$. Then $a = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{a_1, a_1, ..., a_1}^{n})$ has the property that

$$d_\tau(a) = 1.$$

It follows that $B_2 \cong \mathcal{Z}^o$. The lemma follows. □

Theorem 14.4. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that every quasi-trace is extended to a trace. Suppose that*

$$A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z}^o \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}^o.$$

Proof. Let $e \in M_2(\mathcal{Z})$ with $0 \le e \le 1$ such that $\overline{eM_2(\mathcal{Z})e} = \mathcal{Z}^o$. Let $a_0 \in A$ with $0 \le a_0 \le 1$ be a strict positive element of A. Put $b_0 = a_0 \otimes e$ and $B_0 = \overline{b_0(A \otimes M_2(\mathcal{Z}))b_0}$. Then $B_0 = A \otimes \mathcal{Z}^o$. We compute that

$$d_\tau(a_0 \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}) = d_\tau(a_0 \otimes e) \text{ for all } \tau \in \tilde{T}(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}).$$

It follows from Theorem 6.6 of [14] that

$$\langle a_0 \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle = \langle a_0 \otimes e \rangle. \tag{e 14.3}$$

By 3.2 of [35], $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ has almost stable rank one. Then, by 3.3 of [35], $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}^o$. Theorem then follows.

□

Theorem 14.5. *Let A be a non-unital and σ-unital simple C^*-algebra with $QT(Q) = T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that A has continuous scale. Then $B = A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ has the tracially approximate divisible property in the sense of 14.1. In fact one has the following strong version: For any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset B$, and any integer $n \ge 1$, there is a σ-unital hereditary C^*-subalgebra A_0 of A such that*

$$\mathrm{dist}(x, B_{1,d}) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F},$$

where $B_{1,d} \subset B_1 \subset B$,

$$B_1 = M_{n+1}(A_0) \text{ and} \tag{e 14.4}$$

$$B_{1,d} = \{(\overbrace{x_1, x_1, ..., x_1}^{n+1}) : x_1 \in A_0\}. \tag{e 14.5}$$

Moreover, if A has continuous scale so does A_0.

Proof. This is a corollary of 14.4. However, we will present the details.

Choose a pair of relatively prime supernatural numbers $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$. Let

$$a_0(t) = t \cdot 1_{M_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} = \{f \in C([0,1], M_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}) : f(0) \in M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_{M_{\mathfrak{q}}} \text{ and } f(1) \in \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes M_{\mathfrak{q}}\}.$$

There is an embedding $\imath : \mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} \to \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$\tau(\imath(f)) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}(f(t))dt \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}},$$

where $\tau \in T(\mathcal{Z})$ is the unique tracial state. Then, for any integer $k \ge 2$,

$$\int_0^1 \mathrm{tr}(a_0(t)^{1/k})dt = \int_0^1 t^{1/k}dt = \frac{k}{1+k}. \tag{e 14.6}$$

It follows that, for any $k \ge 1$,

$$\tau(\imath(a_0^{1/k})) = \frac{k}{1+k}. \tag{e 14.7}$$

Therefore

$$d_\tau(\imath(a_0)) = 1. \tag{e 14.8}$$

As in the proof of (14.3), there are mutually orthogonal and mutually Cuntz equivalent positive elements $e_0, e_1, e_2, ..., e_n \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$\imath(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i. \tag{e 14.9}$$

In particular, $d_\tau(e_i) = 1/(n+1)$, $i = 0, 1, ..., n$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset B$ be a finite subset. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there is $e \in B_+$ with $\|e\| = 1$ which is strictly positive such that

$$ea = ae = a \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Put $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F} \cup \{e\}$. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is strongly self-absorbing, we may assume that every element in $\mathcal{F}$ has the form

$$x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}. \tag{e 14.10}$$

Note that

$$(e \otimes e_i)(x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}) = (x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}})(e \otimes e_i) = x \otimes e_i, \ \ i = 0, 1, ... \tag{e 14.11}$$

Put $b = \sum_{i=0}^{n} e_i \in \mathcal{Z}$. In $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$,

$$d_\tau(e \otimes c) = d_\tau(e \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}) = 1 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}). \tag{e 14.12}$$

As in the proof of (14.3), by Theorem 6.6 of [14],

$$\langle e \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle = \langle e \otimes c \rangle. \tag{e 14.13}$$

It follows from 3.2 of [35] that $A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ almost has stable rank one. Then, as pointed out in 3.3 of [35], there is an isometry $U \in (A \otimes \mathcal{Z})^{**}$ such that

$$U^* Her(e \otimes c) U = Her(e \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}) = A \otimes \mathcal{Z}, \tag{e 14.14}$$

where $U^*x, xU \in A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ for all $x \in Her(e \otimes c)$.

Therefore we may assume that $e \otimes c = \sum_{i=0}^{n} e \otimes e_i$ is a strictly positive element. With a sufficiently small $1 > \eta > 0$,

$$\|x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} - (x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}) f_\eta(c)\| < \varepsilon/2 \tag{e 14.15}$$

for all $x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}} \in \mathcal{F}$. Note that

$$(x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Z}}) f_\eta(c) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x \otimes f_\eta(e_i).$$

Since e_i are mutually equivalent in the sense of Cuntz and B almost has stable rank one, there are $x_i \in B$ such that

$$x_i^* x_i = e \otimes e_0, \ \ x_i x_i^* = e \otimes e_i, \ \ i = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

Let $x_i = w_i |x_i|$ be the poler decomposition of x_i in B^{**}, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Then

$$w_i (e \otimes e_i) w_i^* = w_i |x_i|^2 w_i^* = x_i x_i^* = e \otimes e_i, \ \ i = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

Let $A_0 = Her(e \otimes e_0)$. We see that C^*-subalgebra generated by A_0 and $\{x_i : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ is isomorphic to $M_{n+1}(A_0)$. Let $B_{1,d}$ be as described in the statement of the theorem. Then

$$\mathrm{diag}(x \otimes f_\eta(e_0), x \otimes f_\eta(e_1), ..., x \otimes f_\eta(e_n))$$

is identified with

$$\mathrm{diag}(x \otimes f_\eta(e_0), x \otimes f_\eta(e_0), ..., x \otimes f_\eta(e_0)).$$

In this way

$$\mathrm{dist}(y, B_{1,d}) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The lemma then follows.

□

Lemma 14.6. *Let D be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra which can be written as $D = \lim_{n\to\infty}(D_n, \varphi_n)$, where each $D_n \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $K \geq 1$ be an integer, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset D_n$ for some $n \geq 1$, there exists an integer $m \geq n$ and C^*-subalgebra $D'_m = M_K(D''_m) \subset D_m$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset D''_m$ satisfy the following:*

$$(\varphi_{n,m}(f), d(\mathcal{F}_1)) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{e 14.16}$$

where $d(x) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{x, x, ..., x}^{K})$.

Proof. It follows from [40] that $D \cong D \otimes \mathcal{Z}$. As proved 14.5, there exists a C^*-subalgebra C such that

$$\mathrm{dist}(a, \alpha(a)) < \varepsilon/4 \text{ for all } a \in \varphi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{F}), \tag{e 14.17}$$

where

$$\alpha(a) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{c(a), c(a), ..., c(a)}^{K}) \subset C \otimes M_K$$

for all $a \in \varphi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{F})$ and for some $c(a) \in C$.

To simplify notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that there is $c_0 \in C_+$ with $\|c_0\| = 1$ such that $c_0 c(a) = c(a)c_0 = c(a)$ for all $a \in \varphi_{n,\infty}(\mathcal{F})$. Consider C^*-subalgebra $C_0 \otimes M_K$, where C_0 is the C^*-subalgebra of C generated by c_0. Then $C_0 \otimes M_K$ is a quotient of $C_0((0, 1]) \otimes M_K$ (or $C([0, 1]) \otimes M_K$). Let $B = C_0((0, 1]) \otimes M_K$ (or $C([0, 1]) \otimes M_K$) as just mentioned and let $q : B \to C_0 \otimes M_K$ be the standard quotient map. Since B is semprojective, there is a homomorphism $H : B \to D_{m_1}$ for some $m_1 \geq n$ such that $\varphi_{m_1,\infty} \circ H = q$. Let c_{00} is the pre-image of c_0 under q. The lemma follows if we choose a sufficiently large $m \geq m_1$ and $D''_m = \overline{\varphi_{m_1,m}(c_{00})D_m\varphi_{m_1,m}(c_{00})}$ as well as $D'_m = D''_m \otimes M_K$.

□

Theorem 14.7. *Let A be a separable simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{D}$. Then A has tracially approximately divisible property in the sense of 14.1.*

Proof. Let $a_0 \in A$ be a strictly positive element of A with $\|a_0\| = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{f}_{a_0} > 0$ be in the definition of 12.1. Fix an integer $k_0 \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{f}_{a_0} > 2^{-k_0}$.

Upon replacing a_0 by $g(a_0)$ for some $g \in C_0((0, 1])$ with $0 \leq g \leq 1$, we may assume that

$$\tau(a_0) > \mathfrak{f}_{a_0} \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A) \tag{e 14.18}$$

(see 13.2). Fix any $b_0 \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Choose a sequence of positive elements $\{b_n\}$ which has the following property: $b_{n+1} \lesssim b_{n,1}$, where $b_{n,1}, b_{n,2}, ..., b_{n,2^{n+k_0+5}}$ are mutually orthogonal positive

elements in $\overline{b_nAb_n}$ such that $b_nb_{n,i} = b_{n,i}b_n = b_{n,i}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n$, and $\langle b_{n,i}\rangle = \langle b_{n,1}\rangle$, $i = 1, 2, ..., 2^{n+k_0+3}$.

It should be noted that

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sup\{\tau(b_n) : \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w\} < \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{m+5} \text{ for all } m \geq 1. \tag{e 14.19}$$

One obtains two sequences of C^*-subalgebras $A_{0,n}$, D_n of A, two sequences of completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi_n^{(0)} : A \to A_{0,n}$ with $\|\varphi_n^{(1)}\| = 1$ $(i = 0, 1)$ and $\varphi_n^{(1)} : A \to D_n$ satisfy the following:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n^{(i)}(ab) - \varphi_n^{(i)}(a)\varphi_n^{(i)}(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A, \ i = 0, 1, \tag{e 14.20}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|a - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_n^{(0)}(a), \varphi_n^{(1)}(a))\| = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A, \tag{e 14.21}$$

$$c_n \lesssim b_n, \tag{e 14.22}$$

$$\tau(f_{1/4}(\psi_n^{(1)}(a_0))) \geq \mathfrak{f}_{a_0} \text{ for all } \tau \in T(D_n) \tag{e 14.23}$$

and $\varphi_n^{(1)}(a_0)$ is a strictly positive element in D_n, where c_n is a strictly positive element of $A_{0,n}$. As in the proof of 13.1,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup\{|\tau(a) - \tau \circ \varphi_n^{(1)}(a)| : \tau \in T(D_n)\} = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 14.24}$$

Let $a_1 = \varphi_1^{(1)}(a_0)$, $a_2 = \varphi_2^{(1)}(a_1), ..., a_n = \varphi_n^{(1)}(a_{n-1})$, $n = 0, 1, ...$

Without loss of generality, by passing to a subsequence, if necessary, by (e 14.21), we may assume that, for all $m > n$,

$$\|a_n - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_m^{(0)}(a_n), \varphi_m^{(1)}(a_n))\| < \frac{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}}{2^{(n+4)^2}} \tag{e 14.25}$$

$$\|f_{1/4}(a_n) - \mathrm{diag}(f_{1/4}(\varphi_m^{(0)}(a_n)), f_{1/4}(\varphi_m^{(1)}(a_n)))\| < \frac{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}}{2^{(n+4)^2}}, \ n = 1, 2, ... \tag{e 14.26}$$

Claim (1): For any $n \geq 1$,

$$\liminf\{\tau(\varphi_m^{(1)}(a_n)) : \tau \in T(D_m) \text{ and } m > n\} \geq \frac{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}}{8}. \tag{e 14.27}$$

Claim (2): If we first take a subsequence $\{N(k)\}$ and define $a_1 := \varphi^1_{(N(1))}(a_0)$, $a_2 = \varphi^{(1)}_{N(2)}(a_1), ..., a_n = \varphi^{(1)}_{N(n)}(a_{n-1})$, $n = 0, 1, ...$, then the Claim (2) still holds, when m is replaced by $N(m)$.

Let us first explain that Claim (2) follows from Claim (1) since we first take a subsequence in the above construction and then apply Claim (1).

We now prove the Claim (1).

Assume Claim (1) is false.

Then there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\frac{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}}{8} - \eta > 0$ and

$$\liminf\{\tau(\varphi_m^{(1)}(a_n)) : \tau \in T(D_m) \text{ and } m > n\} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}}{8} - \eta. \tag{e 14.28}$$

There is $n_0 \geq 1$ such that, for all $m > n \geq n_0$,

$$\tau(f_{1/4}(\varphi^{(1)}_{m+k}(a_m))) \leq \tau(f_{1/4}(\varphi^{(1)}_{m+k}(a_n))) + \eta/2 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(D_{m+k}). \tag{e 14.29}$$

Hence there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ which have the following property:
If $k' \ge k$,

$$t_{n_{k'}}(f_{1/4}(\varphi^{(1)}_{n_{k'}}(a_{n_k}))) \le \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/8 - \eta/2. \tag{e 14.30}$$

Consider the states τ_k defined by $t_k(a) = t_k(\varphi^{(1)}_{n_k}(a))$ for all $a \in A$, $k = 1, 2, ...$

Let τ be a weak*-limit of $\{t_k\}$. It follows (e 14.23) that τ is not zero. On the other hand, by (e 14.30),

$$\tau(f_{1/4}(a_{n_k})) < \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/8 \text{ for all } k. \tag{e 14.31}$$

It follows from (e 14.19) and (e 14.26) that, if $m > n \ge 1$,

$$t(f_{1/4}(\varphi^{(1)}_m(a_n))) \ge \tau(f_{1/4}(a_n)) - \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{m+5} - \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{(n+4)^2} \tag{e 14.32}$$

for all $t \in T(A)$.

Therefore, also using (e 14.18), for all k,

$$t(f_{1/4}(a_{n_k})) \ge t(f_{1/4}(a_0)) - (\sum_{j=1}^{n+k}(\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{j+5} - \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{(j+1)^2})) > \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/4. \tag{e 14.33}$$

This contradicts with (e 14.31).

This proves the Claim (1).

Now define $\psi'_n : D_n \to D_{n+1}$ by $\psi'_n(d) = \varphi^{(1)}_n(d)$ for all $d \in D_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$

Since A is simple, there is, for each n, a map $T_n = (N_n, M_n) : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that, for any $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, there are $x(a)_{1,n}, x(a)_{2,n}, ..., x(a)_{m_n(a),n} \in A$ with $m_n(a) \le N_n(a)$ and $\|x(a)_j\| \le M_n(a)$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_n(a)} x(a)^*_{j,n} a x(a)_{j,n} = f_{1/16}(\varphi^{(1)}_n(a_1)), n = 1, 2, ... \tag{e 14.34}$$

Here we view $D_n \subset A$.

Now fix a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A_+$ and $1/2 > \varepsilon > 0$.

Let $\{\mathcal{F}'_{k,n}\}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of $(D_k)_+$ such that the union of these subsets is dense in $(D_k)_+$. We assume that $\varphi^{(1)}_k(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}'_{k,1}$.

Set

$$\mathcal{F}''_{1,n} = \{(a - \|a\|/2)_+ : a \in \mathcal{F}'_{1,n}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}'''_{1,n} = \mathcal{F}'_{1,n} \cup \mathcal{F}''_{1,n} \tag{e 14.35}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{1,n}$ be a finite subset which also contains

$$\mathcal{F}'''_{1,n} \cup \{a_i, f_{1/16}(a_i), f_{1/4}(a_i),\ i = 0, 1\}.$$

Since D_1 is semiprojective, there exists a homomorphism $\psi_1 : D_1 \to D_{n_2}$ such that

$$\|\psi_1(a) - \psi'_{n_2}(a)\| < \min\{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/16, \varepsilon/8\} \text{ and} \tag{e 14.36}$$

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{m_n(a)} \varphi^{(1)}_{n_2}(x(a)_{i,1})^* \psi_1(a) \varphi^{(1)}_{n_2}(x(a)_{i,1}) - f_{1/16}(\varphi^{(1)}_{n_2}(a_1))\| < \min\{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/16, \varepsilon/8\} \tag{e 14.37}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}'''_{1,1}$.

Therefore, by applying 3.1, there are $y(a)_{i,n_2} \in D_{n_2}$ with $\|y(a)_{i,n_2}\| \le \|x(a)_{i,1}\| + \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/16$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m_n(a)} y(a)_{i,n_2}^* \psi_1(a) y(a)_{i,n_2} = f_{1/8}(\varphi_{n_2}^{(1)}(a_1)) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_{1,1}'''. \tag{e 14.38}$$

To simplify notation, by passing to a subsequence, if necessary, without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_2 = 2$.

Set

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,n}'' = \{(a - \|a\|/2)_+ : a \in \mathcal{F}_{2,n}'\} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{2,n}''' = \mathcal{F}_{2,n}' \cup \mathcal{F}_{2,n}''' \tag{e 14.39}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{2,n}$ be a finite subset which also contains

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,n}'' \cup \{a_i, f_{1/16}(a_i), f_{1/4}(a_i), f_{1/16}(\varphi_2^{(1)}(a_1))\} \cup \psi_1(\mathcal{F}_{1,1}).$$

Since D_2 is semiprojective, there exists a homomorphism $\psi_2 : D_2 \to D_{n_3}$ such that

$$\|\psi_2(a) - \psi_{n_3}'(a)\| < \min\{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{3+2}, \varepsilon/2^{2+2}\} \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_{2,2} \text{ and} \tag{e 14.40}$$

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{m_n(a)} \varphi_{n_3}^{(1)}(x(a)_{i,2})^* \psi_2(a) \varphi_{n_3}^{(1)}(x(a)_{i,2}) - f_{1/16}(\varphi_{n_3}^{(1)}(a_2))\| < \min\{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{3+2}, \varepsilon/2^{3+1}\} \tag{e 14.41}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_{2,2}'''$.

Therefore, by applying 3.1, there are $y(a)_{i,n_3} \in D_{n_3}$ with $\|y(a)_{i,n_3}\| \le \|x(a)_{i,2}\| + \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{3+2}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m_n(a)} y(a)_{i,n_3}^* \psi_n(a) y(a)_{i,n_3} = f_{1/8}(\varphi_{n_3}^{(1)}(a_2)) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_{2,2}''' \tag{e 14.42}$$

To simplify notation, by passing to a subsequence, if necessary, without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_3 = 3$.

Continue this process, one then obtains a sequence of homomorphism $\psi_n : D_n \to D_{n+1}$ such that

$$\|\psi_n(a) - \psi_n'(a)\| < \min\{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{3+n}, \varepsilon/2^{2+n}\} \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_{n,n} \text{ and} \tag{e 14.43}$$

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{m_n(a)} \varphi_n^{(1)}(x(a)_{i,n})^* \psi_n(a) \varphi_n^{(1)}(x(a)_{i,n}) - f_{1/16}(a_n)\| < \min\{\mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{3+n}, \varepsilon/2^{3+n}\} \tag{e 14.44}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_{n,n}'''$. Moreover, there are $y(a)_{i,n} \in D_n$ with $\|y(a)_{i,n}\| \le M_n(a) + \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{3+n}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m_n(a)} y(a)_{i,n}^* \psi_n(a) y(a)_{i,n} = f_{1/8}(a_n) \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_{n,n}'''. \tag{e 14.45}$$

Let $D = \lim_{n\to\infty}(D_n, \psi_n)$. (Again, one should note that we have taken a subsequence to simplify notation.)

We now verify D is simple. Fix a non-zero positive element $d_0 \in D_+$ with $\|d_0\| = 1$. Since each D_n is stably projectionless, so is D. Fix $1/64 > \varepsilon_1 > 0$. There is $d \in D_+$ such that $d = \psi_{m,\infty}(d')$ for $d' \in (D_m)_+$ with $\|d'\| = 1$ and

$$\|d - d_0\| < \varepsilon_1/32. \tag{e 14.46}$$

It follows from 3.1 that there is $z \in D$ such that

$$(d - \varepsilon_1/16)_+ = z^* d_0 z. \tag{e 14.47}$$

By the construction, there is $d'' \in \mathcal{F}'_{m',m'}$ for some $m' \ge m + 16$ such that

$$\|\psi_{m,m'}((d' - \varepsilon_1/16)_+) - d''\| < \varepsilon_1/64 \tag{e 14.48}$$

There is $y \in D_{m'}$ such that

$$(d'' - \varepsilon_1/8)_+ = y^* \psi_{m,m'}((d' - \varepsilon_1/4)_+)y. \tag{e 14.49}$$

Note that $\varepsilon_1/2 \le \|d''\|/8$. By the construction, there $x_1, x_2, ..., x_L \in D_{m'+1}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L} x_i^* \psi_{m',m'+1}((d'' - \varepsilon_1/2)_+)x_i = f_{1/8}(\varphi_{m'+1}(a_{m'})) = f_{1/8}(a_{m'+1}). \tag{e 14.50}$$

Claim (3): $a_{00} := \psi_{m'+1,\infty}(f_{1/4}(a_{m'+1})$ is full.

In fact, for any $m' > m' + 1$, it follows from (e 14.43) and Claim (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\psi_{m'+1,m''}(f_{1/4}(a_{m'+1}))) &\ge \tau(\varphi^{(1)}_{m''}(f_{1/4}(a_{m'+1}) - \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{m'+3} && \text{(e 14.51)} \\ &= \tau(f_{1/4}(\varphi^{(1)}_{m''}(a_{m'+1})) - \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/2^{m'+3} > \mathfrak{f}_{a_0}/16 && \text{(e 14.52)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in T(D_{m''})$.

By 10.3, we conclude that $\psi_{m'+1,m''}(f_{1/4}(a_{m'+1}))$ is full in $D_{m''}$. Therefore a_{00} is full in $\psi_{m'',\infty}(D_{m''})$ for all $m'' > m' + 1$. Hence the closed ideal generated by a_{00} contains all $\cup_{m''>m'+1}\psi_{m'',\infty}(D_{m''})$. This implies that a_{00} is full in D. This proves Claim (3).

It follows from (e 14.50) that $\psi_{m',\infty}((d'' - \varepsilon_1)_+)$ is full in D. By (e 14.49), $\psi_{m,\infty}((d' - \varepsilon_1/4)_+)$ is full in D. This, in turn, by (e 14.47), b_0 is full in D. Since b_0 is arbitrarily chosen in $D_+ \setminus \{0\}$ with $\|b_0\| = 1$, this implies that D is indeed simple.

On the other hand D is non-unital and an inductive limit of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}'_0$. This lemma then follows a direct application of 14.6.

In fact, for any fixed integer $K \ge 1$, by 14.6, there exists $m \ge 1$ such that

$$\mathrm{dist}(\psi_m(f), d(\mathcal{F}_1)) < \varepsilon/8 \ \text{ for all } \ f \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{e 14.53}$$

where $d(x) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{x, x, ..., x}^{K})$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and where $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset D''_m$, $D'_m = M_K(D''_m) \subset D_m$. By choosing possibly even larger m, by (e 14.21), we may also assume that

$$\|a - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi^{(0)}_m(a), \varphi^{(1)}_m(a))\| < \varepsilon/4 \ \text{ for all } \ a \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 14.54}$$

It follows from (e 14.43) that

$$\mathrm{dist}(\varphi^{(1)}_m(f), d(\mathcal{F}_1)) < \varepsilon/4 \ \text{ for all } \ f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 14.55}$$

Let

$$B_{1,d} = \{(\overbrace{x, x, ..., x}^{K}) : x \in D''_m\} \subset M_K(D''_m) \ \text{ and } \ B_d = A_{0,m} \oplus B_{1,d}.$$

Then,

$$\mathrm{dist}(a, B_d) < \varepsilon \ \text{ for all } \ a \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Note also, by e 14.22,

$$c_m \lesssim b_m \lesssim b_0$$

(recall that c_m is a strictly positive element for $A_{0,m}$). Lemma follows. □

Theorem 14.8. *Let A be a non-unital and σ-unital simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{D}_0$ (or in $\mathcal{D}$) with a strictly positive element $a \in A_+$ with $\|a\| = 1$. Then the following is true.*

There exists $1 > \mathfrak{f}_a > 0$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and any integer $n \geq 1$, there are $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi : A \to A$ and $\psi : A \to D$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D \subset A$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi(x), \overbrace{\psi(x), \psi(x), ..., \psi(x)}^{n})\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a\}, \tag{e 14.56}$$

$$D \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'} (\text{or } \mathcal{C}_0'), \tag{e 14.57}$$

$$\varphi(a) \lesssim b, \tag{e 14.58}$$

$$\|\psi\| = 1, \tag{e 14.59}$$

$$t(f_{1/4}(\psi(a))) \geq \mathfrak{f}_a \text{ for all } t \in T(D) \text{ and} \tag{e 14.60}$$

$$\tag{e 14.61}$$

$\psi(a)$ is strictly positive in D.

Let $A_0 = \overline{\varphi(a)A\varphi(a)}$. Then $A_0 \perp D$. Moreover, (e 14.58) *could be replaced by $c \lesssim b$ for some strictly positive element c of A_0.*

Note that there is a C^*-subalgebra $M_n(D)$ in A, where $D \oplus D \oplus \cdots \oplus D$ sitting in the diagonal.

Proof. By 14.7, A has the property of tracially approximate divisibility. Fix a strictly positive element $a \in A_+$ with $\|a\| = 1$. It follows from 13.1 that $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$. Let

$$r_0 = \inf\{\tau(f_{1/2}(a)) : \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w\} > 0. \tag{e 14.62}$$

Let $\mathfrak{f}_{a_0} = r_0/4$. Choose an integer $k_0 \geq 1$ such that $r_0/16 > 1/k_0$.

Let $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Choose $\varepsilon_1 = \min\{\varepsilon/16, r_0/128\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F} \cup \{a, f_{1/4}(a)\}$ be a finite subset of A. Let $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$, and any integer $n \geq 1$ be given.

Choose $b_0', b_1', ..., b_{n+2k_0}' \in \overline{bAb}$ such that b_0' and b_1' are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent in the sense of Cuntz and there are non-zero elements $b_0, b_1, ..., b_{n+2k_0} \in A_+$ such that $b_i b_0' = b_i$, $i = 0, 1, ..., n + 2k_0$

By 14.7, A has the property of tracially approximate divisibility. Therefore there are σ-unital C^*-subalgebras A_0, A_1 of A such that

$$\mathrm{dist}(x, B_d) < \varepsilon_1/2 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}_1,$$

where $B_d \subset B \subset A$,

$$B = A_0 \bigoplus M_n(A_1), \tag{e 14.63}$$

$$B_d = \{(x_0, \overbrace{x_1, x_1, ..., x_1}^{n}) : x_0 \in A_0, x_1 \in A_1\} \tag{e 14.64}$$

and $a_0 \lesssim b_0$, where a_0 is a strictly positive element of A_0. Moreover, there are $y_0 \in A_0$ and $y_1 \in A_1$ such that

$$\|a - \mathrm{diag}(y_0, \overbrace{y_1, y_1, ..., y_1}^{n})\| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ and} \tag{e 14.65}$$

$$\|f_{1/4}(a) - \mathrm{diag}(f_{1/4}(y_0), \overbrace{f_{1/4}(y_1), f_{1/4}(y_1), ..., f_{1/4}(y_1)}^{n})\| < \varepsilon_1/2. \tag{e 14.66}$$

Note that

$$\tau(\mathrm{diag}(0, \overbrace{f_{1/4}(y_1), f_{1/4}(y_1), ..., f_{1/4}(y_1)}^{n})) \ge r_0 - 1/(n+2k_0) - \varepsilon_1/2 > r_0/3 \qquad \text{(e 14.67)}$$

for all $\tau \in T(A)$.

Let $A_0' = \overline{a_0 A a_0}$ and $A_1' = \overline{y_1 A y_1}$. Note that $0 \notin \overline{T(A_1')}^w$ as 8.10. Moreover, if $\tau \in T(A)$, then $\|\tau|_{A_1}\| \ge r_0/3$. We also have

$$\tau(f_{1/4}(y_1)) \ge r_0/3 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A_1'). \qquad \text{(e 14.68)}$$

Note, by 13.2, in the definition of 12.4 the constant $\mathfrak{f}_{y_1}$ can be chosen $r_0/6$.

Let $\mathcal{G} \subset A_1$ be a finite subset such that the following holds

$$\mathrm{dist}(f, \mathrm{diag}(x_0, \overbrace{x_1, x_1, ..., x_1}^{n}) : x_0 \in A_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{G}\}) < \varepsilon_1/2 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_1 \qquad \text{(e 14.69)}$$

and $y_1 \in \mathcal{G}$.

Note that A_1' is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of A. Therefore, there exist two C^*-subalgebras B_0 and D of A_1', where $D \in \mathcal{C}_0'$ (or $\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$), and two $\mathcal{G}$-ε_1-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi_0 : A_1' \to B_0$ and $\psi_0 : A_1' \to D$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_0(x), \psi_0(x))\| < \varepsilon_1/2 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{G}, \qquad \text{(e 14.70)}$$

$$\varphi_0(c_0) \lesssim b_1, \qquad \text{(e 14.71)}$$

$$\|\psi_0\| = 1 \text{ and} \qquad \text{(e 14.72)}$$

$$\tau \circ f_{1/4}(\psi_0(y_1)) \ge r_0/6 \text{ for all } \tau \in T(D) \qquad \text{(e 14.73)}$$

and $\psi_0(y_1)$ is a strictly positive element in D, where c_0 is a strictly positive element of A_1'.

Let $A_{00} = A_0 \oplus \overbrace{A_0', A_0', ..., A_0'}^{n}$ and let

$$c = \mathrm{diag}(a_0, \overbrace{c_0, c_0, ..., c_0}^{n}).$$

Choose a function $g \in C_0((0,1])$, define $\varphi_{00} : A \to A_{00}$ by

$$\varphi_{00}(x) = \mathrm{diag}(g(a_0)xg(a_0), \overbrace{\varphi_0(x), \varphi_0(x), ..., \varphi_0(x)}^{n}) \text{ for all } x \in A.$$

Then, with a choice of g, we have

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_{00}(x), \overbrace{\psi_0(x), \psi_0(x), ..., \psi_0(x)}^{n})\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}. \qquad \text{(e 14.74)}$$

Moreover,

$$c \lesssim b_0 \oplus b_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus b_n \lesssim b.$$

□

The following follows from the combination of 12.6 and 14.8.

Corollary 14.9. *Let A be a σ-unital simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{D}_0$ (or in $\mathcal{D}$). Then the following holds. Fix a strictly positive element $a \in A$ with $\|a\| = 1$ and let $1 > \mathfrak{f}_0 > 0$ be as in 12.4 (see also 13.2). There is a map $T : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ ($a \mapsto (N(a), M(a))$ for all $a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$) satisfying the following: For any finite subset $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$. for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset*

$\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and any integer $n \geq 1$, there are $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi : A \to A$ and $\psi : A \to D$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D \subset A$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi(x), \overbrace{\psi(x), \psi(x), ..., \psi(x)}^{n})\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a\}, \tag{e 14.75}$$

$$D \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'} \text{ (or } \mathcal{C}_0'), \tag{e 14.76}$$

$$a_0 \lesssim b, \tag{e 14.77}$$

$$\|\psi\| = 1 \text{ and} \tag{e 14.78}$$

$$\tag{e 14.79}$$

$\psi(a)$ is strictly positive in D, where $a_0 \in \overline{\varphi(a)A\varphi(a)}$ is a strictly positive element. Moreover, ψ is T-$\mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{f_{1/4}(a)\}$-full in $\overline{DAD}$.

Furthermore, we may assume that

$$t \circ f_{1/4}(\psi(a)) \geq \mathfrak{f}_a \text{ and} \tag{e 14.80}$$

$$t \circ f_{1/4}(c) \geq \mathfrak{f}_a/4 \inf\{M(c) \cdot N^2(c) : c \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{f_{1/4}(a)\}\} \tag{e 14.81}$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and for all $t \in T(D)$.

15 Stable rank

The proof of the following is very similar to that of Lemma 2.1 of [34].

Lemma 15.1. *Let A be a non-unital and σ-unital simple C^*-algebra with $0 \notin \overline{T(A)}^w$ which has strict comparison for positive elements. Suppose that every hereditary C^*-subalgebra B of A is tracially approximate divisible as defined in 14.1. Then, for any hereditary C^*-subalgebra B of A,*

$$B \subset \overline{GL(\tilde{B})}$$

Proof. Since every hereditary C^*-subalgebra B of A has the same said properties, it suffices to show that $A \subset \overline{GL(\tilde{A})}$.

Fix an element $x \in \tilde{A}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $e \in A$ with $0 \leq e \leq 1$ be a strictly positive element. Upon replacing x by $f_\eta(e)xf_\eta(e)$ for some small $1/8 > \eta > 0$, we may assume that $x \in \overline{f_\eta(e)Af_\eta(e)}$. Put $B_1 = \overline{f_\eta(e)Af_\eta(e)}$.

By the assumption, we know that e is not a projection. we obtain a positive element $b_0 \in B_1^\perp \setminus \{0\}$.

Note that

$$B_1^\perp = \{a \in A : ab = ba = 0 \text{ for all } b \in B_1\}$$

is a non-zero hereditary C^*-subalgebra of A. Since we assume that A is infinite dimensional, $\overline{b_0Ab_0}$ contains two non-zero positive elements $b_{0,1}, b_{0,1}', b_{0,2}, b_{0,2} \in B_1^\perp$ such that

$$b_{0,1}' \lesssim b_{0,2}' \text{ and } b_{0,1}{b_{0,1}}' = b_{0,1}, b_{0,2}b_{0,2}' = b_{0,2} \text{ and } b_{0,1}'b_{0,2}' = 0.$$

Choose an integer $n \geq 2$, since A has strict comparison for positive element as given in 13.4, such that, if there are n-mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent positive elements $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in A_+$, then

$$a_i \lesssim b_{0,1}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

There is $B_1' \subset B_1$ such that

$$B_1' = B_{1,1} \oplus \overbrace{D \oplus D \oplus \cdots \oplus D}^{n},$$

where $B_{1,1}$ is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra with a strictly positive element $b_{11} \lesssim b_{0,1}$ and

$$x \in_{\varepsilon/16} B_{1,d} = \{(b, \overbrace{d, d, ..., d}^{n}) : b \in B_{1,1} \text{ and } d \in D\},$$

That is, there are $x_0 \in B_{1,1}$ and $x_1 \in D \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\|x - x_0 \oplus \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{x_1, x_1, ..., x_1}^{n})\| < \varepsilon/16. \tag{e 15.1}$$

Let $d_0 \in D$ be a strictly positive element, by the choice of n, $d_0 \lesssim b_{0,1}$.
Choose $0 < \eta_1 < 1/4$ such that

$$\|f_{\eta_1}(d_0)x_1 f_{\eta_1}(d_0) - x_1\| < \varepsilon/16. \tag{e 15.2}$$

Put $x_1' = f_{\eta_1}(d_0)x_1 f_{\eta_1}(d_0)$. Note

$$f_{\eta_1/8}(d_0) \lesssim b'_{0,2}. \tag{e 15.3}$$

There are $w_i \in A$ such that

$$w_i^* w_i = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{i-1}, f_{\eta_1/4}(d_0), 0, ..., 0), i = 1, 2, ..., n, \tag{e 15.4}$$

$$w_i w_i^* = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{i}, f_{\eta_1/4}(d_0), 0, ..., 0), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n-1, \text{ and} \tag{e 15.5}$$

$$w_n w_n^* \in \overline{b'_{0,2} A b'_{0,2}}. \tag{e 15.6}$$

There is $v \in A$ such that

$$v^* v = x_0 + \mathrm{diag}(x_1', 0, ..., 0) \text{ and } vv^* \in \overline{(b'_{0,1} + b'_{0,2})A(b'_{0,1} + b'_{0,2})}. \tag{e 15.7}$$

Put

$$x_i'' = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{i-1}, x_1', 0, ..., 0), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \tag{e 15.8}$$

$$y_i'' = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{i-1}, f_{\eta_1/4}(d_0), 0, ..., 0), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n, \tag{e 15.9}$$

$$z_1 = v^*, \quad z_2 = v, \tag{e 15.10}$$

$$z_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i^* x_i'' \text{ and } z_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i'' w_i. \tag{e 15.11}$$

Note that

$$z_3 z_2 = 0, z_1 z_4 = 0. \tag{e 15.12}$$

Therefore

$$(z_1 + z_3)(z_2 + z_4) = z_1 z_2 + z_3 z_4 \tag{e 15.13}$$

$$= v^* v + \mathrm{diag}(0, x_1', x_1', ..., x_1') \tag{e 15.14}$$

$$= x_0 \oplus \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{x_1', x_1', ..., x_1'}^{n}). \tag{e 15.15}$$

On the other hand,

$$z_1^2 = v^*v^* = 0, \;\; z_1z_3 = 0. \tag{e 15.16}$$

We also compute that

$$z_3^2 = \sum_{i,j} w_i^* x_i'' w_j^* x_j'' = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} w_i^* x_i w_{i-1}^* x_{i-1}. \tag{e 15.17}$$

Inductively, we compute that

$$z_3^n = 0. \tag{e 15.18}$$

Thus, by (e 15.16) and (e 15.18),

$$(z_1 + z_3)^k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} z_3^i z_1^{k-i} \;\text{ for all }\; k. \tag{e 15.19}$$

For $k = n + 1$,

$$(z_1 + z_3)^{n+1} = 0. \tag{e 15.20}$$

We also have that $z_2z_4 = 0$ and $z_2^2 = 0$. A similar computation shows that $z_4^n = 0$. Therefore as above, $(z_2 + z_4)^{n+1} = 0$. Thus, we estimate that

$$\|x - (z_1 + z_3)(z_2 + z_4)\| < \varepsilon/4.$$

Suppose that $\|z_i\| \le M$ for $i = 1, .., 4$. Consider

$$z_5 = z_1 + z_3 + \varepsilon/16(M + 1) \;\text{ and }\; z_6 = z_2 + z_4 + \varepsilon/16(M + 1).$$

Since $(z_1 + z_3)$ and $(z_2 + z_4)$ are nilpotents, both z_5 and z_6 are invertible in $\tilde{A}$. We also estimate that, by (e 15.1),

$$\|x - z_5z_6\| < \varepsilon.$$

□

Corollary 15.2. *Let A be a non-unital simple separable C^*-algebra which is in $\mathcal{D}$. Then A almost has stable rank one.*

Corollary 15.3. *Every separable simple C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{D}$ is quasi-compact.*

Proof. This follows from 13.1, 13.4, 15.2 and 8.8. □

Lemma 15.4. *Let A be a separable simple C^*-algebra and let $a, a_1 \in A_+$ be two non-zero elements such that $aa_1 = a_1a = a$. Then*

$$B_0 = \{b \in \tilde{A} : ba = ab = 0\}$$

is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of A.

Let $b \in B_0$ be a strictly positive element with $0 \le b \le 1$ and $p = \lim_{n\to\infty} b^{1/n}$ be the open projection in B_0^{**}. Then, either B_0 has a unit, in which case, $B_0 = B_0 \cap A + \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_{B_0}$, or $B_0 \cap A + \mathbb{C} \cdot p = B_0 + \mathbb{C} \cdot p \cong \tilde{B}_0$.

Proof. It is clear that B_0 is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $\tilde{A}$. Let $B_{00} = A \cap B_0$. Note that $1 - a_1 \in B_0$. Let $\pi : \tilde{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the quotient map. Then $\pi(1 - a_1) = 1$. Thus we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \to B_{00} \to B_0 \to \mathbb{C} \to 0.$$

If B_0 has unit, then the above short exact sequence shows that $B_0 = B_{00} + \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_{B_0}$.

Otherwise, let $b_0 \in B_{00}$ be a strictly positive element with $0 \le b_0 \le 1$. Let $b \in B_0$ a strictly positive element of B_0 with $0 \le b \le 1$. Let $p = \lim_{n\to\infty} b^{1/n}$ in B_0^{**}. Let $B_1 = B_0 + \mathbb{C} \cdot p$. Note that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \pi(b^{1/n}) = 1$ for all n. Therefore $B_1/B_{00} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

□

Theorem 15.5. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple projectionless C^*-algebra which is in $\mathcal{D}$. Then A has stable rank one.*

Proof. Let $x \in \tilde{A}$. We will show that $x \in \overline{GL(\tilde{A})}$. By applying 3.2 and 3.5 of [36], without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a non-zero positive element $e_0' \in \tilde{A}$ such that $xe_0' = e_0'x = 0$. We may further assume that there exists a non-zero element that $e_0 \in \tilde{A}$ such that $e_0e_0' = e_0'e_0 = e_0$.

Let $A_0 = \overline{e_0\tilde{A}e_0}$ and let $\pi : \tilde{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the quotient map. If $\pi(A_0) \neq \{0\}$, then $\pi(x) = 0$. It other words, $x \in A$. It follows from 15.2 that $x \in \overline{GL(\tilde{A})}$. So for the rest of the proof, we assume that $\pi(A_0) = \{0\}$. In other words, $e_0 \in A_+$ and A_0 is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of A. We may assume that there is a non-zero element $e_{00}, e_{00}' \in A_0$ with $0 \le e_{00} \le e_{00}' \le 1$ such that

$$e_{00}e_{00}' = e_{00}.$$

By multiplying a scalar of multiple of identity, without loss of generality, we may assume that $x = 1 + a'$, where $a' \in A$.

Let

$$B = \{a \in \tilde{A} : ae_0 = e_0a = 0\}.$$

Then, by 15.4, B is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of $\tilde{A}$. Moreover, $\tilde{B} \cong B \cap A + \mathbb{C} \cdot p$, where $p = \lim_{n\to\infty}(b_0)^{1/n}$ in B^{**} for some strictly positive element $b_0 \in B$. Therefore one may rewrite $x = p + a$ for some $a \in B \cap A$. Put $B_0 = B \cap A$.

Now since $B_0 \in \mathcal{D}$, there exist a hereditary C^*-subalgebra $B_{01} \subset B_0$ and a C^*-subalgebra $D \subset B_0$ such that

$$\|a - (x_0 + x_1)\| < \varepsilon/4, \tag{e 15.21}$$

where $x_0 \in B_{0,1}$ and $x_1 \in D$, $B_{0,1}D = DB_{0,1} = \{0\}$,

$$b_{0,1} \lesssim e_{00} \tag{e 15.22}$$

where $b_{0,1}$ is a strictly positive element of $B_{0,1}$ and where $D \in \mathcal{C}_0'$.

We may assume, without loss of generality, that there are $e_{0,1}, e_{0,1}', e_{0,1}''e_{0,1}''' \in B_{0,1}$ with $0 \le e_{0,1} \le e_{0,1}' \le e_{0,1}'' \le e_{0,1}'' \le 1$ such that

$$e_{0,1}x_0 = x_0e_{0,1} = x_0,\ \ e_{0,1}'''e_{0,1}'' = e_{0,1}'',\ \ e_{0,1}''e_{0,1}' = e_{0,1}' \text{ and } e_{0,1}'e_{0,1} = e_{0,1}. \tag{e 15.23}$$

Let $A_2 = \overline{(e_{0,0}' + e_{0,1}'')A(e_{0,0}' + e_{0,1}'')}$. Since $b_{0,1} \lesssim e_{00}$ and since A almost has stable rank one, there is unitary $u_1' \in \tilde{A}_2$ such that

$$(u_1')^*e_{0,1}'(u_1') \in \overline{e_{0,0}'Ae_{0,0}'} \subset A_0. \tag{e 15.24}$$

Let A_3 be the hereditary C^*-subalgebra of A generated by A_0 and A_2. Let q be the open projection in A^{**} corresponding to A_3, and let q_0 be the open projection in A^{**} corresponding to $\overline{e_{0,1}Ae_{0,1}}$. Note that

$$q_0 \le e'_{0,1} \le e''_{0,1} \le q. \tag{e 15.25}$$

Note also that

$$\|x - (p + x_0 + x_1)\| = \|a - (x_0 + x_1)\| < \varepsilon/4 \text{ and} \tag{e 15.26}$$
$$x - (p + x_0 + x_1) = a - (x_0 + x_1) \in B_0 \cap A. \tag{e 15.27}$$

In particular, $p + x_0 + x_1 \in \tilde{A}$. Put $z = p + x_0 + x_1$. Then we also view that $z \in \tilde{B}_0$. Put

$$z_0 = zq_0 = (p + x_0)q_0 = q_0(p + x_0) \text{ and } z_1 = z(p - q_0) = (p - q_0)z. \tag{e 15.28}$$

Keep in mind that

$$z_0 + z_1 = z. \tag{e 15.29}$$

Now write $u'_1 = \lambda 1_{\tilde{A}_2} + y$ for some $y \in A_2$ and for some scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$. Let $u_1 = \lambda q + y$. Therefore, by multiplying $\bar{\lambda}$, we may choose so that u_1 has the form $q + y$. Define

$$u = 1 + y = u_1 + (1 - q).$$

Note that $q_0 \le 1_{\tilde{A}_2}$, we have $q_0u_1 = q_0u'_1$. Then

$$z_0u = (p + x_0)q_0u_1 = (q_0 + x_0)e'_{0,1}u_1 = (q_0 + x_0)e'_{0,1}u'_1 = e'_{0,1}(q_0 + x_0)e'_{0,1}u'_1 \tag{e 15.30}$$
$$z_0u = (p + x_0)q_0u_1 = (q_0 + x_0)e'_{0,1}u_1 = e'_{0,1}(q_0 + x_0)e'_{0,1}u_1. \tag{e 15.31}$$

Since $e'_{0,1}e'_{0,0} = 0$, by (e 15.24),

$$(z_0u)(z_0u) = ((q_0 + x_0)e'_{0,1}u'_1)e'_{0,1}z_0u) = 0. \tag{e 15.32}$$

In other words, z_0u is a nilpotent in A^{**}.

On the other hand,

$$z_1 = (p - q_0)z = (p - q_0) + x_1.$$

Put $D_1 = D + \mathbb{C} \cdot (p - q_0)$. Then $D_1 \cong \tilde{D}$. Since D has stable rank one, there is an invertible element $z'_1 \in D_1$ such that

$$\|z_1 - z'_1\| < \varepsilon/4. \tag{e 15.33}$$

Write $z'_1 = \lambda_1 \cdot (p - q_0) + d$ for some scalar $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda_1| = 1$ and $d \in D$. By looking the quotient D_1/D, we may also write

$$z'_1 = (p - q_0) + d + \eta(p - q_0) \text{ and } |\eta| < \varepsilon/4. \tag{e 15.34}$$

Without loss of generality, we may insist that $\eta \neq 0$ (since elements near z'_1 are invertible). We can also write that

$$z'_1 = z_1 + (z'_1 - z_1) = z_1 + d - x_1 + \eta(p - q_0). \tag{e 15.35}$$

Now view $z_0u \in (1 - (p - q_0))A^{**}(1 - (p - q_0))$. Since z_0u is a nilpotent, $z_0u + \eta(1 - (p - q_0))$ is invertible in $(1 - (p - q_0))A^{**}(1 - (p - q_0))$. Let ζ_1 be the inverse of $z_0u + \eta(1 - (p - q_0))$ in $(1 - (p - q_0))A^{**}(1 - (p - q_0))$ and ζ_2 be the inverse of z'_1 in $D_1 = D + \mathbb{C} \cdot (p - q_0)$. Then

$$(z_0u + \eta(1 - (p - q_0)) \oplus z'_1)(\zeta_1 \oplus \zeta_2) = (1 - (p - q_0)) + (p - q_0) = 1. \tag{e 15.36}$$

It follows that

$$z_2 = z_0u + \eta(1-(p-q_0)) + z_1' \in GL(A^{**}). \tag{e 15.37}$$

However, by (e 15.34),

$$\begin{aligned} z_2 &= z_0u + \eta(1-(p-q_0)) + z_1' && \text{(e 15.38)}\\ &= z_0u_1 + \eta(1-(p-q_0)) + z_1 + (d-x_1) + \eta(p-q_0) && \text{(e 15.39)}\\ &= z_0u_1 + z_1 + (d-x_1) + \eta\cdot 1 && \text{(e 15.40)}\\ &= (z_0+z_1)u + (d-x_1) + \eta\cdot 1 && \text{(e 15.41)}\\ &= zu + (d-x_1) + \eta\cdot 1 \in \tilde{A}. && \text{(e 15.42)} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $z_2 \in GL(\tilde{A})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|zu - z_2\| &= \|(z_0u_1 + z_1) - z_2\| && \text{(e 15.43)}\\ &\le \|z_1 - (\eta(1-(p-q_0)) + z_1')\| && \text{(e 15.44)}\\ &\le \|z_1 - z_1'\| + \eta < \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4 = \varepsilon/2. && \text{(e 15.45)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (using also (e 15.26)),

$$\|xu - z_2\| < \varepsilon, \text{ or } \|x - z_2u^*\| < \varepsilon. \tag{e 15.46}$$

Since z_2 is invertible so is z_2u^*. Since $u \in \tilde{A}$, z_2u^* is in $GL(\tilde{A})$.

□

At this point, we would like to introduce the following:

Definition 15.6. Let A be a σ-unital simple C^*-algebra. We say A is a projectionless simple C^*-algebras with generalized tracial rank at most one, and write $gTR(A) \le 1$, if A is $\mathrm{TA}\mathcal{C}_0'$.

Proposition 15.7. *A non-unital separable stably projectionless simple C^*-algebra A has generalized tracial rank at most one, i.e., $gTR(A) \le 1$, if and only if, for some $a \in P(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}$, $\overline{aAa} \in \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. Suppose that A is $\mathrm{TA}\mathcal{C}_0'$. Then, by 12.8, for any $a \in P(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}$, $B := \overline{aAa}$ is $\mathrm{TA}\mathcal{C}_0'$. Now B is quasi-compact, by 12.7, $B \in \mathcal{D}$.

It follows from 12.8 and Brown's stable isomorphism theorem ([4]) that it suffices to show that $gTR(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \le 1$.

Conversely, let $a \in P(A)_+\setminus\{0\}$ and $B = \overline{aAa}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ and $e \in B\otimes\mathcal{K}_+\setminus\{0\}$ with $\|e\| = 1$. It is easy to find $a_0 \in (B \otimes M_N)_+$ with $\|a_0\| = 1$ and $a_0 \lesssim e$ for some $N \ge 1$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F} \subset M_{N_1}$ for some $N_1 \ge N$. Therefore it suffices to show that $B \otimes M_{N_1}$ is $\mathrm{TA}\mathcal{C}_0'$. But this follows from the statement of 12.3.

□

We would like to state the following

Proposition 15.8. *Let A be a separable simple C^*-algebra which is stably projectionless and $gTR(A) \le 1$. Then the following hold.*

(1) A has stable rank one;

(2) Every quasi-trace of A is a trace;

(3) A has strict comparison for positive elements;

(4) If $A = P(A)$, then $A \in \mathcal{D}$;

(5) If $B \subset A$ is a hereditary C^*-subalgebra, then B is stably projectionless and has $gTR(B) \le 1$;

(6) $M_n(A)$ is stably projectionless and has $gTR(M_n(A)) \le 1$ for every integer $n \ge 1$.

16 The range of invariant

In this first part of this research, the isomorphism theorem will be established only for the case that the non-unital simple C^*-algebras with $K_0(A) = K_1(A) = \{0\}$. We would like to revisit some of known results.

Definition 16.1. Let us denote by $\mathcal{M}_0$ for the class of non-unital simple C^*-algebras which are inductive limits of C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_0^0$. We insist that the connecting maps are injective and maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements.

Definition 16.2. Recall W is an inductive limit of C^*-algebras of the form described in (e 10.2) (see [42] and [18]) which has $K_0(W) = K_1(W) = \{0\}$ and with a unique tracial state. In particular, $W \in \mathcal{M}_0$.

The following is known (see [33], [42] and [18]).

Theorem 16.3. *For any metrizable Choquet simplex Δ, there exists a non-unital simple C^*-algebra A which has a continuous scale and $A = \lim_{n\to\infty}(B_n, \imath_n)$, where each B_n is a finite direct sum of W and $\imath_n$ maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements such that*

$$(K_0(A), K_1(A), T(A)) = (\{0\}, \{0\}, \Delta).$$

Moreover, A is also isomorphic to a C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{M}_0$ with continuous scale.

Proof. By 3.10 of [3], there exists a a unital simple AF-algebra D with $T(D) = \Delta$. Define $A = D \otimes W$.

□

Corollary 16.4. *For any metrizable Choquet simplex Δ, there exists a non-unital simple C^*-algebra A in $\mathcal{M}_0$ which has continuous scale such that*

$$(K_0(A), K_1(A), T(A)) = (\{0\}, \{0\}, \Delta).$$

Moreover, $A \in \mathcal{D}_0$. In fact $A \otimes Q \cong A$.

Corollary 16.5 ([42])**.** *Let $\tilde{T}$ be a topological cone with a base T which is a metrizable Choquet simplex and let $\gamma : \tilde{T} \to (0, \infty]$ be a lower semi-continuous function. Then there exist a non-unital simple C^*-algebra A in $\mathcal{M}_0$ such that*

$$(\tilde{T}(A), \gamma) = (\tilde{T}(A), \Sigma_A).$$

Moreover, A is an inductive limit of finite direct sum of W.

Proof. Let B be a unital simple AF-algebra with $T(B) = T$. There is a positive element $a \in B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $d_\tau(a) = \gamma(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in T = T(B)$. Let $B_1 = \overline{a(B \otimes \mathcal{K})a}$. Put $A = B_1 \otimes W$. Since B_1 is a simple AF-algebra and since $M_k(W) \cong W$ for all integer $k \geq 1$, one sees that A is an inductive limit of finite direct sum W. One then check that

$$\tilde{T}(A) = \tilde{T} \text{ and } \Sigma_A = \gamma.$$

□

Proposition 16.6 ([34])**.** *Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_0$. Then every hereditary C^*-subalgebra $B \subset A$ is in $\mathcal{M}_0$.*

Proof. □

17 Non-unital version of some results of Winter

In the following statement, the words "every hereditary C^*-subalgebra B" may be replaced by each B has "non-unital approximate divisible property".

Lemma 17.1. *Let A be a separable simple C^*-algebra of stable rank one which has continuous scale and has strong strict comparison for positive element. Suppose that there is $1 > \eta > 0$ such that every hereditary C^*-subalgebra B has the following property:*

Let $a \in B$ be a strictly positive element with $\|a\| = 1$. Suppose that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ and any $b \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ there are $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi : B \to B$ and $\psi : B \to D$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D \subset B$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi(x), \psi(x))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a\}, \tag{e 17.1}$$
$$D \in \mathcal{C}_0'(\in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'}), \tag{e 17.2}$$
$$\tau(\psi(a)) \ge \eta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B), \tag{e 17.3}$$
$$f_{1/4}(\psi(a)) \text{ is full in } D \text{ and} \tag{e 17.4}$$

$\psi(a)$ is strictly positive in D.

Then $A \in \mathcal{D}$ (or $\mathcal{D}_0$).

Proof. Let $1 > \eta_1 > \eta$. Let $a_0 \in A$ be a strictly positive element with $\|a_0\| = 1$ such that $\tau(a_0) > \max\{3/4, \eta_1\}$.

Let $b_0 \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ with $\|b_0\| = 1$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A^{\mathbf{1}}$. Choose some $g \in C_0((0,1])$ and let $a_1 = g(a_0)$ such that $a_1 \ge a_0$ and

$$\|a_1 x a_1 - x\| < \varepsilon/64 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 17.5}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_1$ be a finite subset containing $\mathcal{F} \cup \{a_i, f_{1/4}(a_i), i = 0, 1\}$ and let $\delta_1 > 0$ with $\delta_1 < \varepsilon/16$.

By the assumption, there are $\mathcal{F}_1$-δ_1-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi_1 : A \to A$ and $\psi_1 : A \to D_1$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D_1 \subset B$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_1(x), \psi_1(x))\| < \delta_1/32 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}_1, \tag{e 17.6}$$
$$D_1 \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'}(\in \mathcal{C}_0'), \tag{e 17.7}$$
$$\tau(\psi_1(a_0)) \ge \eta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B), \tag{e 17.8}$$
$$f_{1/4}(\psi_1(a_0)) \text{ is full in } D_1 \text{ and} \tag{e 17.9}$$

$\psi(a_0)$ is strictly positive in D_1.

By (e 17.8),

$$\|\psi_1\| \ge \eta.$$

It follows from the last part of the proof of 12.5, we may also assume that

$$\|\psi_1(x)\| \ge (1 - \delta_1/4)\|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Put $\mathcal{F}_2' = \{\varphi_1(a) : a \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$. By choosing a sufficiently small δ_1, we may assume that

$$\|\varphi_1(a_1)\varphi_1(x)\varphi_1(a_1) - \varphi_1(x)\| < \varepsilon/64 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a_0\}. \tag{e 17.10}$$

Therefore, for some $\sigma > 0$,

$$\|f_\sigma(\varphi_1(a_1))\varphi_1(x)f_\sigma(\varphi_1(a_1)) - \varphi_1(x)\| < \varepsilon/32 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a_0\}. \tag{e 17.11}$$

By 11.3, there exists $0 \le e \le 1$ such that

$$f_\sigma(\varphi_1(a_1)) \le e \le f_{\sigma'}(\varphi_1(a_1)) \tag{e 17.12}$$

and $d_\tau(e)$ is continuous on $\overline{T(A)}^w$, where $0 < \sigma' < \sigma/2$. Define $\varphi_1' : A \to A$ by

$$\varphi_1'(a) = e^{1/2}\varphi_1(a)e^{1/2} \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 17.13}$$

We also have, by (e 17.12),

$$e^{1/2}((\varphi_1(a_1) - \sigma'/2)_+)e^{1/2} \le e^{1/2}\varphi_1(a_1)e^{1/2} \le e. \tag{e 17.14}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} e &= e^{1/2}f_{\sigma'/2}(\varphi_1(a_1))e^{1/2} \le e^{1/2}((2/\sigma')(\varphi_1(a_1) - \sigma'/2)_+)e^{1/2} && \text{(e 17.15)} \\ &= (2/\sigma')(e^{1/2}((\varphi_1(a_1) - \sigma'/2)_+)e^{1/2}). && \text{(e 17.16)} \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two inequalities, we conclude that $d_\tau(\varphi_1'(a_1)) = d_\tau(e)$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. In particular, $\varphi_1'(a_1)$ is continuous on $T(A)$. Note that, by the choice of $\mathcal{F}_1$ and η,

$$\|\varphi_1'(a_1) - \varphi_1(a)\| < \varepsilon/16 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a_0\}. \tag{e 17.17}$$

Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that, in addition to (e 17.6) to (e 17.9), $\overline{\varphi_1(a_1)A\varphi_1(a_1)}$ has continuous scale and let $B_1 = \overline{\varphi_1(a_1)A\varphi_1(a_1)}$. So we can apply these conditions to B_1 and $\varphi_1(\mathcal{F})$ (using $\varepsilon/32^2$). Therefore the process continues. Let $k \ge 1$ such that

$$(1-\eta)^k < \inf\{d_\tau(b_0) : \tau \in T(A)\}.$$

Then we can stop at the stage k. That way, we obtain hereditary C^*-subalgebras $B_1, B_2, ..., B_k$, and C^*-subalgebras $D_1, D_2, ..., D_k$ such that $B_{i+1} \subset B_i$, $B_i \perp D_i$, $D_{i+1} \subset B_i$, $D_i \in \mathcal{C}_0'$ (or $\mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$), $\mathcal{F}_i$-δ_i-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi_{i+1} : B_i \to B_{i+1}$ and $\varphi_{i+1} : B_i \to D_{i+1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_{i+1}(x), \psi_{i+1}(x))\| &< \varepsilon/32^{i+1} \text{ for all } x \in \varphi_i(\mathcal{F}), && \text{(e 17.18)} \\ \tau(\psi_{i+1}(a_0)) &\ge \eta \text{ for all } \tau \in T(B_i), && \text{(e 17.19)} \end{aligned}$$

$f_{1/4}(\psi_{i+1}(a_0))$ is full in D_{i+1} and B_{i+1} has continuous scale, $i = 1, 2, ..., k-1$. Now $D = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k D_i$ and let $\Psi : A \to D$ be defined by

$$\Psi(a) = \mathrm{diag}(\psi_1(a), \psi_2(a), ..., \psi_k(a)) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

We have, by (e 17.18),

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \mathrm{diag}(\Phi(x), \Psi(x))\| &< \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}, && \text{(e 17.20)} \\ \|\Psi(x)\| &\ge (1-\varepsilon)\|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}, && \text{(e 17.21)} \end{aligned}$$

$f_{1/4}(\Psi(a_0))$ is full in D and $\Psi(a_0)$ is a strictly positive element of D, where $\Phi : A \to B_k$ is defined by $\Phi = \varphi_k \circ \varphi_{k-1} \circ \cdots \varphi_1$.

We compute that, if $b_k \in B_k$ is a strictly positive element for B_k with $\|b_k\| = 1$, then

$$d_\tau(b_k) \le (1-\eta)^k \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A).$$

This implies that

$$b_k \lesssim b_0,$$

since A is assumed to have strong strict comparison for positive elements.

Note that A has continuous scale, in particular, it is quasi-compact. It follows from the above and 12.7 that $A \in \mathcal{D}$ (or in $\mathcal{D}_0$). □

The following is a non-unital variation of a result of W. Winter.

Proposition 17.2. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra with strong strict comparison for positive elements. Let F be a finite dimensional C^*-algebra and let $\varphi : F \to A$ and $\varphi_i : F \to A$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) be order zero completely positive contractive linear maps such that, for each $x \in F_+$ and $f \in C_0((0,1])_+$,*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \sup\{|\tau(f(\varphi(x))) - \tau(f(\varphi_i(x))| : \tau \in T(A)\} = 0 \ \textit{and} \tag{e 17.22}$$

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \|f(\varphi_i(x))\| \le \|f(\varphi(x))\|. \tag{e 17.23}$$

Then there are $s_i \in (M_4 \otimes A)^{\mathbf{1}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that, for all $y \in F_+$,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|s_i(1_4 \otimes \varphi(y)) - (e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i(y))s_i\| = 0 \ \textit{and} \tag{e 17.24}$$

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|(e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i(y))s_i s_i^* - (e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i(y))\| = 0. \tag{e 17.25}$$

Proof. Since φ and φ_i are order zero maps, it is easy to see that we may reduce the general case to the case that $F = M_n$ for some integer $n \ge 1$.

We first consider the case that $F = \mathbb{C}$. Write $h = \varphi(1_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $h_i = \varphi_i(1_{\mathbb{C}})$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then exactly the same proof as in the proof of 2.1 of [45], without changing a single symbol, provides the elements s_i such that (e 17.24) and (e 17.25) hold. It should be noted that, for any $x \in A$, $1_4 \otimes x \in M_4(A)$ and $e_{11} \otimes x \in M_4(A)$.

The general case can also be reduced to this case in the non-unital case. In fact, since φ and φ_i are order zero maps, it is easy to see that we may reduce the general case to the case that $F = M_n$ for some integer $n \ge 1$.

By 1.2 of [43], there are homomorphisms $\psi, \psi_i : C_0((0,1], F) \to A$ such that $\varphi(x) = \psi(\iota \otimes x)$ and $\varphi_i(x) = \psi_i(\iota \otimes x)$ for all $x \in F$, where $\iota(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.

Let us use $\{\eta_{kj}\}$ for the matrix unit for M_n. Consider $\varphi^{(1)} = \varphi|_{\eta_{11}M_n\eta_{11}}$ and $\varphi_i^{(1)} = \varphi_i|_{\eta_{11}M_n\eta_{11}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let s_i be the sequence satisfies (e 17.24) and (e 17.25) for $F = \eta_{11}M_n\eta_{11}$. We may assume that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|s_i(1_4 \otimes \varphi(y)) - (e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i(y))s_i\| < 1/n^2 2^{i+1} \ \text{and} \tag{e 17.26}$$

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \|(e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i(y))s_i s_i^* - (e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i(y))\| < 1/n^2 2^{i+1} \tag{e 17.27}$$

for all $y \in \eta_{11}M_n\eta_{11}$ with $\|y\| \le 1$. For each i, there is $g_i \in C_0((0,1])$ with $0 \le g_i \le 1$ such that

$$\|\iota g_i^4 - \iota\| < 1/n^2 2^{i+2}. \tag{e 17.28}$$

Note that, for any $g \in C_0((0,1])$,

$$1_4 \otimes \psi(g \otimes \eta_{11}) = 1_4 \otimes \psi(g(\iota \otimes \eta_{11})) = g(1_4 \otimes \varphi(\eta_{11})) \ \text{and} \tag{e 17.29}$$

$$e_{11} \otimes \psi_i(g \otimes \eta_{11}) = e_{11} \otimes g(\psi_i(\iota \otimes \eta_{11})) = g(e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i(\eta_{11}). \tag{e 17.30}$$

For each i, $k(i) \ge i$ such that

$$\|s_{k(i)}(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i^2 \otimes \eta_{11}))s_{k(i)}^* - (e_{11} \otimes \psi_{k(i)}(g_i^2 \otimes \eta_{11})\| < 1/n 2^{i+1} \tag{e 17.31}$$

To simplify the notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that $k(i) = i$. Define

$$S_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})^* s_i(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})),\ i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $S_i \in M_4(A)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover,

$$S_iS_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})^* s_i(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})))(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j}))^* s_i^* \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j}) \quad \text{(e 17.32)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})^* s_i s_i^* \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j}) \quad \text{(e 17.33)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})^* \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j}) \quad \text{(e 17.34)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i^2 \otimes \eta_{jj}) \leq \psi_i(g_i^2 \otimes 1_{M_n}) \quad \text{(e 17.35)}$$

Therefore $S_i \in M_4(A)^{\mathbf{1}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x = (a_{kj})_{n\times n} \in M_n$ such that $|a_{kj}| \leq 1$. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_i(1_4 \otimes \varphi((a_{kj}))) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})^* s_i(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j}))(1_4 \otimes \psi((\iota \otimes (a_{kj}))) \quad \text{(e 17.36)} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})^* s_i(\sum_{k=1}^{n}(1_4 \otimes \psi(\iota \otimes a_{jk} \otimes \eta_{11})\psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1k})) \\
&\approx_{1/2^{i+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})^* \sum_{k=1}^{n}(e_{11} \otimes \psi_i(\iota \otimes a_{jk} \cdot \eta_{11}) s_i(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1k})) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(e_{11} \otimes \psi_i(\iota \otimes a_{jk} \otimes \eta_{jk})\psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{k1}) s_i(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1k}))) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(e_{11} \otimes \psi_i(\iota \otimes (\sum_{j=1}^{n}(a_{jk} \cdot \eta_{jk})))\psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{k1}) s_i(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1k}))) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(e_{11} \otimes \psi_i(\iota \otimes (a_{st})_{n\times n})\psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{k1}) s_i(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1k}))) \\
&= e_{11} \otimes \psi_i(\iota \otimes (a_{st})_{n\times n}))(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{k1}) s_i(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1k}))) \\
&= e_{11} \otimes \varphi((a_{kj})_{n\times n}) S_i.
\end{aligned}$$

By (e 17.31) and (e 17.28),

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i((a_{ij})) S_i S_i^* &= \quad \text{(e 17.37)} \\
e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i((a_{ij})) &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})^* s_i(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})))(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j}))^* s_i^*(\psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j}) \\
&= e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i((a_{ij})) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})^* s_i(1_4 \otimes \psi(g_i^2 \otimes \eta_{11})))s_i^*(\psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j}) \\
&\approx_{1/2^{i+1}} e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i((a_{ij})) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})^* \psi_i(g_i^2 \otimes \eta_{11})))(\psi_i(g_i \otimes \eta_{1j})) \\
&= e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i((a_{ij}))(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_i(g_i^4 \otimes \eta_{jj})) \\
&\approx_{1/2^{i+1}} e_{11} \otimes \varphi_i((a_{ij})).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following is a non-unital variation of Proposition 3.2 of [44].

Proposition 17.3 ([44]). *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $\dim_{nuc}A \le m < \infty$ with strictly positive element $a \in A$ with $0 \le a \le 1$. Suppose that*

$$(F_n, F_n^{(1)}, F_n^{(2)}, ..., F_n^{(m)}, \psi_n, \psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

is a system, where $F_n, F_n^{(i)}$ are finite dimensional C^*-algebras with $F_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m F_n^{(i)}$,

$$\psi_n : A \to F_n, \ \ \varphi_n \circ \psi_n : A \to A$$

are completely positive contractive linear maps,

$$\varphi_n : F_n \to A$$

are completely positive maps, $\varphi_n^{(i)} = \varphi_n|_{F_n^{(i)}}$ are order zero completely positive contractive linear maps, such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n \circ \psi_n(b) - b\| = 0 \ \textit{for all} \ a \in A. \tag{e 17.38}$$

Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $b \in \overline{f_\varepsilon(a)Af_\varepsilon(a)}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|[\varphi_n \circ \psi_n(b),\ \varphi_n^{(i)} \circ \psi_n^{(i)}(g(a))]\| = 0 \ \textit{and} \tag{e 17.39}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|b\varphi_n^{(i)} \circ \psi_n^{(i)}(g(a)) - \varphi_n^{(i)} \circ \psi_n^{(i)}(b)\| = 0, \tag{e 17.40}$$

where $\psi_n^{(i)} : A \to F_n^{(i)}$ is the composition of ψ_n with the projection map on the i-th summand $F_n^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and where $g \in C_0((0, 1])$ is a function such that $0 \le g(t) \le 1$ and $g(t) = 1$ for all $t \ge \varepsilon/2$.

Proof. Fix $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ and g as described.

Let $B_n = \overline{\psi_n(g(a))F_n\psi_n(g(a))}$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Note that B_n are unital finite dimensional C^*-algebras. For each n, define

$$\hat{\psi}_n(\cdot) = \psi_n(g(a))^{-1/2}\psi_n(\cdot)\psi_n(g(a))^{-1/2},$$

where the inverse is taken in B_n. Also, for each n, define

$$\hat{\varphi}_n(\cdot) = \varphi_n(\psi_n(g(a))^{1/2} \cdot \psi_n(g(a))^{1/2}).$$

Then

$$\hat{\varphi}_n \circ \hat{\psi}_n|_C = \varphi_n \circ \psi_n|_C,$$

where $C = \overline{f_\varepsilon(a)Af_\varepsilon(a)}$.

From Lemma 3.6 of [20], for $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $x \in B_n$ and $b \in A$,

$$\|\hat{\varphi}_n(x\hat{\psi}_n(b)) - \hat{\varphi}(x)\hat{\varphi} \circ \hat{\psi}(b)\| < 3\|x\| \max\{\|\hat{\varphi}_n\hat{\psi}_n(b) - b\|, \|\hat{\varphi}_n\hat{\psi}_n(b^2) - b^2\|\}. \tag{e 17.41}$$

Write $B_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \psi_n^{(i)}(g(a))F_n^{(i)}\psi_n^{(i)}(g(a))$ and choose $x = q_n^{(i)}$ which is the identity of $\psi_n^{(i)}(g(a))F_n^{(i)}\psi_n^{(i)}(g(a))$. Then, by (e 17.41), one obtains

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n^{(i)} \circ \psi_n^{(i)}(b) - \varphi_n^{(i)} \circ \psi_n^{(i)}(g(a))(\varphi_n \circ \psi_n(b))\| = 0$$

for all $b \in C$. This gives (e 17.40). From this, (e 17.39) also follows.

□

The following is a non-unital version of 2.2 of [45]. The proof is based on that of 2.2 of [45] with some subtle modification to fit the non-unital case with continuous scale.

Theorem 17.4. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $K_0(A) = \ker\rho_A$, with $\dim_{nuc}A = m < \infty$ which has continuous scale.*

Suppose that for every non-zero hereditary C^*-subalgebra B of A the following holds.

Fix a strictly positive element $a_0 \in B_+$ with $0 \le a_0 \le 1$.

Let $C \in \mathcal{M}_0$ be a non-unital simple C^*-algebra with $K_0(C) = \{0\}$, $K_1(A) = \{0\}$, quasi-compact, and $T(C) = T(B)$. Suppose that there is a sequence of completely positive contractive linear maps $\sigma_n : B \to C$ and homomorphisms $\rho_n : C \to B$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\sigma_n(ab) - \sigma_n(a)\sigma_n(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A, \tag{e 17.42}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup\{\tau(\rho_n \circ \sigma_n(a)) - \tau(a)\| : \tau \in T(A)\} = 0 \text{ and} \tag{e 17.43}$$

$\sigma_n(a_0)$ is a strictly positive in C for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset B$ and any integer $k_0 \ge 1$, there are $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi : B \to M_{4(m+1)}(B)$ and $\psi : B \to D \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$ for some C^*-subalgebra $D \subset M_{4(m+1)}(B)$ such that

$$\|1_{4(m+1)} \otimes x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi(x), \overbrace{\psi(x), \psi(x), ..., \psi(x)}^{k_0})\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{a\}, \tag{e 17.44}$$

$$D \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'} \tag{e 17.45}$$

$$\tau(\psi(a_0)) \ge 1/12(n+1)(m+1) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(M_{4(m+1)}(B)), \tag{e 17.46}$$

$$\overline{\varphi(a)B\varphi(a)} \text{ has continuous scale,} \tag{e 17.47}$$

$$\|\psi\| = 1, \tag{e 17.48}$$

$$f_{1/4}(\psi(a)) \text{ is full in } D \text{ and} \tag{e 17.49}$$

$\psi(a_0)$ is strictly positive in D.

Proof. This is a modification of the proof of 2.2 of [45].

To simplify notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F} \subset f_\delta(a_0)Bf_\delta(a_0)$ for some $0 < \delta < 1/4$. We may assume, by 8.6, that

$$\tau(f_\delta(a_0)) \ge 1 - 1/64(n+1)(m+1). \tag{e 17.50}$$

Let $F_j^{(0)}, F_j^{(1)}, ..., F_j^{(m)}$ be finite dimensional C^*-subalgebras, $F_j = F_j^{(0)} \oplus \cdots F_j^{(m)}$, $\psi_j : A \to F_j$ be a sequence of completely positive contractive linear maps, $\varphi_j : F_j \to B$ be a sequence of completely positive linear maps such that $\varphi_j \circ \psi_j$ are completely positive contractive linear maps, $\varphi_j^{(l)} = \varphi_j|_{F_j^{(l)}}$ ($l \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$) are order zero maps, and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n \circ \psi_n(b) - b\| = 0 \text{ for all } b \in B.$$

Denote by $\psi_j^{(l)}$ be the composition of ψ_j with the projection from F_j onto $F_j^{(l)}$. By replacing $F_j^{(l)}$ by the C^*-subalgebra generated by $\psi_j^{(l)}$, we may $\psi_j^{(l)}(a_0)$ is a strictly positive element which is invertible in $F_j^{(l)}$.

By the weak stability of order zero maps, there are $\tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{(l)} : F_n^{(l)} \to C$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{(l)}(x) - \sigma_n \circ \varphi_j^{(l)}(x)\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in F_j^{(l)}.$$

For each $x \in (F_j^{(l)})_+$ and $f \in C_0((0,1])_+$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|f(\tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{(l)}(x)) - \sigma_n(f(\varphi_j^{(l)}(x)))\| = 0,$$

hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\tau\in T(A)} \{|\tau(f(\rho_n \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{(l)}(x))) - \tau(f(\varphi_j^{(l)}(x)))|\} = 0 \text{ and} \tag{e 17.51}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho_n(f(\tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{(l)}(x))) - \rho_n \circ \sigma_n(f(\varphi_j^{(l)}(x)))\| = 0. \tag{e 17.52}$$

Since ρ_n are injective homomorphisms, σ_n are eventually nonzero and approximately multiplicative, we see that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|\rho_n \circ \sigma_n(f(\varphi_j^{(l)}(x)))\| = \|f(\varphi_j^{(l)}(x))\|,$$

whence

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|f(\rho_n \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{(l)}(x))\| \le \|f(\varphi_j^{(l)}(x))\|$$

for $x \in (F_j^{(l)})_+$ and $f \in C_0((0,1])_+$.

By 17.2, there are

$$s_{j,n}^{(l)} \in M_4(A)^{\mathbf{1}},\ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|s_{j,n}^{(l)}(1_4 \otimes \varphi_j^{(l)}(x)) - (e_{11} \otimes \rho_n \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{(l)})s_{j,n}^{(l)}\| = 0 \text{ and} \tag{e 17.53}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(e_{11} \otimes \rho_n \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{(l)}(x))s_{j,n}^{(l)}(s_{j,n}^{(l)})^* - e_{11} \otimes \rho_n \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{(l)}(x)\| = 0 \tag{e 17.54}$$

for each $x \in F_j^{(l)}$. Put $B_\infty = \prod B/\oplus B$. We obtain contractions

$$s_j^{(l)} \in (M_4 \otimes B_\infty) \cong M_4 \otimes B_\infty$$

with

$$s_j^{(l)}(1_4 \otimes \imath \circ \varphi_j^{(l)}(x)) = (e_{11} \otimes \bar{\rho} \circ \bar{\sigma} \circ \varphi_j^{(l)}(x))s_j^{(l)}$$

'and

$$(e_{11} \otimes \bar{\rho} \circ \bar{\sigma} \circ \varphi_j^{(l)}(x))s_j^{(l)}(s_j^{(l)})^* = e_{11} \otimes \bar{\rho} \circ \bar{\sigma} \circ \varphi_j^{(l)}(x)),$$

where

$$\bar{\sigma} : B \to C_\infty = \prod C/\oplus C$$

is the homomorphism induced by σ_n,

$$\bar{\rho} : C_\infty = \prod C/\oplus C \to B_\infty$$

is the homomorphism induced by ρ_n and $\imath : B \to B_\infty$ is the canonical embedding. Let $\bar{\imath} : B_\infty \to (B_\infty)_\infty$ be the map induced by $\imath$, i.e., $\{(a_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\} \overset{\bar{\imath}}{\mapsto} \{(\imath(a_m))_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\}$. Let

$$\bar{\gamma} : A_\infty \to (A_\infty)_\infty$$

be induced by $\bar{\rho}\bar{\sigma}$,

$$\bar{\varphi}^{(l)} : \prod_j F_j^{(l)}/\oplus_j F_j^{(l)} \to B_\infty$$

and

$$\bar{\psi}^{(l)} : A \to \prod_j F_j^{(l)} / \oplus_j F_j^{(l)}$$

be the order zero maps induced by $\varphi_j^{(l)}$ and $\psi_j^{(l)}$, respectively.

Define

$$\bar{s}^{(l)} = \{(s_j^{(l)})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\} \in (M_4 \otimes B_\infty)_\infty,$$

then

$$\bar{s}^{(l)}(1_4 \otimes \bar{\imath} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(b)) = (e_{11} \otimes \bar{\gamma} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(b))\bar{s}^{(l)} \text{ and} \tag{e 17.55}$$

$$(e_{11} \otimes \bar{\gamma} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(b))\bar{s}^{(l)}(\bar{s}^{(l)})^* = e_{11} \otimes \bar{\gamma} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(b). \tag{e 17.56}$$

for all $b \in B$.

Note that, for each $b \in \overline{f_\delta(a_0)Bf_\delta(a_0)}$ and each $g \in C_0((0,1])$ with $0 \le g \le 1$, $g(t) = 1$ for all $t \ge \delta/2$, by 17.3,

$$\bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g(a))\imath(b) = \bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(b). \tag{e 17.57}$$

As a consequence,

$$(\bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g(a)))^{1/2}\imath(b) \in C^*(\bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(b) : b \in B)$$

which implies that, for each $b \in \overline{f_\delta(a_0)Bf_\delta(a_0)}$

$$\bar{s}^{(l)}(1_4 \otimes (\bar{\imath} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g(a))^{1/2})(1_4 \otimes \bar{\imath} \circ \imath(b)) \tag{e 17.58}$$

$$= \bar{s}^{(l)}(1_4 \otimes (\bar{\imath}((\bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g(a))^{1/2})\imath(b))) \tag{e 17.59}$$

$$= (e_{11} \otimes (\bar{\gamma}(\imath(b))((\bar{\gamma} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g(a)))^{1/2}))\bar{s}^{(l)} \tag{e 17.60}$$

$$= (e_{11} \otimes \bar{\gamma} \circ \imath(b))(e_{11} \otimes \bar{\gamma} \circ (\bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g(a)))^{1/2})\bar{s}^{(l)}. \tag{e 17.61}$$

We now fix g_i such that $g_i(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in (0,1]$ and $g_i(t) = 1$ for all $t \ge \delta/8(i+1)$, $i = 0, 1$. In particular $g(a_0)$ is a strictly positive element. We also require that $g_1 g_0 = g_0$. Moreover, by (e 17.50),

$$\tau(g_i(a_0)) \ge (1 - 1/64(n+1)(m+1)) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(A), \ \ i = 0, 1. \tag{e 17.62}$$

Set, for $i = 0, 1$,

$$\bar{v}_i = \sum_{l=0}^{m} e_{1l} \otimes (e_{11} \otimes (\bar{\gamma} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g_i(a)))^{1/2})\bar{s}^{(l)} \tag{e 17.63}$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{m} e_{1l} \otimes (\bar{s}^{(l)}(1_4 \otimes (\bar{\imath} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g_i(a_0)))^{1/2}) \in M_{m+1}(M_4 \otimes (B_\infty)_\infty. \tag{e 17.64}$$

Then (also using (e 17.57))

$$\bar{v}_i\bar{v}_i^* = \sum_{l=0}^{m} e_{11} \otimes e_{11} \otimes \bar{\gamma} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{(l)} \circ \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g_i(a_0)) \tag{e 17.65}$$

$$= e_{11} \otimes e_{11} \otimes \bar{\gamma} \circ \bar{\varphi} \circ \bar{\psi}(g_i(a_0)) \tag{e 17.66}$$

$$= e_{11} \otimes e_{11} \otimes \bar{\gamma}(\imath(g_i(a_0))), \ \ i = 0, 1. \tag{e 17.67}$$

Moreover, for $b \in \overline{f_\delta(a_0)Bf_\delta(a_0)}$, by (e 17.56), (e 17.55) and (e 17.63),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{v}_i(1_{m+1}\otimes 1_4\otimes \bar{\imath}(\imath(b))) && \text{(e 17.68)}\\
&= (\sum_{l=0}^{m} e_{1l}\otimes(\bar{s}^{(l)}(1_4\otimes(\bar{\imath}\circ\bar{\varphi}^{(l)}\circ\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g_i(a)))^{1/2}))(1_{m+1}\otimes 1_4\otimes\bar{\imath}(\imath(b))) && \text{(e 17.69)}\\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{m} e_{1l}\otimes(\bar{s}^{(l)}(1_4\otimes(\bar{\imath}\circ\bar{\varphi}^{(l)}\circ\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(b)))) && \text{(e 17.70)}\\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{m} e_{1l}\otimes(e_{11}\otimes\bar{\gamma}\circ\bar{\varphi}^{(l)}\circ\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(b))\bar{s}^{(l)} && \text{(e 17.71)}\\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{m} e_{1l}\otimes(e_{11}\otimes\bar{\gamma}\circ\imath(b))(e_{11}\otimes\bar{\gamma}\circ\bar{\varphi}^{(l)}\circ\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(b))\bar{s}^{(l)} && \text{(e 17.72)}\\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{m} e_{1l}\otimes(e_{11}\otimes\bar{\gamma}(\imath(b)))\bar{v}_i. && \text{(e 17.73)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $b \in \overline{f_\delta(a_0)Bf_\delta(a_0)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{v}_i^*\bar{v}_i(1_{m+1}\otimes 1_4\otimes\bar{\imath}(b)) &= \bar{v}^*(e_{11}\otimes\bar{\gamma}\circ\imath(b))\bar{v} && \text{(e 17.74)}\\
&= (1_{m+1}\otimes 1_4\otimes\bar{\imath}(b))\bar{v}_i^*\bar{v}_i. && \text{(e 17.75)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now fix a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}\cup\{a\}$ and fix $0<\eta<\varepsilon$ such that

$$\|f_\delta(\Phi_0(a_0)\Phi(b)f_\delta(\Phi_0(a_0))-\Phi(b)\| < \varepsilon/64 \text{ for all } b\in\mathcal{F}, \qquad \text{(e 17.76)}$$

provided that Φ is a $\mathcal{F}_1$-η-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map. For $x\in\mathcal{F}_1$, there are $j,n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $v_i\in M_{4(m+1)}(B)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_iv_i^* = e_{11}\otimes e_{11}\otimes\rho_n(\sigma_n(g_i(a_0))) && \text{(e 17.77)}\\
&\tau(e_{11}\otimes e_{11}\otimes\rho_n(\sigma_n(f_\delta(a_0)))) \ge \frac{1-1/64(n+1)(m+1)}{4(m+1)}\\
&\qquad\qquad \text{for all } \tau\in T(M_{4(m+1)}(B)), && \text{(e 17.78)}\\
&\|[v_i^*v_i,\ 1_{m+1}\otimes 1_4\otimes b]\| < \eta/16 \text{ for all } b\in\mathcal{F}_1 && \text{(e 17.79)}\\
&\|v_i^*v_i(1_{m+1}\otimes 1_4\otimes b) - v_i^*(e_{11}\otimes e_{11}\otimes\rho_n\circ\sigma_n(b))v_i\| < \eta/16 && \text{(e 17.80)}\\
&\qquad\qquad \text{for all } b\in\mathcal{F}_1 \text{ and} && \text{(e 17.81)}\\
&\|v_1^*(e_{11}\otimes e_{11}\otimes\rho_n\circ\sigma_n(b))v_1 - v_0^*(e_{11}\otimes e_{11}\otimes\rho_n\circ\sigma_n(b))v_0\| < \eta/16 && \text{(e 17.82)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $b\in\mathcal{F}_1$. Then

$$\kappa:\ b\to v_0^*(e_{11}\otimes e_{11}\otimes\rho_n(b))v_0$$

is a completely positive contractive linear map on C and is a monomorphism on $\overline{f_\delta(c)Cf_\delta(c)}$. Since $C\in\mathcal{M}_0$, $C' := \overline{f_\delta(c)Cf_\delta(c)}\in\mathcal{M}_0$. One can write $C' = \lim_{k\to\infty}(D_k,\iota_k)$, where $D_k\in\mathcal{C}_0^0$ and where each ι_k is injective and maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements. Since $C'\otimes Q\cong C'$, we may assume that $C_{2k+1}=C_{2k}\otimes M_{k!}$ and $\iota_{2k+1}: C_{2k}\to C_{2k+1}$ is defined by $\iota_{2k+1}(x)=x\otimes 1_{k!}$, $k=1,2,....$ Define $B_k=\kappa(\iota_{k,\infty}(D_k)$. Since D_k is amenable, there is, for each k, a completely positive contractive linear map $s_k: C'\to\iota_{k,\infty}(D_k)$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\|s_k(c)-c\| = 0 \text{ for all } c\in C. \qquad \text{(e 17.83)}$$

Now fix $k_0 \ge 1$ and assume $k > k_0$. Define, $L_0 : B \to M_{4(m+1)}(B)$ by

$$L_0(b) = (1 - v_1^* v_1)(1_{m+1} \otimes 1_4 \otimes b)(1 - v_1^* v_1) \text{ for all } b \in A,$$

define $L' : A \to B_{2k} \to B_{2k+1} = B_{2k} \otimes M_{k!}$ by

$$L'(b) = \iota_{2k+1}(s_{2k}(\kappa(e_{11} \otimes e_{11} \otimes \rho_n \circ \sigma_n(b))) \text{ for all } b \in A.$$

Note that, for any $b \in A$,

$$L_0(b)L'(b) = L'(b)L_0(b) = 0. \tag{e 17.84}$$

By 11.3, there exists $0 \le e \le 1$ such that

$$f_{\delta/4}(L_0(a_0)) \le e \le f_{\delta_1}(L_0(a_0)) \tag{e 17.85}$$

for some $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2$ and $d_\tau(e)$ is continuous on $\overline{T(B)}^w$. Define $L_0' : B \to B$ by

$$L_0'(b) = e^{1/2} L_0(b) e^{1/2} \text{ for all } b \in B. \tag{e 17.86}$$

We also have, by (e 17.85) (see the lines from (e 17.14) to (e 17.15)),

$$\langle L_0'(a_0) \rangle = \langle e^{1/2} L_0(a_0) e^{1/2} \rangle = \langle e \rangle. \tag{e 17.87}$$

So $d_\tau(L_0'(a_0)) = d_\tau(e)$ is continuous on $\overline{T(B)}^w$. Note that, by the choice of $\mathcal{F}_1$ and η,

$$\|L_0(b) - L_0'(b)\| < \varepsilon/64 \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 17.88}$$

Moreover,

$$L_0'(b)L'(b) = L'(b)L_0'(b) = 0 \text{ for all } b \in B. \tag{e 17.89}$$

By choosing a sufficiently large k, we may assume, by (e 17.83) and (e 17.81),

$$\|v_1^* v_1(1_{m+1} \otimes 1_4 \otimes b) - L'(b)\| < \varepsilon/4 \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 17.90}$$

Since C' is simple, we may also assume that $L'(f_{1/2}(a_0))$ is full in B_k. We estimate that, by (e 17.79) and by (e 17.88),

$$\|1_{m+1} \otimes 1_4 \otimes b - \mathrm{diag}(L_0(b), L'(b))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 17.91}$$

By (e 17.77),

$$\tau(L'(a_0)) \ge 1/12(m+1) \text{ for all } \tau \in T(M_{4(m+1)}(B)). \tag{e 17.92}$$

□

Combing the above with 17.1 and 9.4, we obtain the following:

Corollary 17.5. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $K_0(A) = \ker\rho_A$, with stable rank one and with $\dim_{nuc} A = m < \infty$ which has continuous scale. Fix a strictly positive element $a \in A_+$ with $0 \le a \le 1$.*

Let $C \in \mathcal{M}_0$ be a non-unital simple C^*-algebra which is quasi-compact with $K_0(C) = \{0\}$, $K_1(A) = \{0\}$ and $T(C) = T(A)$. Suppose that there is a sequence of completely positive contractive linear maps $\sigma_n : A \to C$ and homomorphisms $\rho_n : C \to A$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\sigma_n(ab) - \sigma_n(a)\sigma_n(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A, \tag{e 17.93}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup\{|\tau(\rho_n \circ \sigma_n(a)) - \tau(a)| : \tau \in T(A)\} = 0 \text{ and} \tag{e 17.94}$$

$\sigma_n(a)$ is a strictly positive in C for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $A \otimes Q \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

Theorem 17.6. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $K_0(A) = \ker\rho_A$, with stable rank one and with $\dim_{nuc}A = m < \infty$ which has continuous scale.*

Let $C \in \mathcal{M}_0$ be a non-unital simple C^*-algebra which is quasi-compact with $K_0(C) = \{0\}$, $K_1(A) = \{0\}$ and $\gamma : T(C) \to T(A)$ be an affine homeomorphism. Suppose that there is a sequence of completely positive contractive linear maps $\sigma_n : A \to C$ and homomorphisms $\rho_n : C \to A$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\sigma_n(ab) - \sigma_n(a)\sigma_n(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A \text{ and} \tag{e 17.95}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup\{|\tau(\rho_n \circ \sigma_n(a)) - \gamma(\tau)(a)| : \tau \in T(A)\} = 0. \text{ and} \tag{e 17.96}$$

$\sigma_n(a)$ is a strictly positive in C for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $A \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

Proof. We first notice that the existence of ρ_n and the condition (e 17.94) also holds by [34].

Let $a \in A$ with $0 \le a \le 1$ be a strictly positive element.

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $b_0 \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$.

Since A has finite nuclear dimension, by [40], A is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable and therefore, by 14.4, A is $\mathcal{Z}^o$-stable.

Fix any hereditary C^*-subalgebra B of A with continuous scale.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset B$, any $b \in B_+ \setminus \{0\}$, and any integer $n \ge 1$, there is σ-unital hereditary C^*-subalgebra A_0 of A such that

$$\mathrm{dist}(x, B_{1,d}) < \varepsilon/4 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F},$$

where $B_{1,d} \subset B_1 \subset B$ and

$$B_1 = M_{n+1}(A_0), \tag{e 17.97}$$

$$B_{1,d} = \{(\overbrace{x_1, x_1, ..., x_1}^{4(m+1)}) : x_1 \in A_0\}. \tag{e 17.98}$$

and strictly positive elements of $B_{1,d}$ are strictly positive elements of B. Moreover, A_0 has continuous scale.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F} \subset B$. Write

$$x = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{x_1, x_1, ..., x_1}^{4(m+1)}).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{x_1 : x \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Note that we may write $\mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{x_1, x_1, ..., x_1}^{4(m+1)}) = x_1 \otimes 1_{4(m+1)}$. Then $\dim_{nuc}A_1 = m$ (see [46]). Also A_0 is a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra with $K_0(A_0) = \ker\rho_{A_0}$ which has continuous scale. We then apply 17.4 to A_0. Thus, for $\eta = \frac{1}{12(m+1)}$, the conditions in 17.1 are satisfied. We then apply 17.1.

□

18 C^*-algebras $\mathcal{W}$ and class $\mathcal{D}_0$

Definition 18.1. Let A be a non-unital separable C^*-algebra. Suppose that $\tau \in T(A)$. We say that τ is a C_0-trace if there exists a sequence of completely positive contractive linear maps $\{\varphi_n\}$ from A into $D_n \in \mathcal{C}_0$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n(ab) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A \text{ and}$$
$$\tau(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t_n(\varphi_n(a)) \text{ for all } a \in A, \tag{e 18.1}$$

where $t_n \in T(D_n)$, $n = 1, 2, ...$

We say that τ is a W-trace if there exists a sequence of completely positive contractive linear maps $\{\varphi_n\}$ from A into W such that such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n(ab) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A \text{ and}$$
$$\tau(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t_0(\varphi_n(a)) \text{ for all } a \in A, \tag{e 18.2}$$

where t_0 is the unique tracial state on W.

Proposition 18.2. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra and let $\tau \in T(A)$. The following are equivalent.*

(1) *τ is a W-trace.*

(2) *There exists a sequence of completely positive contractive linear maps $\{\varphi_n\}$ from A into $D_n \in \mathcal{C}_0^{0'}$ such that such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n(ab) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A \text{ and}$$
$$\tau(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t_n(\varphi_n(a)) \text{ for all } a \in A, \tag{e 18.3}$$

where $t_n \in T(D_n)$.

Proof. Suppose that (1) holds. Since W is an inductive limit of C^*-algebras in C_0^0, (2) holds immediately.

Suppose (2) holds. Let $\Gamma_n : T(W) = \{t_0\} \to T(D_n)$ by $\Gamma_n(t_0) = t_n$. Then there is affine map $\gamma_n : \mathrm{LAff}_b(T(D_n)) \to \mathrm{LAff}_b(T(W)) = \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\gamma_n(f)(t_0) = f(t_n)$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ This induces a homomorphism from $\gamma_n^* : Cu^\sim(D_n) \to Cu^\sim(W)$. It follows from [34] that there is a homomorphism $\psi_n : D_n \to W$ such that $Cu^\sim(\psi_n) = \gamma_n^*$. In particular,

$$t_0 \circ \psi_n(a) = t_n(a) \text{ for all } a \in (D_n)_+.$$

Define $\Psi_n : A \to W$ by $\Psi_n = \psi_n \circ \varphi_n$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} t_0 \circ \Psi_n(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t_0 \circ \psi_n \circ \varphi_n(a) \tag{e 18.4}$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} t_n\varphi_n(a) = \tau(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 18.5}$$

□

Theorem 18.3. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra which is quasi-compact. If every tracial state $\tau \in T(A)$ is a W-trace, then* $\ker\rho_A = \{0\}$ *(for any choice of $e \in P(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}$).*

Proof. Fix $\tau \in T(A)$. Suppose that there are two projections $p, q \in M_k(\tilde{A})$ such that $x = [p] - [q] \in K_0(A)$ and $\tau(p) \neq \tau(q)$.

Let $d = |\tau(p) - \tau(q)|$.

Note that $\pi(p)$ and $\pi(q)$ have the same rank in $M_k(\mathbb{C})$, where $\pi : M_k(\tilde{A}) \to M_k(\mathbb{C})$ is the quotient map.

Denote still by τ the extension of τ on $\tilde{A}$ as well as on $M_n(\tilde{A})$. If τ were W-trace, there there would be a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ of completely positive contractive linear maps from $M_k(A)$ into $M_k(W)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b) - \varphi_n(ab)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in M_k(A) \text{ and}$$
$$\tau(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t_0 \circ \varphi_n(a) \text{ for all } a \in M_k(A), \tag{e 18.6}$$

where t_0 is the unique tracial state on W. Let $\tilde{\varphi}_n : A \to M_k(W)$ be an extension of completely positive contractive linear map such that $\tilde{\varphi}_n(1) = 1_{\tilde{W}}$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{\varphi}_n(a)\tilde{\varphi}(b) - \tilde{\varphi}(ab)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in M_k(\tilde{A}).$$

Let t_0 also denote the extension of t_0 on $M_k(\tilde{W})$. Then we also have

$$\tau(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t \circ \tilde{\varphi}_n(a) \text{ for all } a \in M_k(\tilde{A}).$$

To simplify notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$|t \circ \tilde{\varphi}_n(p) - t \circ \tilde{\varphi}_n(q)| \geq d/2 \text{ for all } n. \tag{e 18.7}$$

There are projections p_n, $q_n \in M_k(\tilde{A})$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{\varphi}_n(p) - p_n\| = 0 \text{ and} \tag{e 18.8}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\tilde{\varphi}_n(q) - q_n\| = 0. \tag{e 18.9}$$

Since $\pi(p)$ and $\pi(q)$ has the same rank, there are $v \in M_k(\tilde{A})$ such that $\pi(v^*v) = p$ and $\pi(vv^*) = q$. Let $\pi_w : M_k(\tilde{W}) \to M_k$ be the quotient map. Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\pi_n \circ \varphi_n(v^*v) - \pi_n(p_n)\| = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\pi_n \circ \varphi_n(vv^*) - \pi_n(q_n)\| = 0. \tag{e 18.10}$$

It follows that $\pi_w(p_n)$ and $\pi_w(q_n)$ are equivalent projections in M_k for all large n. Since $K_0(W) = 0$, it follows that $[p_n] - [q_n] = 0$ in $K_0(W)$ which means that p_n and q_n are equivalent in $M_k(\tilde{W})$ since $\tilde{W}$ has stable rank one. In particular,

$$t_0(p_n) = t_0(q_n)$$

for all sufficiently large n. This contradicts with the fact that (e 18.7), (e 18.8) and (e 18.9) hold. □

Proposition 18.4. *Let A be a non-unital simple C^*-algebra with a W-tracial state $\tau \in T(A)$. Let $0 \leq a \leq 1$ be a strictly positive element of A. Then there exists a sequence of completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi_n : A \to W$ such that $\varphi_n(a)$ is a strictly positive element,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b) - \varphi_n(ab)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A \text{ and}$$
$$\tau(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t_0 \circ \varphi_n(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 18.11}$$

Proof. We may assume that

$$\tau(a^{1/n}) \geq 1 - 1/2n, \ \ n = 1, 2, ...$$

Since τ is a W-tracial state, there exists a sequence of completely positive contractive linear maps $\psi_n : A \to W$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n(a)\psi_n(b) - \psi_n(ab)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A \text{ and}$$
$$\tau(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t_0 \circ \psi_n(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 18.12}$$

Put $b_n = \varphi_n(a^{1/n})$. Without loss of generality, passing to a subsequence of $\{\psi_n\}$, we may assume

$$t_0(b_n) \geq 1 - 1/n, \ \ n = 1, 2, ... \tag{e 18.13}$$

Consider $B_n = \overline{b_nWb_n}$. Choose a strictly positive element $0 \le e \le 1$ of W. By [34], there is a homomorphism $h_n : B_n \to A$ such that $[h_n(b_n)] = [e]$ in $W(A)$. In particular, $h_n(b_n)$ is strictly positive. Since B_n has a unique trace, there is $\alpha_n > 0$ such that

$$\alpha_n t_0(b) = t_0 \circ h_n(b) \text{ for all } b \in B_n, \ \ n = 1, 2, ... \tag{e 18.14}$$

Since now $h_n(b_n)$ is strictly positive,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} t_0 \circ h_n(b^{1/k}) = 1. \tag{e 18.15}$$

Since $B_n \subset A$, (e 18.14) and (e 18.15) imply that

$$\alpha_n \ge 1. \tag{e 18.16}$$

On the other hand, by (e 18.13), we have that

$$1 - 1/n \le \frac{t_0 \circ h_n(b_n)}{\alpha_n} \le 1/\alpha_n, \ \ n = 1, 2, ...$$

Therefore

$$\alpha_n \le \frac{1}{1 - 1/n}.$$

It follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 1$. Let $\varphi_n = h_n \circ \psi_n$. One verifies that $\{\varphi_n\}$ meets the requirement. □

Proposition 18.5. *Let A be a separable C^*-algebra which is quasi-compact and every tracial state τ is quasidiagonal. Let Z be a non-unital simple C^*-algebra which is an inductive limit C^*-algebras in $\mathcal{C}_0'$ such that Z is quasi-compact, $K_0(Z) = \ker\rho_Z$ with a unique tracial state. Then all tracial states of $A \otimes Z$ and $A \otimes W$ are W-tracial states.*

Proof. Let $\tau \in T(A)$. Denote by t the unique tracial state of Z. We will show $\tau \otimes t$ is a W-trace on $A \otimes Z$.

For each n, there is homomorphism $h_n : M_n(Z) \to W$ (by [34]) such that h_n maps a strictly positive element of $M_n(Z)$ to an one in W. Let $t_0 \in T(W)$. Then $t_0 \circ h_n$ is a tracial state of Z. Therefore $t(a) = t_0 \circ h_n(a)$ for all $a \in M_n(Z)$. Moreover, for any $a \in M_n$ and $b \in Z$,

$$tr_n(a)t(b) = t_0 \circ h_n(a \otimes b),$$

where tr_n is the normalized on M_n, $n = 1, 2, ...$

Since τ is quasidiagonal, there is a sequence $\psi_n : A \to M_{k(n)}$ of completely positive contractive linear maps such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n(ab) - \psi_n(a)\psi_n(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A \text{ and} \tag{e 18.17}$$

$$\tau(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} tr_{k(n)} \circ \psi_n(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 18.18}$$

Define $\varphi_n : A \otimes Z \to W$ by $\varphi_n(a \otimes b) = h_{k(n)} \circ (\psi_n(a) \otimes b)$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in Z$. Then φ_n is completely positive contractive linear map and, for any $a \in A$ and $b \in Z$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tau \otimes t)(a \otimes b) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} tr_{k(n)}(\psi_n(a))t(b) && \text{(e 18.19)}\\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} t_0 \circ h_n(\psi_n(a) \otimes b) && \text{(e 18.20)}\\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} t_0 \circ h_n(\varphi_n(a \otimes b). && \text{(e 18.21)}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tau \otimes t$ is W-trace.

A similar proof shows that every tracial state of $A \otimes W$ is also a W-tracial state. □

Theorem 18.6. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra with finite nuclear dimension which is quasi-compact such that $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ and every tracial state is a W-trace. Suppose also that $K_0(A) = \ker\rho_A$. Then $A \in \mathcal{D}_0$.*

Proof. By 9.2, A has a hereditary C^*-subalgebra which has continuous scale. It follows that, without loss of generality, we may assume that A has continuous scale.

It follows from 16.3 that there is a non-unital simple C^*-algebra $B = \lim_{n\to\infty}(B_n, \imath_n)$, where each B_n is a finite direct sum of W and $\imath_{n,\infty}$ maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements such that B_n is quasi-compact and

$$T(B) \cong T(A). \tag{e 18.22}$$

Note that $T(A)$ is a metrizable Choquet simplex. Denote by $\gamma : T(B) \to T(A)$ the affine homeomorphism. Let $(\imath_{n,\infty})_\sharp : T(B) \to T(B_n)$ such that

$$t \circ \imath_{n,\infty}(b) = (\imath_{n,\infty})_\sharp(t)(b)$$

for all $b \in B_n$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ It is an affine continuous map. Note that $T(W) = \{t_0\}$, where t_0 is the unique tracial state of W.

Fix a strictly positive element $a_0 \in A$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, Since $B = \lim_{n\to\infty}(B_n, \imath_n)$, then, it is standard and easy to see that there is an integer $n_1 \geq 1$ and $\kappa : T(B_{n_1}) \to T(A)$ such that

$$\sup_{\tau\in T(B)} |\kappa \circ (\imath_{n_1,\infty})_\sharp(\tau)(f) - \gamma(\tau)(f)| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 18.23}$$

Write $B_{n_1} = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_m$, where each $W_i \cong W$. Denote by $t_{0,1}, t_{0,2}, ..., t_{0,m}$ the unique tracial states on W_i, and $\theta_i = \kappa(t_{0,i})$, $i = 1, 2, ..., m$. By the assumption, there exists, for each i, a sequence of completely positive contractive linear map $\varphi_{n,i} : A \to W_i$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_{n,i}(a)\varphi_{n,i}(b) - \varphi_{n,i}(ab)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A \text{ and} \tag{e 18.24}$$

$$\theta_i(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t_{0,i} \circ \varphi_{n,i}(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 18.25}$$

Moreover, by 18.4, we may assume that $\varphi_{n,i}(a_0)$ is strictly positive Define $\varphi_n : A \to B_{n_1}$ by

$$\varphi_n(a) = \varphi_{n,1}(a) \oplus \varphi_{n,2}(a) \oplus \cdots \varphi_{n,m}(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 18.26}$$

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\tau\in T(B_{n_1})} \{|\tau(\varphi_n(a)) - \kappa(\tau)(a)|\} = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 18.27}$$

Define $\psi_n : A \to B$ by

$$\psi_n(a) = \imath_{n_1,\infty} \circ \varphi_n(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \tag{e 18.28}$$

Note that $\psi_n(a_0)$ is a strictly positive element. We note that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n(ab) - \psi_n(a)\psi_n(b)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a, b \in A. \tag{e 18.29}$$

Moreover, for any $\tau \in T(B)$ and any $f \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\gamma(\tau)(f) - \tau \circ \psi_n(f)| &\leq |\gamma(\tau)(f) - \kappa \circ (\imath_{n_1,\infty})_\sharp(\tau)(f)| && \text{(e 18.30)}\\
&\quad + |\kappa \circ (\imath_{n_1,\infty})_\sharp(\tau)(f) - \tau \circ \psi_n(f)| && \text{(e 18.31)}\\
&< \varepsilon/2 + |\kappa \circ (\imath_{n_1,\infty})_\sharp(\tau)(f) - \tau \circ \imath_{n_1,\infty} \circ \varphi_n(f)| && \text{(e 18.32)}\\
&\leq \varepsilon/2 + \sup_{t\in T(B_{n_1})} \{|\tau(\varphi_n(f)) - \kappa(\tau)(f)|\}. && \text{(e 18.33)}
\end{aligned}$$

By (e 18.27), there exists $N \ge 1$ such that, for all $n \ge N$,

$$\sup_{\tau \in T(B)} \{|\gamma(\tau)(f) - \tau \circ \psi_n(f)|\} < \varepsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{e 18.34}$$

Thus, we obtain a sequence of completely positive contractive linear maps $\sigma_n : A \to B$ such that (e 17.95) and (e 17.96) hold. Thus 17.6 applies. □

Theorem 18.7. *Let A be a non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra with finite nuclear dimension which is quasi-compact. Suppose that $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then $A \otimes Z, A \otimes W \in \mathcal{D}_0$, where Z is as described in 18.5.*

Proof. It follows 18.5 that every tracial state of $A \otimes Z$ is a W-trace. It follows from 18.3 that $K_0(A \otimes Z) = \rho_{A \otimes Z}$. Then 18.6 applies. □

19 Classification of simple C^*-algebras with zero K_0 and K_1

Theorem 19.1. *Let A and B be two separable simple amenable C^*-algebras with continuous scale. Suppose that both A and B are $\mathcal{D}$ such that $KK(A, D) = KK(B, D) = \{0\}$. Then $A \cong B$ if and only if there is an affine homeomorphism $\gamma : T(A) \to T(B)$. Moreover, there is an isomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$ such that $\varphi_T = \gamma^{-1}$.*

Proof. By 16.3, there exists a simple C^*-algebra $C = \lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n, \imath_n)$, where each B_n is a finite direct sum of W and $\imath_n$ maps strictly positive elements to strictly positive elements, which has continuous scale and

$$T(A) = T(C).$$

It suffices to show that $A \cong B$. We will use $\Gamma : T(C) \to T(A)$ for the affine homeomorphism given above. We will use an approximate intertwining argument of Elliott.

Step 1: Construction of L_1.

Fix a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset A^{\mathbf{1}}$.

Since A has the continuous scale, A is quasi-compact. Choose a strictly positive element $a_0 \in A_+$ with $\|a\| = 1$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$a_0 y = y a_0 = y, \ a_0 \ge y^* y \text{ and } a_0 \ge y y^* \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \tag{e 19.1}$$

Let $T : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $T(a) = (N(a), M(a))$ $(a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\})$ be the map given by 14.9.

Let $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ), let $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of $\mathcal{G}$) be a finite subset, let $\mathcal{H}_{1,0} \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of $\mathcal{H}$) be a finite subset, and let $K_1 \ge 1$ (in place of K) be an integer given by 7.11 (together with 7.13 and 7.14) for the above T (in place of F), $\varepsilon/16$ (in place of ε) and $\mathcal{F}_1$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_{1,0} \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \subset A^{\mathbf{1}}$.

Choose $b_0 \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ with $d_\tau(b_0) < 1/8(K_1 + 1)$.

It follows from 14.9 that there are $\mathcal{G}_1$-$\delta_1/64$-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi_0 : A \to A$ and $\psi_0 : A \to D$ for some $D \subset A$ with $D \in \mathcal{C}_0'$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_0(x), \overbrace{\psi_0(x), \psi_0(x), ..., \psi_0(x)}^{2K_1+1})\| < \min\{\varepsilon/128, \delta_1/128\} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{G}_1 \tag{e 19.2}$$

$$\varphi_0(a_0) \lesssim b_0, \|\psi_0(x)\| \ge (1 - \varepsilon/128)\|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{G}_1, \text{ and} \tag{e 19.3}$$

$$\tag{e 19.4}$$

$\psi_0(a_0)$ is strictly positive. Moreover, ψ_0 is T- $\mathcal{H}_{1,0} \cup \{f_{1/4}(a_0)\}$-full in $\overline{DAD}$.

Define $\varphi_0': A \to A$ by $\varphi_0'(a) = \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_0(a), \psi_0(a))$ for all $a \in A$. Put $A_{00} = \overline{\varphi_0'(a_0)A\varphi_0'(a_0)}$ and $A_{0,1} = \overline{DAD}$, let $D_{1,1} = M_{2K_1}(D)$ and $D_{1,1}' = M_{2K_1+1}(D)$. Let $j_1 : D \to M_{2K_1}(D)$ be defined by

$$j_1(d) = \mathrm{diag}(d, d, ..., d) \text{ for all } d \in D.$$

Note that

$$\varphi_0(a_0) \oplus \psi_0(a_0) \lesssim \psi_0(a_0) \oplus \psi_0(a_0). \tag{e 19.5}$$

Let

$$d_{00}' = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{\psi_0(a_0), \psi_0(a_0), ..., \psi_0(a_0)}^{2K_1+1}) \in D_{1,1}' \text{ and}$$
$$d_{00} = \mathrm{diag}(0, \overbrace{\psi_0(a_0), \psi_0(a_0), ..., \psi_0(a_0)}^{2K_1}) \in D_{1,1}. \tag{e 19.6}$$

Let $\imath_1 : D_{1,1}' \to A$ be the embedding. Let $Cu^\sim(\imath_1) : Cu^\sim(A_{1,1}) \to Cu^\sim(A)$ be the induced map. Let $\Gamma^\sim : Cu^\sim(A) \to Cu^\sim(C)$ be the isomorphism given by $\Gamma^\sim(f)(\tau) = f(\Gamma(\tau))$ for all $f \in L\,\mathrm{Aff}_b(T(A))$ and $\tau \in T(A)$. It follows Theorem 1.0.1 of [34] that there is a homomorphism $h_1' : D_{1,1}' \to C$ such that

$$Cu^\sim(h_1') = \Gamma^\sim \circ Cu^\sim(\imath_1) \text{ and } \langle h_1'(d_{00}')\rangle = \Gamma^\sim \circ Cu^\sim(\imath_1)(\langle d_{00}'\rangle). \tag{e 19.7}$$

Let $h_1 = (h_1')|_{D_{1,1}}$. Denote by $C' = \{c \in C : ch_1(d) = h_1(d)c = 0 \text{ for all } d \in D_{1,1}\}$. Note that

$$h_1'(\mathrm{diag}(\psi_0(a), \overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{2K_1})) \subset C' \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Define $h_0' : A \to C'$ by

$$h_0'(a) = h_1'(\mathrm{diag}(\psi_0(a)\overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{2K_1})) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Define $L_1 : A \to C$ by

$$L_1(a) = \mathrm{diag}(h_0'(a), h_1(\mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{\psi_0(a), \psi_0(a), ..., \psi_0(a)}^{K_1}))) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Step 2: Construct H_1 and the first approximate commutative diagram.

It follows Theorem 1.0.1 of [34] that there is a homomorphism $H : C \to A$ such that

$$Cu^\sim(H) = (\Gamma^\sim)^{-1}. \tag{e 19.8}$$

Note that

$$\langle H \circ h_0'(a_0)\rangle \le \langle \varphi_0(a_0)\rangle. \tag{e 19.9}$$

Choose $\delta_1/4 > \eta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|f_{\eta_0}(H \circ h_0'(a_0))x - x\|,\ \|x - xf_{\eta_0}(H \circ h_0'(a_0))\| < \min\{\varepsilon/128, \delta_1/128\} \tag{e 19.10}$$

for all $x \in H \circ h_0'(\mathcal{G}_1)$. Since A has almost stable rank one, there is a unitary $u_0 \in \tilde{A}$ such that

$$u_0^* f_{\eta_0}(H \circ h_0'(a_0))u_0 \in \overline{\psi_{00}(a_0)A\psi_{00}(a_0)}, \tag{e 19.11}$$

where

$$\psi_{00}(a_0) = \mathrm{diag}(\psi_0(a_0), \overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{2K_1}).$$

Define $H' : A \to \overline{\psi_{00}(a_0)A\psi_{00}(a_0)}$ by

$$H'(a) = u_0^*(f_{\eta_0}(H \circ h_0'(a_0)))H \circ h_0'(a)(f_{\eta_0}(H \circ h_0'(a_0)))u_0 \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Note that H' is $\mathcal{G}_1$-$\delta_1/32$-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map. Moreover, by (e 19.10),

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, u_0 \circ H \circ h_0'(a) - \mathrm{Ad}\, u_0 \circ H'(a)\| < \min\{\varepsilon/128, \delta_1/128\} \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_1. \quad \text{(e 19.12)}$$

Consider two homomorphisms $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_0 \circ H \circ h_1 \circ j_1$ and $\imath_1 \circ j_1$. Then, by (e 19.7) and (e 19.8),

$$Cu^\sim(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_0 \circ H \circ h_1 \circ j_1) = Cu^\sim(\imath_1 \circ j_1). \quad \text{(e 19.13)}$$

Put $A' = \{a \in A : a \perp \overline{\psi_{00}(a)A\psi_{00}(a)}\}$. Note that we may view that both $\imath_1 \circ j_1$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_0 \circ H \circ h_1 \circ j_1$ are maps into A'.

It follows from Theorem 3.3.1 of [34] that there exists a unitary $u_1 \in \tilde{A}'$ such that

$$\|u_1^*(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_0 \circ H \circ h_1 \circ j_1(x))u_1 - \imath_1 \circ j_1(x)\| < \min\{\varepsilon/16, \delta_1/16\} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{G}_1. \quad \text{(e 19.14)}$$

One may write $u_1 = \lambda + z$ for some $z \in A'$. Therefore we may view u_1 is a unitary in $\tilde{A}$. Note that, for any $b \in \overline{\psi_{00}(a)A\psi_{00}(a)}$, $u_1^* b u_1 = b$. In particular, for any $a \in A$,

$$\mathrm{Ad}\, u_1 \circ H'(a) = H'(a) \text{ for all } a \in A. \quad \text{(e 19.15)}$$

By applying 7.11 and its remarks (including 7.14), there is $u_2 \in \tilde{A}$ such that

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, u_2 \circ \mathrm{diag}(H'(a), \imath_1 \circ j_1 \circ \psi_0(a)) - \mathrm{diag}(\psi_0'(a), \imath_1 \circ j_1 \circ \psi_0(a))\| < \varepsilon/16 \quad \text{(e 19.16)}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Combining (e 19.14), (e 19.15) and (e 19.12), we have

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, u_2 \circ u_1 \circ u_0 \circ H \circ L_1(a) - \mathrm{Ad}\, u_2 \circ \mathrm{diag}(H'(a), \imath_1 \circ j_1 \circ \psi_0(a))u_2\| < \varepsilon/7 \quad \text{(e 19.17)}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_1$.

On the other hand, by (e 19.2),

$$\|\mathrm{id}_A(a) - \mathrm{diag}(\psi_0'(a), j_1 \circ \psi_0(a))\| < \varepsilon/16 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1. \quad \text{(e 19.18)}$$

Put $U_1 = u_0 u_1 u_2$. By (e 19.18) and (e 19.17), we conclude that

$$\|\mathrm{id}_A(a) - \mathrm{Ad}\, U_1 \circ H \circ L_1(a)\| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}_1. \quad \text{(e 19.19)}$$

Put $H_1 = \mathrm{Ad}\, U_1 \circ H$. Then we obtain the following diagram:



which is approximately commutative on the subset $\mathcal{F}_1$ within ε.

Step 3: Construction of L_2 and the second approximate commutative diagram.

There is an easy way to obtain a map from A to C. However, since we want the process continue, we will repeat the construction in **Step 1**.

We first back to C. Define $\Delta : C^{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{q}} \setminus \{0\} \to (0,1)$ by

$$\Delta(\hat{a}) = (1/2)\inf\{\tau(a) : \tau \in T(C)\} \tag{e 19.20}$$

(Recall that $T(C)$ is compact since C has continuous scale.)

Fix any $\eta_1 > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset C$. We may assume that $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset C^{\mathbf{1}}$ and $L_1(\mathcal{F}_1) \subset \mathcal{S}_1$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset C$ (in place of $\mathcal{G}$), let $\mathcal{H}_{1,1} \subset C_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of $\mathcal{H}_1$) be a finite subset, let $\mathcal{H}_{1,2} \subset C_{s.a.}$ (in place of $\mathcal{H}_2$) be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ), $\gamma_1 > 0$ (in place of γ) be as required by 11.5 for C, $\eta_1/16$ (in place of ε) and $\mathcal{S}_1$ (in place of $\mathcal{F}$) as well as Δ above.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_{1,2} \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \subset C^{\mathbf{1}}$.

Fix $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F}_2$ such that $H_1(\mathcal{S}_1) \cup \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$.

We may assume that $\mathcal{F}_2 \subset A^{\mathbf{1}}$.

Let

$$\gamma_0 = \min\{\gamma_1, \inf\{\Delta(\hat{a}) : a \in \mathcal{H}_{1,1} \cup \mathcal{H}_{1,2}\}\}.$$

Fix a strictly positive element $a_1 \in A_+$ with $\|a_1\| = 1$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$a_1 y = y a_1 = y,\ a_1 \ge y^* y \text{ and } a_1 \ge y y^* \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{F}_2 \tag{e 19.21}$$

Let $T : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $T(a) = (N(a), M(a))$ $(a \in A_+ \setminus \{0\})$ be the map given by 14.9 as mentioned in the **Step 1**.

Let $\delta_2' > 0$ (in place of δ), let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of $\mathcal{G}$) be a finite subset, let $\mathcal{H}_{2,0} \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of $\mathcal{H}$) be a finite subset, and let $K_2' \ge 1$ (in place of K) be an integer given by 7.11 (and its remarks) for the above T, $\varepsilon_1/16$ (in place of ε) and $\mathcal{F}_2$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H}_{2,0} \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \subset A^{\mathbf{1}}$.

We may assume that $\delta_2' < \delta_2$.

Choose $K_2 \ge K_2'$ such that $1/K_2 < \gamma_0/4$.

Choose $b_{2,0} \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ with

$$d_\tau(b_{2,0}) < 1/8(K_2+1). \tag{e 19.22}$$

It follows from 14.9 that there are $\mathcal{G}_2$-$\delta_2'/64$-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\varphi_{2,0} : A \to A$ and $\psi_{2,0} : A \to D_2$ for some $D_2 \subset A$ with $D_2 \in \mathcal{C}_0'$ such that

$$\|x - \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_{2,0}(x), \overbrace{\psi_{2,0}(x), \psi_{2,0}(x), ..., \psi_{2,0}(x)}^{2K_2+1})\| < \min\{\varepsilon_2/128, \delta_2'/128\} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{G}_2 \tag{e 19.23}$$

$$\varphi_{2,0}(a_1) \lesssim b_{2,0}, \tag{e 19.24}$$

$$\|\psi_{2,0}(x)\| \ge (1 - \varepsilon_2/128)\|x\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{G}_2 \text{ and} \tag{e 19.25}$$

$\psi_{2,0}(a_1)$ is strictly positive. Moreover, every element $c \in \mathcal{H}_{2,0} \cup \{f_{1/4}(a_1)\}$ is $(N(c), M(c))$-full in $\overline{D_2 A D_2}$.

Define $\varphi_{2,0}' : A \to A$ by $\varphi_{2,0}'(a) = \mathrm{diag}(\varphi_{2,0}(a), \psi_{2,0}(a))$ for all $a \in A$. Put $A_{2,00} = \overline{\varphi_{2,0}'(a_1) A \varphi_{2,0}'(a_1)}$ and $A_{2,0,1} = \overline{D_2 A D_2}$, let $D_{2,1} = M_{2K_2}(D_2)$ and $D_{2,1}' = M_{2K_2+1}(D_2)$. Let $j_2 : D_2 \to M_{2K_2}(D_2)$ be defined by

$$j_2(d) = \mathrm{diag}(d, d, ..., d) \text{ for all } d \in D_2.$$

Note that

$$\varphi_{2,0}(a_1) \oplus \psi_{2,0}(a_1) \lesssim \psi_{2,0}(a_1) \oplus \psi_{2,0}(a_1). \tag{e 19.26}$$

Let

$$d'_{2,00} = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{\psi_{2,0}(a_1), \psi_{2,0}(a_1), ..., \psi_{2,0}(a_1)}^{2K_2+1}) \in D'_{2,1} \text{ and}$$
$$d_{2,00} = \mathrm{diag}(0, \overbrace{\psi_{2,0}(a_1), \psi_{2,0}(a_1), ..., \psi_{2,0}(a_1)}^{2K_2}) \in D_{2,1}. \tag{e 19.27}$$

Let $\imath_2 : D'_{2,1} \to A$. Let $Cu^\sim(\imath_2) : Cu^\sim(A_{2,1}) \to Cu^\sim(A)$ be the induced map. It follows Theorem 1.0.1 of [34] that there is a homomorphism $h'_2 : D'_{2,1} \to C$ such that

$$Cu^\sim(h'_2) = \Gamma^\sim \circ Cu^\sim(\imath_2) \text{ and } \langle h'_2(d'_{2,00})\rangle = \Gamma^\sim \circ Cu^\sim(\imath_2)(\langle d'_{2,00}\rangle). \tag{e 19.28}$$

Let $h_2 = (h'_2)|_{D_{2,1}}$. Denote by $C'' = \{c \in C : ch_2(d) = h_2(d)c = 0 \text{ for all } d \in D_{2,1}\}$. Note that

$$h'_2(\mathrm{diag}(\psi_{2,0}(a), \overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{2K_2})) \subset C'' \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Define $h'_{2,0} : A \to C''$ by

$$h'_{2,0}(a) = h'_2(\mathrm{diag}(\psi_{2,0}(a) \overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{2K_2})) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Define $L_2 : A \to C$ by

$$L_2(a) = \mathrm{diag}(h'_{2,0}(a), h_2(\mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{\psi_{2,0}(a), \psi_{2,0}(a), ..., \psi_{2,0}(a)}^{K_2}))) \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

By (e 19.22) and $1/K_2 < \gamma_0/4$, we compute that

$$\sup\{|\tau \circ L_2 \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, U_1 \circ H(x) - \tau(x)| : \tau \in T(C)\} < \gamma_0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{G}_2. \tag{e 19.29}$$

This also implies that

$$\tau(L_2 \circ \mathrm{Ad}\, U_1 \circ H(b)) \ge \Delta(\hat{b}) \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{H}_{1,1}. \tag{e 19.30}$$

Note also that we have assume that $K_0(C) = K_1(C) = \{0\}$. Thus 11.5 applies. Therefore we obtain a unitary $V_1 \in \tilde{C}$ such that

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, V_1 \circ L_2 \circ H_1(a) - \mathrm{id}_C(a)\| < \eta_1/2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{S}_1. \tag{e 19.31}$$

Put $L_2 = \mathrm{Ad}\, V_1 \circ L_2$. Then, we obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & A \\ {\scriptstyle L_1}\downarrow & \nearrow_{H_1} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle L_2} \\ C & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{id}]{} & C \end{array}$$

with the upper triangle approximately commutes on $\mathcal{F}_1$ up to ε and the lower triangle approximately commutes on $\mathcal{S}_1$ up to η_1.

Step 4: Show the process continues.

We will repeat the argument in **Step 2**.

Note that

$$\langle H \circ h'_{2,0}(a_1)\rangle \le \langle \varphi_{2,0}(a_1)\rangle. \tag{e 19.32}$$

Choose $\delta_2/4 > \eta_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|f_{\eta_1}(H \circ h'_{2,0}(a_1))x - x\|,\ \|x - xf_{\eta_1}(H \circ h'_{2,0}(a_1))\| < \min\{\varepsilon_2/128, \delta'_2/128\} \tag{e 19.33}$$

for all $x \in H \circ h'_{2,0}(\mathcal{G}_2)$. Since A has almost stable rank one, there is a unitary $u_{2,0} \in \tilde{A}$ such that

$$u^*_{2,0} f_{\eta_1}(H \circ h'_{2,0}(a_1))u_{2,0} \in \overline{\psi_{2,00}(a_1)A\psi_{2,00}(a_1)}, \tag{e 19.34}$$

where

$$\psi_{2,00}(a_1) = \mathrm{diag}(\psi_{2,0}(a_1), \overbrace{0, 0, ..., 0}^{2K_2}).$$

Define $H'' : A \to \overline{\psi_{2,00}(a_1)A\psi_{2,00}(a_1)}$ by

$$H''(a) = u^*_{2,0}(f_{\eta_1}(H \circ h'_{2,0}(a_1)))H \circ h'_{2,0}(a)(f_{\eta_1}(H \circ h'_{2,0}(a_1)))u_{2,0} \ \text{ for all } \ a \in A.$$

Note that H'' is $\mathcal{G}_2$-$\delta'_2/32$-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map. Moreover, by (e 19.33),

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, u_{2,0} \circ H \circ h'_{2,0}(a) - \mathrm{Ad}\, u_{2,0} \circ H''(a)\| < \min\{\varepsilon_2/128, \delta'_2/128\} \ \text{ for all } \ a \in \mathcal{G}_2. \tag{e 19.35}$$

Consider two homomorphisms $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_{2,0} \circ H \circ h_{2,1} \circ j_2$ and $\imath_2 \circ j_2$. Then, by (e 19.28) and (e 19.29),

$$Cu^\sim(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_{2,0} \circ H \circ h_2 \circ j_2) = Cu^\sim(\imath_2 \circ j_2). \tag{e 19.36}$$

Put $A'' = \{a \in A : a \perp \overline{\psi_{2,00}(a)A\psi_{2,00}(a)}\}$. Note that we may view that both $\imath_2 \circ j_2$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\, u_{2,0} \circ H \circ h_2 \circ j_2$ are maps into A''.

It follows from Theorem 3.3.1 of [34] that there exists a unitary $u_{2,1} \in \tilde{A''}$ such that

$$\|u^*_{2,1}(\mathrm{Ad}\, u_{2,0} \circ H \circ h_2 \circ j_2(x))u_{2,1} - \imath_2 \circ j_2(x)\| < \min\{\varepsilon_2/16, \delta'_2/16\} \ \text{ for all } \ x \in \mathcal{G}_2. \tag{e 19.37}$$

One may write $u_{2,1} = \lambda + z'$ for some $z' \in A'$. Therefore we may view $u_{2,1}$ is a unitary in $\tilde{A}$. Note that, for any $b \in \overline{\psi_{2,00}(a)A\psi_{2,00}(a)}$ $u^*_{2,1}bu_{2,1} = b$. In particular, for any $a \in A$,

$$\mathrm{Ad}\, u_{2,1} \circ H''(a) = H''(a) \ \text{ for all } \ a \in A. \tag{e 19.38}$$

By applying 7.11 and its remark, there is $u_{2,2} \in \tilde{A}$ such that

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, u_{2,2} \circ \mathrm{diag}(H''(a), \imath_2 \circ j_2 \circ \psi_{2,0}(a))u_{2,2} - \mathrm{diag}(\psi'_{2,0}(a), j_2 \circ \psi_{2,0}(a))\| < \varepsilon_2/16 \tag{e 19.39}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_2$. Combining (e 19.37), (e 19.38) and (e 19.33), we have

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}\, u_{2,2} \circ u_{2,1} \circ u_{2,0} \circ H \circ L_2(a) - \mathrm{Ad}\, u_{2,2} \circ \mathrm{diag}(H''(a), \imath_2 \circ j_2 \circ \psi_{2,0}(a))u_{2,2}\| < \varepsilon_2/7 \tag{e 19.40}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{F}_2$.

On the other hand, by (e 19.23),

$$\|\mathrm{id}_A(a) - \mathrm{diag}(\psi'_{2,0}(a), j_2 \circ \psi_{2,0}(a))\| < \varepsilon_2/16 \ \text{ for all } \ a \in \mathcal{F}_2. \tag{e 19.41}$$

Put $U_2 = u_{2,0}u_{2,1}u_{2,2}$. By (e 19.41) and (e 19.40), we conclude that

$$\|\mathrm{id}_A(a) - \mathrm{Ad}\, U_2 \circ H \circ L_2(a)\| < \varepsilon_2 \ \text{ for all } \ a \in \mathcal{F}_2. \tag{e 19.42}$$

Thus we expand the diagram to the following:



where the last triangle is approximately commutative on $\mathcal{F}_2$ within ε_2.

We then continue to construct L_3 and the Elliott approximately intwining argument shows that A and C are isomorphic.

□

Corollary 19.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{D}$ with continuous scale such that $K_0(A) = K_1(A) = \{0\}$. Then $A \in \mathcal{D}_0$.*

Corollary 19.3. *$W \otimes W \cong W$.*

Proof. It follows from 19.1 that $W \otimes W \in \mathcal{D}_0$. Then Theorem 19.1 applies. □

Corollary 19.4. *Let A be a non-unital separable amenable simple C^*-algebra with continuous scale and satisfy the UCT. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{D}$ with $K_0(A) = \ker\rho_A$. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{D}_0$ which satisfies the UCT with continuous scale and with $K_0(B) = K_1(B) = \{0\}$, and suppose that there is an affine homeomorphism $\gamma : T(A) \to T(B)$. Then there is an embedding $\varphi : A \to B$ such that $\varphi_T = \gamma^{-1}$.*

Proof. The proof of this corollary is contained in the proof of the previous theorem but much simpler. Note since $\ker\rho_A = K_0(A)$, then, in the previous proof, Γ indeed gives a homomorphism from $Cu^\sim(A)$ to $Cu^\sim(C)$. We simply omit the construction of H_1 and keep step 1 and step 3 (in the step one we ignore anything related to step 2). The one-sided Elliott intertwining will give us a homomorphism from A to C. □

The proof of Theorem 1.1:

Proof. Let $\Gamma : (\tilde{T}(A), \Sigma_A) \cong (\tilde{T}(B), \Sigma_B)$ be given by the above statement. Let $a \in P(A)_+$ with $\|a\| = 1$ such that $A_0 = \overline{aAa}$ has the continuous scale. Then $T(A_0)$ is a metrizable Choquet simplex. We may view that $\tilde{T}(A)$ as a cone with the base $T(A_0)$. Let $b \in B_+$ such that

$$d_{\Gamma(\tau)}(b) = d_\tau(a) \text{ for all } \tau \in \tilde{T}(A).$$

Let $B_0 = \overline{bBb}$. Then Γ gives an affine homeomorphism from $T(A_0)$ onto $T(B_0)$. It follows from 19.1 that there is an isomorphism $\varphi : A_0 \to B_0$ such that φ_T gives $\Gamma|_{T(A_0)}$. By [4], this induces an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : A \otimes \mathcal{K} \to B \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Fix a strictly positive element $a_0 \in A$ with $\|a_0\| = 1$ such that

$$d_\tau(a_0) = \Sigma_A(\tau) \text{ for all } \tau \in \tilde{T}(A).$$

Let $b_0 = \tilde{\varphi}(a_0)$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}$ gives an isomorphism from A to $B_1 = \overline{b_0(B \otimes \mathcal{K})b_0}$. Let $b_1 \in B$ be a strictly positive element with $\|b_1\| = 1$ such that

$$d_\tau(b_1) = \Sigma_B(\tau) \text{ for all } \tau \in \tilde{T}(B).$$

Then

$$d_\tau(b_1) = d_\tau(b_0) \text{ for all } \tau \in \tilde{T}(B).$$

Since B is a separable simple C^*-algebra with stable rank one, this implies there exists $\varphi_1 : B_1 \to B$ such that $(\varphi_1)_T = \mathrm{id}_{T(\tilde{B})}$. Then $\varphi_1 \circ \tilde{\varphi}_A$ gives a required isomorphism.

□

Remark 19.5. The assumption of that both A and B satisfy the UCT can be replaced by $KK(A,D) = KK(B,D) = \{0\}$ as stated in 19.1.

Corollary 19.6. *Let A be a non-unital separable amenable simple C^*-algebra and satisfy the UCT. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{D}$ with $K_0(A) = \ker\rho_A$. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{D}$ which satisfies the UCT and with $K_0(B) = K_1(B) = \{0\}$. Suppose also that there is a cone homeomorphism $\gamma : \tilde{T}(A) \to \tilde{T}(B)$ such that $\Sigma_B \circ \gamma^{-1} = \Sigma_A$. Then there is an embedding $\varphi : A \to B$ such that $\varphi_T = \gamma^{-1}$ and $d_\tau \circ \varphi(a) = \Sigma_B(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \tilde{T}(B)$, where $a \in A$ is a strictly positive element.*

Corollary 19.7. *Every separable amenable simple C^*-algebra A in $\mathcal{D}$ with $K_i(A) = \{0\}$ ($i = 0, 1$) which satisfies the UCT is isomorphic to a C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{M}_0$.*

Remark 19.8. It should be noted that A is not assumed to have finite nuclear dimension. However, this brings the question when $gTR(A) \le 1$? Theorem 18.6 states that, if A has finite nuclear dimension and if we also assume that every traces is a W trace then A has $gTR(A) \le 1$. In particular, $gTR(A \otimes W) \le 1$.

Thus we have the following corollary:

Corollary 19.9. *Let A be a separable simple C^*-algebra with finite nuclear dimension and with non-zero traces which satisfies the UCT. Then $A \otimes W$ is isomorphic to a C^*-algebra in $\mathcal{M}_0$.*

But do we really need to assume that every traces are W-traces?

20 Finite nuclear dimension case

Remark 20.1. Let A be a non-unital separable C^*-algebra. Then $A \otimes Q$ is an ideal of $\tilde{A} \otimes Q$. Since $\tilde{A} \otimes Q$ is unital, we may view $\widetilde{A \otimes Q}$ as a C^*-subalgebra of $\tilde{A} \otimes Q$ with the unit $1_{\tilde{A}\otimes Q}$. In the following corollary we use $\imath$ for the embedding from $A \otimes Q$ to $\tilde{A} \otimes Q$ as well as from $\widetilde{A \otimes Q}$ to $\tilde{A} \otimes Q$.

Since $K_1(Q) = \{0\}$, from the six-term exact sequence in K-theory, one concludes that the homomorphism $\imath_{*0} : K_0(A \otimes Q) \to K_0(\tilde{A} \otimes Q)$ is injective.

We will use this fact and identify x with $\imath_{*0}(x)$ for all $x \in K_0(A \otimes Q)$ in the following corollary.

Lemma 20.2. *Let A be a non-unital separable C^*-algebra and let $\{\psi_n\}$ be a sequence of approximately multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps from $\tilde{A} \otimes Q$ to Q, then $\varphi_n = \psi_n \circ \imath$ is a sequence of approximately multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps from A into Q, where $\imath : A \to \tilde{A} \otimes Q$ is the embedding defined by $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ for all $a \in A$.*

Conversely, if $\{\varphi_n\}$ is a sequence of approximately multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps from A to Q, then, there exists a sequence of approximately multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\{\psi_n\} : \tilde{A} \otimes Q \to Q$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\varphi_n(a) - \psi_n \circ \imath(a)\| = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A,$$

where $\imath : A \to \tilde{A} \otimes Q$ is the embedding defined by $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ for all $a \in A$.

Moreover, if $\limsup \|\varphi_n(a)\| \neq 0$ for some $a \in A$ and if $\{e_n\}$ is an approximate identity, then, we can choose ψ_n such that

$$tr(\psi_n(1)) = d_{tr}(\varphi_n(e_n))).$$

for all n.

Proof. We prove only the second part. Write $Q = \overline{\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n!}}$ with the embedding $j_n : B_n := M_{n!} \to M_{n!} \otimes M_{n+1} = M_{(n+1)!}$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Without loss of generality, we may assume that φ_n maps A into B_n, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Consider $\varphi_n'(a) = \varphi_n(e_n^{1/2} a e_n^{1/2})$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Choose p_n to be the range projection of $\varphi_n(e_n)$ in B_n. Define $\psi_n' : \tilde{A} \otimes Q \to Q \otimes Q$ by $\psi_n(a \otimes 1_Q) = \varphi_n'(a) \otimes 1_Q$ for all $a \in A$, $\psi_n'(1 \otimes r) = p \otimes r$ for all $r \in Q$. Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\psi_n'(a \otimes 1) - \varphi_n(a) \otimes 1\| = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

Moreover, $tr(\psi_n'(1)) = d_{tr}(\varphi_n(e_n))$ for all n. There is an isomorphism $h : Q \otimes Q \to Q$ such that $h \circ \imath$ is approximately unitarily equivalent to id_Q. By choosing some unitaries $u_n \in Q$, we can choose $\psi = \mathrm{ad}\, u_n \circ h \circ \psi_n'$, $n = 1, 2, ...$

□

The following is a non-unital version of Lemma 4.2 of [13].

Lemma 20.3. *Let A be a non-unital simple separable amenable C*-algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ which is quasi-compact and which satisfies the UCT. Fix a strictly positive element $a \in A_+$ with $\|a\| = 1$ such that*

$$\tau(f_{1/2}(a)) \geq d \text{ for all } \tau \in \overline{T(A)}^w. \tag{e 20.1}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of A, there exist $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of A, and a finite subset $\mathcal{P}$ of $K_0(A)$ with the following property.

Let $\psi, \varphi : A \to Q$ be two $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps such that

$$[\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [\varphi]|_{\mathcal{P}} \text{ and} \tag{e 20.2}$$

$$tr(f_{1/2}(\varphi_0(a))) \geq d/2 \text{ and } tr(f_{1/2}(\varphi_1(a))) \geq d/2, \tag{e 20.3}$$

where tr is the unique tracial state of Q. Then there is a unitary $u \in Q$ and a $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map $L : A \to \mathrm{C}([0,1], Q)$ such that

$$\pi_0 \circ L = \psi, \ \ \pi_1 \circ L = \mathrm{Ad}\, u \circ \varphi, \tag{e 20.4}$$

Moreover, if

$$|\mathrm{tr} \circ \psi(h) - \mathrm{tr} \circ \varphi(h)| < \varepsilon'/2, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, \tag{e 20.5}$$

for a finite set $\mathcal{H} \subset A$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$, then L may be chosen such that

$$|\mathrm{tr} \circ \pi_t \circ L(h) - \mathrm{tr} \circ \pi_0 \circ L(h)| < \varepsilon' \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } t \in [0,1]. \tag{e 20.6}$$

Here, $\pi_t : \mathrm{C}([0,1], Q) \to Q$ is the point evaluation at $t \in [0,1]$.

Proof. Let $T : A_+ \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be given by 9.6 (with above d and a). In the notation in 7.7, $Q \in \mathbf{C}_{0,0,t,1,2}$, where $t : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is defined to be $t(n,k) = n/k$ for all $n, k \geq 1$. Now $\mathbf{C}_{0,0,t,1,2}$ is fixed. We are going to apply Theorem 7.9 together with the Remark 7.10 (note that Q has real rank zero and $K_1(Q) = \{0\}$).

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We may assume that $a \in \mathcal{F}$ and every element of $\mathcal{F}$ has norm at most one. Write $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{ab : a, b \in \mathcal{F}\} \cup \mathcal{F}$.

Let $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place δ), $\mathcal{G}_1$ (in place of $\mathcal{G}$) and $\mathcal{H}_1$(in place of $\mathcal{H}$), $\mathcal{P}$, and K be as assured by Theorem 7.9 for $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\varepsilon/4$ as well as T (in place of F). (As stated earlier we will also use the Remark 7.10 so that we drop $\mathbf{L}$ and condition (e 7.6).) Since $K_1(Q) = \{0\}$ and $K_0(Q) = \mathbb{Q}$, we may choose $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$.

We may also assume that $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_1$ and $K \geq 2$.

Now, let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of $\mathcal{G}$) be a finite subset and let $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ_1) given by 9.6 for the above $\mathcal{H}_1$ and T.

Let $\delta = \min\{\varepsilon/4, \delta_1/2, \delta_2/2\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{G} \subset A^{\mathbf{1}}$.

Since $Q \cong Q \otimes Q$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\varphi(a), \psi(a) \in Q \otimes 1$ for all $a \in A$. Pick mutually equivalent projections $e_0, e_1, e_2, ..., e_{2K} \in Q$ satisfying $\sum_{i=0}^{2K} e_i = 1_Q$. Then, consider the maps $\varphi_i, \psi_i : A \to Q \otimes e_i Q e_i$, $i = 0, 1, ..., 2K$, which are defined by

$$\varphi_i(a) = \varphi(a) \otimes e_i \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_i(a) = \psi(a) \otimes e_i, \quad a \in A,$$

and consider the maps

$$\Phi_{K+1} := \varphi = \varphi_0 \oplus \varphi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_{2K}, \quad \Phi_0 := \psi = \psi_0 \oplus \psi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \psi_{2K}$$

and

$$\Phi_i := \varphi_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_{i-1} \oplus \psi_i \oplus \cdots \oplus \psi_{2K}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., 2K.$$

Since e_i is unitarily equivalent to e_0 for all i, one has

$$[\varphi_i]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [\psi_j]|_{\mathcal{P}}, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq 2K.$$

and in particular,

$$[\varphi_i]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [\psi_i]|_{\mathcal{P}}, \quad i = 0, 1, .., 2K. \tag{e 20.7}$$

Note that, for each $i = 0, 1, ..., n$, Φ_i is unitarily equivalent to

$$\psi_i \oplus (\varphi_0 \oplus \varphi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_{i-1} \oplus \psi_{i+1} \oplus \psi_{i+2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \psi_{2K}),$$

and Φ_{i+1} is unitarily equivalent to

$$\varphi_i \oplus (\varphi_0 \oplus \varphi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_{i-1} \oplus \psi_{i+1} \oplus \psi_{i+2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \psi_{2K}).$$

By (e 20.3), applying 9.6, each φ_i as well as ψ_i are T-$\mathcal{H}_1$-full in $e_i Q e_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2K$.

In view of this, and (e 20.7), applying Theorem 7.9 (and its remarks), we obtain unitaries $u_i \in Q$, $i = 0, 1, ..., 2K$, such that

$$\|\tilde{\Phi}_{i+1}(a) - \tilde{\Phi}_i(a)\| < \varepsilon/4, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_1, \tag{e 20.8}$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 := \Phi_0 = \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{i+1} := \mathrm{Ad}\, u_i \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{Ad}\ u_1 \circ \mathrm{Ad}\ u_0 \circ \Phi_{i+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., 2K.$$

Put $t_i = i/(2K+1)$, $i = 0, 1, ..., 2K+1$, and define $L : A \to \mathrm{C}([0,1], Q)$ by

$$\pi_t \circ L = (2K+1)(t_{i+1} - t)\tilde{\Phi}_i + (2K+1)(t - t_i)\tilde{\Phi}_{i+1}, \quad t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}],\ i = 0, 1, ..., 2K.$$

By construction,

$$\pi_0 \circ L = \tilde{\Phi}_0 = \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1 \circ L = \tilde{\Phi}_{n+1} = \mathrm{Ad}\, u_n \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{Ad}\ u_1 \circ \mathrm{Ad}\ u_0 \circ \varphi. \tag{e 20.9}$$

Since $\tilde{\Phi}_i$, $i = 0, 1, ..., 2K$, are $\mathcal{G}$-δ-multiplicative (in particular $\mathcal{F}$-$\varepsilon/4$-multiplicative), it follows from (e 20.8) that L is $\mathcal{F}$-ε-multiplicative. By (e 20.9), L satisfies (e 20.4) with $u = u_{2K} \cdots u_1 u_0$.

Moreover, if there is a finite set $\mathcal{H}$ such that (e 20.5) holds, it is then also straightforward to verify that L satisfies (e 20.6), as desired. □

Remark 20.4. If $KK(A,D)=\{0\}$ for all C^*-algebras D, then the assumption that A satisfies the UCT can be dropped (see 7.14).

Theorem 20.5. *Let A be a non-unital separable amenable simple C^*-algebra with $K_0(A)=\mathrm{Tor}(K_0(A)$ and with $T(A)\neq\emptyset$ which satisfies the UCT. Suppose that A is quasi-compact. Then every trace in $\overline{T(A)}^w$ is a W-trace.*

Proof. It suffices to show that every tracial state of A is a W-trace. It follows from [41] that every trace is quasidiagonal. For a fixed $\tau\in T(A)$, there exists a sequence of approximate multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps $\{\varphi_n\}$ from A into Q such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} tr\circ\varphi_n(a)=\tau(a) \text{ for all } a\in A.$$

By 20.2, we may assume that $\varphi_n=\psi_n\circ\imath$, where $\imath: A\to A\otimes Q$ is the embedding defined by $\imath(a)=a\otimes 1_Q$ for all $a\in A$ and $\psi_n: A\otimes Q\to Q$ is a sequence of approximate multiplicative completely positive contractive linear maps.

Therefore it suffices to show that every tracial state of $A\otimes Q$ is a W-trace. Set $A_1=A\otimes Q$. Then $K_0(A_1)=\{0\}$.

Fix $1>\varepsilon>0$, $1>\varepsilon'>0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{F}\subset A_1$ and a finite $\mathcal{H}\subset A_1$. Put $\mathcal{F}_1=\mathcal{F}\cup\mathcal{H}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}_1\subset A_1^{\mathbf{1}}$. Note that A is non-unital. Choose a strictly positive element $a\in A_+$ with $\|a\|=1$. We also assume that

$$\tau(f_{1/2}(a))\ge d>0 \text{ for all } \tau\in\overline{T(A)}^w.$$

Let $1>\delta>0$, $\mathcal{G}\subset A_1$ be a finite subset be required by 20.3 for A_1 (in place of A), $d/2$ (in place of d), $\varepsilon/16$ (in place of ε) and $\mathcal{F}_1$. (Note since $K_0(A_1)=\{0\}$, the required set $\mathcal{P}$ in 20.3 does not appear here.)

Let $\mathcal{G}_1=\mathcal{G}\cup\mathcal{F}_1$.

$$\varepsilon_1=\frac{\varepsilon\cdot\varepsilon'\cdot\delta}{2}.$$

Let $\tau\in T(A_1)$. Since τ is quasi-diagonal, there exists a $\mathcal{G}_1$-ε_1-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map $\varphi: A_1\to Q$ such that

$$|\tau(b)-tr\circ\psi(b)|<\varepsilon'/16 \text{ for all } b\in\mathcal{G}\cup\mathcal{F}, \tag{e 20.10}$$

$$tr(f_{1/2}(\psi(a)))>2d/3. \tag{e 20.11}$$

Choose an integer $m\ge 3$ such that

$$1/m<\min\{\varepsilon_1/64, d/8\}.$$

Let $e_1,e_2,...,e_{m+1}\in Q$ be a set of mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent projections such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} e_i=1_Q \text{ and } tr(e_i)=\frac{1}{m+1},\ \ i=1,2,...,m+1.$$

Let $\psi_i: A_1\to(1\otimes e_i)(Q\otimes Q)(1\otimes e_i)$ be defined by $\psi_i(b)=\psi(b)\otimes e_i$, $i=1,2,...,m+1$. Let

$$\Psi_0=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\psi_i \text{ and } \Psi_1=\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}\psi_i.$$

Identify $Q\otimes Q$ with Q. Note that

$$tr(f_{1/2}(\Psi_i(a)))\ge d/2,\ \ i=0,1. \tag{e 20.12}$$

Moreover,

$$|\tau\circ\Phi_0(b)-\tau\circ\Phi_1(b)|<\frac{1}{m+1}<\min\{\varepsilon_1/64,d/8\}\ \text{ for all }\ b\in A_1.$$

Again, keep in mind that $K_0(A_1)=\{0\}$. Applying 20.3, we obtain a unitary $u\in Q$ and a $\mathcal{F}_1$-$\varepsilon/16$-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map $L:A\to \mathrm{C}([0,3/4],Q)$ such that

$$\pi_0\circ L=\Psi_0,\ \ \pi_{3/4}\circ L=\mathrm{Ad}\,u\circ\Psi_1. \tag{e 20.13}$$

Moreover,

$$|\mathrm{tr}\circ\pi_t\circ L(h)-\mathrm{tr}\circ\pi_0\circ L(h)|<1/m<\varepsilon'/64,\quad h\in\mathcal{F}_1,\ t\in[0,3/4]. \tag{e 20.14}$$

Here, $\pi_t:\mathrm{C}([0,3/4],Q)\to Q$ is the point evaluation at $t\in[0,3/4]$. There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t):t\in[3/4,1]\}$ such that $u(3/4)=u$ and $u(1)=1_Q$. Define $L_1:A_1\to C([0,1],Q)$ by $\pi_t\circ L_1=\pi_t\circ L$ for all $t\in[0,3/4]$ and $\pi_t\circ L_1=\mathrm{Ad}u_t\circ\Psi_1$. L_1 is a $\mathcal{F}_1$-$\varepsilon/16$-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map from A_1 into $C([0,1],Q)$. Note now

$$\pi_0\circ L_1=\Psi_0\ \text{ and }\ \pi_1\circ L_1=\Psi_1\ \text{ and} \tag{e 20.15}$$

$$|tr\circ\pi_t\circ L(h)-tr\circ\Psi_1(h)|<\varepsilon'/64\ \text{ for all }\ h\in\mathcal{H}. \tag{e 20.16}$$

Fix an integer $k\ge 2$. Let $\kappa_i:M_k\to M_{k(m+1)}$ $(i=0,1)$ be defined by

$$\kappa_0(c) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{c,c,...,c}^{m},0)\ \text{ and} \tag{e 20.17}$$

$$\kappa_1(c) = \mathrm{diag}(\overbrace{c,c,...,c}^{m+1}) \tag{e 20.18}$$

for all $c\in M_k$. Define

$$C_0=\{(f,c):C([0,1],M_{k(m+1)})\oplus M_k:f(0)=\kappa_0(c)\ \text{ and }\ f(1)=\kappa_1(c)\}.$$

and defined

$$C_1=C_0\otimes Q.$$

Note that $C_0\in\mathcal{C}_0^0$ i and C_1 is an inductive limit of Razak algebras $C_0\otimes M_{n!}$. Moreover $K_0(C_1)=K_1(C_1)=\{0\}$. Put $p_0=\sum_{i=1}^m 1_Q\otimes e_i$. Define $\bar\kappa_0:Q\to p_0(Q\otimes Q)p_0$ to be the unital homomorphism defined by $\bar\kappa_0(a)=a\otimes\sum_{i=1}^m e_i$ and $\bar\kappa_1(a)=a\otimes 1_Q$ for all $a\in Q$.

Then one may write

$$C_1=\{(f,c)\in C([0,1],Q)\oplus Q:f(0)=\bar\kappa_0(c)\ \text{ and }\ f(1)=\bar\kappa_1(c)\}.$$

Note that $\bar\kappa_0\circ\psi(b)=\Psi_0(b)$ for all $b\in A_1$ and $\bar\kappa_1\circ\psi(b)=\Psi_1(b)$ for all $b\in A_1$. Thus one can define $\Phi':A_1\to C_1$ by $\Phi'(b)=(L_1(b),\psi(b))$ for all $b\in A_1$.

Then Φ' is a $\mathcal{F}_1$-$\varepsilon/16$-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map such that

$$|tr(\pi_t\circ\Phi'(h))-tr\circ\psi(h)|<\varepsilon'/4\ \text{ for all }\ h\in\mathcal{H}. \tag{e 20.19}$$

Let μ be the Lebesque measure on $[0,1]$. There is a homomorphism $\Gamma:Cu^\sim(C_1)\to Cu^\sim(W)$ such that $\Gamma(f)(\tau_0)=(\mu\otimes tr)(f)$ for all $f\in Aff(T(C_1))$, where τ_0 is the unique tracial state of W. By [34], there exists a homomorphism $\lambda:C_1\to W$ such that

$$\tau_0\circ\lambda((f,c))=\int_0^1 tr(f(t))dt\ \text{ for all }\ (f,c)\in C_1. \tag{e 20.20}$$

Finally, let $\Phi = \lambda \circ \Phi'$. Then Φ is a $\mathcal{F}_1$-ε-multiplicative completely positive contractive linear map from A_1 into W. Moreover, one computes that

$$|\tau_0 \circ \Phi(h) - \tau(h)| < \varepsilon' \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{e 20.21}$$

This proves the theorem. □

Theorem 20.6. *Every non-unital separable simple C^*-algebra A with finite nuclear dimension, with $\tilde{T}(A) \neq \emptyset$, with $K_0(A) = \mathrm{Tor}(K_0(A))$ and satisfies the UCT has $gTR(A) \leq 1$.*

Proof. Take an element $a \in P(A)_+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that $A_0 = \overline{aAa}$ has continuous scale. It follows from 20.5 that every tracial state in $T(A_0)$ is a W-trace. By 18.6, $A_0 \in \mathcal{D}_0$. □

The proof of Theorem 1.2:

Proof. This immediately follows from 1.1 and the above. □

Remark 20.7. The condition that A satisfies the UCT is assumed in 20.5 so that [41] can be applied. This is to ensure that all traces of A are quasi-diagonal. Therefore if $KK(A, D) = \{0\}$ for all C^*-algebras D, then one can replace the condition that A satisfies the UCT by that every trace is quasi-diagonal. However, upon examining the proof of [41], one concludes that every tracial state of a separable simple amenable C^*-algebra which has continuous scale (or just bounded scale) are quasi-diagonal, provided that $KK(A, D) = \{0\}$ for all C^*-algebras D. Therefore the UCT condition could be replaced by $KK(A, D) = \{0\}$ for all C^*-algebras D in 20.5, 20.6 as well as in 1.2. Nevertheless we are not certain that such replacement constitutes any real significance at this stage.

The proof of Corollary 1.3:

Proof. If A has finite nuclear dimension, then by [40], $A \otimes W$ is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable. If $\tilde{T}(A) = \{0\}$, then $A \otimes W$ is purely infinite and non-unital. Since $K_i(A \otimes W) = \{0\}$ $(i = 0, 1)$, $A \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ by [32] (see also [19]). In the case that $\tilde{T}(A) \neq \emptyset$, then $gTR(A \otimes W) \leq 1$ by 20.6. Thus 1.3 follows from 1.2. □

References

[1] C. A. Akemann, J. Anderson and G. K. Pedersen, *Excising states of C^*-algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **38** (1986), 1239–1260.

[2] W. Arveson, *Notes on extensions of C^*-algebras*, Duke Math. J. **44** (1977), 329–355.

[3] B. E. Blackadar, *Traces on simple AF C^*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **38** (1980), 156–168.

[4] L. G. Brown, *Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^*-algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 335–348.

[5] N. P. Brown and A. S. Toms, *Three applications of the Cuntz semigroup*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2007, no. 19, Art. ID rnm068, 14 pp.

[6] K. Coward, G. A. Elliott and C. Ivanescu, *The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C^*-algebras* J. Reine Angew. Math. **623** (2008), 161–193.

[7] J. Cuntz and G. K. Pedersen, *Equivalence and traces on C^*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **33** (1979), 135–164.

[8] M. Dădărlat and S. Eilers, *On the classification of nuclear C^*-algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **85** (2002), 168–210.

[9] M. Dădărlat and T. Loring, *A universal multicoefficient theorem for the Kasparov groups*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), 355–377.

[10] S. Eilers, T. Loring and G. K. Pedersen, *Stability of anticommutation relations: an application of noncommutative CW complexes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **499** (1998), 101–143.

[11] S. Eilers, T. Loring and P.K. Pedersen, *Fragility of subhomogeneous C^*-algebras with one-dimensional spectrum*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), 337–344.

[12] G. A. Elliott, The classification problem for amenable C^*-algebras. Proceedings of the International Conference of Mathematics, Vol.1,2 Zurich, 1994. 922–932, Birkhusr, Basel, 1995.

[13] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin and Z. Niu, *On the classification of simple amenable C^*-algebras with finite decomposition rank, II*, arXiv:1507.03437.

[14] G. A. Elliott, L. Robert and L. Santiago, *The cone of lower semicontinuous traces on a C^*-algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **133** (2011), 969–1005.

[15] G. Gong and H. Lin, *Almost multiplicative morphisms and K-theory*, Internat. J. Math. **11** (2000), 983–1000.

[16] G. Gong and H. Lin, *On classification of non-unital simple amenable C^*-algebras, II*, in preparation.

[17] G. Gong, H. Lin, and Z. Niu, *Classification of finite simple amenable $\mathcal{Z}$-stable C^*-algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1501.00135.

[18] B. Jacelon, *A simple, monotracial, stably projectionless C^*-algebra*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **87** (2013), 365–383.

[19] E. Kirchberg and N. C. Phillips, *Embedding of exact C^*-algebras in the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **525** (2000), 17–53.

[20] E. Kirchberg and W. Winter, *Covering dimension and quasidiagonality*, Internat. J. Math. **15** (2004), 63–85.

[21] H. Lin, *Simple C^*-algebras with continuous scales and simple corona algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), 871–880.

[22] H. Lin, *Stable approximate unitary equivalence of homomorphisms*, J. Operator Theory **47** (2002), 343–378.

[23] H. Lin, *An introduction to the classification of amenable C^*-algebras*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001. xii+320 pp. ISBN: 981-02-4680-3.

[24] H. Lin, *Traces and simple C^*-algebras with tracial topological rank zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **568** (2004), 99–137.

[25] H. Lin, *Simple corona C^*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 3215–. 3224.

[26] H. Lin, *An approximate universal coefficient theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), 3375–3405.

[27] H. Lin, *Homotopy of unitaries in simple C^*-algebras with tracial rank one*, J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), 1822–1882.

[28] H. Lin, *Locally AH algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **235** (2015), no. 1107, vi+109 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4704-1466-5; 978-1-4704-2225-7.

[29] H. Lin, *From the Basic Homotopy Lemma to the Classification of C^*-algebras*, a CBMS Lectures Notes, preprint.

[30] G. K. Pedersen, *C^*-algebras and their automorphism groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, 14. Academic Press, Inc. London-New York, 1979. ix+416 pp. ISBN: 0-12-549450-5.

[31] G. K. Pedersen, *Unitary extensions and polar decompositions in a C^*-algebra*, J. Operator Theory **17** (1987), 357–364.

[32] N. C. Phillips, *A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^*-algebras*, Doc. Math. **5** (2000), 49–114.

[33] S. Razak *On the classification of simple stably projectionless C^*-algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **54** (2002), 138–224.

[34] L. Robert, *Classification of inductive limits of 1-dimensional NCCW complexes*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), 2802–2836.

[35] L. Robert, *Remarks on $\mathcal{Z}$-stable projectionless C^*-algebras*, Glasg. Math. J. **58** (2016), 273–277,

[36] M. Rørdam, *On the structure of simple C^*-algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra. II*, J. Funct. Anal. **107** (1992), 255–269.

[37] M. Rørdam, *On the structure of simple C^*-algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **100** (1991), 1–17.

[38] M. Rørdam, *Classification of certain infinite simple C*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **131** (1995), 415–458.

[39] M. Rørdam, *The stable and the real rank of $\mathcal{Z}$-absorbing C^*-algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **15** (2004), 1065–1084.

[40] A. Tikuisis, *Nuclear dimension, $\mathcal{Z}$-stability, and algebraic simplicity for stably projectionless C^*-algebras*, Math. Ann. **358** (2014), 729–778.

[41] A Tikuisis, S. White, and W. Winter, *Quasidiagonality of nuclear C*-algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1509.08318.

[42] K-W. Tsang, *On the positive tracial cones of simple stably projectionless C^*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **227** (2005), 188–199.

[43] W. Winter, *On topologically finite-dimensional simple C^*-algebras*, Math. Ann. **332** (2005), 843–878.

[44] W. Winter, *Nuclear dimension and $\mathcal{Z}$-stability of pure C^*-algebras*, Invent. Math. **187** (2012), 259–342.

[45] W. Winter, *Classifying crossed product C^*-algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **138** (2016), 793–820.

[46] W. Winter and J. Zacharias, *The nuclear dimension of C^*-algebras*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), 461–498.